## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTERTHINX, INC.

Petitioner

v.

CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC

Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00007

Patent No. 5,361,201

Before, MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, JONI Y. CHANG, and BRIAN J. McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PATENT OWNER CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel Madison Building East, 10B20 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314-5793

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), notice is hereby given that Patent Owner CoreLogic Solutions, LLC ("CoreLogic") hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision entered on January 30, 2014 (Paper No. 58), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings and opinions, including, without limitation, the Decision on Institution of Covered Business Method Review entered on January 31, 2013 (Paper No. 15).

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Patent Owner CoreLogic further indicates that the issues on appeal include, but are not limited to: the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's claim constructions, determination of unpatentability of claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 of CoreLogic's U.S. Patent No. 5,361,201 ("the '201 patent") under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102 and 103; determination that the '201 patent is a covered business method patent and is not a technological invention; determination that the post-grant review initiated by Interthinx was not barred by *res judicata* and collateral estoppel; determination that 35 U.S.C. § 101 is a permissible basis for review; and any finding or determination supporting or related to those issues, as well as all other issues decided adversely to Patent Owner CoreLogic in any orders, decisions, rulings and opinions. Patent Owner CoreLogic also hereby

appeals the United States Patent and Trademark Office exceeding its statutory authority through rulemaking, including, without limitation, by adopting rule 37 C.F.R. § 42.301(a) defining "covered business method."

Simultaneous with this submission, a copy of this Notice of Appeal is being filed with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. In addition, three copies of this Notice of Appeal, along with the required docketing fees, are being filed with the Clerk's Office for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Dated: April 2, 2014

Thomas A. Rozylowicz rozylowicz@fr.com

Theal

(202) 626-6447

David L. Holt

david.holt@fr.com

(202) 626-7783

(202) 626-6395

W. Karl Renner

renner@fr.com

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1425 K Street NW

11th Floor

Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for Patent Owner Corelogic Solutions, LLC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I hereby certify that, in addition to being filed electronically through the Board's PRPS System, the original version of the foregoing, PATENT OWNER CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, was filed by hand on this 2nd day of April, 2014, with the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel
10B20
Madison Building East
600 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia

## **CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I hereby certify that three (3) true and correct copies of the foregoing,

PATENT OWNER CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL,

were filed by hand on this 2nd day of April, 2014, with the Clerk's Office of the

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, at the following address:

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 717 Madison Place, N.W., Suite 401 Washington, DC 20005 Dated: April 2, 2014

Thomas A. Rozylowicz rozylowicz@fr.com

(202) 626-6447

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K Street NW 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for Patent Owner Corelogic Solutions, LLC.