10-601 Final Project Midway Report

Simon Diao

Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213 zdiao@andrew.cmu.edu

Nicolas Badoux

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne Switzerland nbadoux@andrew.cmu.edu

Abstract

In this midway report, we managed to use a kth nearest neighbour classifier to achieve a reasonable classification accuracy of a subset of CIFAR-10 images dubbed <code>subset_CIFAR10</code>, albeit with slower runtime. We also implemented classifiers such as naïve Bayes and neural networks with little success. We have also investigated different features to use in classification.

1 Introduction

For this project, we aim to classify CIFAR-10 images dataset - a collection of $10000~32\times32$ images, into one of ten categories. As per project requirements, our classifiers are trained solely on a subset of 5000~CIFAR-10 images provided to us as subset_CIFAR10. As of this midway report, we have explored many classifiers, including Naïve Bayes, logistic regression, neural network, deep neural network, convolutional neural network, and kth nearest neighbour. Due to the complicated nature of neural networks, we have not yet found a set of satisfactory parameters that would give a decent output. However, we were able to produce a kth nearest neighbour classifier with an accuracy of $53\%^1$

1.1 Motivation

We decided to focus primarily on neural networks due to its ability to model many abstract concepts, and neural networks, especially convolution neural networks (CNN), such as LeNet, has seen great success in the area of image recognition. Our goal for this project is to implement a working CNN to classify the given dataset. However, in doing so, we would have to face many challenges, including initialisation of values, performing back-propagation on convolution and pooling layers, and find the optimal set of parameters for optimal performance.

1.2 Background and Related Work

Xavier Glorot and Yoshua Bengio [1] noted that initialisation of neural network weights should be sampled from a distribution of mean 0 and variance $\frac{2}{n_{in}+n_{out}}$. Further research was done in attempt to understand convolutional neural networks in terms of concept and implementation.

2 Method

Methodology is further broken down into three parts, classifiers, feature selection and training.

2.1 Classifiers

We have attempted and tried various supervised learning algorithms, some with more success than others.

¹This classifier ran for 194 seconds on a local machine with a 2.4 GHz quad-core processor. The computation was highly parallel, utilising parfor with 4 worker threads. The 53% accuracy was tested on test_batch.mat from CIFAR-10 dataset.

2.1.1 Naïve Bayes

The Naïve Bayes classifier seeks to maximise

$$\Pr[Y|X]$$

with the assumption that

$$\forall i, j, i \neq j \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[X_i | X_j, Y] = \mathbf{Pr}[X_i | Y]$$

In other words, it assumes that all input features are conditionally independent of each other given the prior. However, in the case of image classification, this assumption does not hold very well, as in a normal image, the intensity or colour of a pixel will depend on the intensities and colours of neighbouring pixels. Therefore, using raw pixel values (both RGB and HSV^2), we were only able to achieve around 30% classification accuracy. Using histogram of oriented gradients improved the classification accuracy to 48.7%. However, we were unable to improve on the classification accuracy. We did attempt to change the <code>cell_size</code> parameter, however, we found that at higher values, it leads to overfitting, and at lower levels, it reduces classification accuracy.

The classifier was implemented as Gaussian features each with a mean and variance estimate. Log likelihood was used to avoid floating-point underflow. However, other posterior distributions could also be considered, such as Beta in case of pixel values.

2.1.2 Neural Network

Most of our time was focused on attempting to produce a usable classifier using a neural network. We implemented three variants of neural networks, a simple neural network with 1 hidden layer, a deep neural network with multiple hidden layers of the same size, and a convolutional neural network with one convolution layer, one pooling layer and a fully connected layer.

For all of our neural network layers (other than the convolution and pooling layers), the activation function is given as

$$o = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X \cdot W}}$$

where o is the output, and X is the input layer and W is the associated weight, which we then initialised using Xavier initialisation discussed earlier and trained using back-propagation. We also used entropy as objective function, where

$$\delta_k = o_k (1 - o_k)(t_k - o_k)$$

where t_k is the expected output of the kth neuron. Furthermore, for all of our neural network implementations, the final layer had 10 neurons, each indicating a class probability. The final decision is reached by

$$\operatorname{arg} \max_{k} o_{k}$$

In order to visualise the gradient descent, we implemented two error functions:

$$E_1 = \sum_i |t_i - o_i|$$

and

$$E_2 = \sum_i (t_i - o_i)^2$$

Both these errors were only used once per epoch to test for convergence, which we defined as $E_t \geq E_{t-1}$.

The performances for these networks were sub-par, the most success we had was a network with 17 hidden layers which had a classification accuracy of 13%. It was trained with stochastic gradient descent of batch sizes 5000, and learning rate $\eta = 0.1$ and momentum $\alpha = 0.95$.

2.1.3 kth Nearest Neighbour

Our final attempt at a classifier was to implement kth nearest neighbour with a image kernel. kth nearest neighbour seeks to find the, as the name suggest, k nearest neighbours and obtain the mode label. We have tried a variety of input features with this algorithm, including dominant colour, scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT), and histogram of oriented gradients (HOG). For SIFT, the distance metric was to first perform vl_ubcmatch on test sample and neighbour, and order neighbours by number of matches and then by sum of distance, so suppose that

²Hue, Saturation and Value. This colour space separates the hue, or colour from its intensity and brightness, and we can treat the three values as essentially independent of each other.

```
[~, scores] = vl_ubcmatch(sample, neighbour);
[n, d] = size(scores);
```

then

$$A < B \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{n}_A > \mathbf{n}_B \vee (\mathbf{n}_A = \mathbf{n}_B \wedge \sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{n}_A} \mathtt{scores}_{A,i} < \sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{n}_B} \mathtt{scores}_{B,i})$$

However, this metric did not perform very well, and its classification accuracy was around 30%.

We also used HOG features with $cell_size = 8$. Here, our distance metric is the L1 sum of the difference of the HOG features. Suppose that the HOG feature of each image is a $N \times N \times K$ tensor, and the HOG features of the test image and its neighbour is F and G respectively, then our distance metric can be characterised as

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |F_{ijk} - G_{ijk}|$$

This classifier is our best performing classifier so far, with an accuracy of 53%. Our final attempt also included the most dominant colour (in RGB) in the image.

Unfortunately, our only optimisation done so far for this classifier was to use parfor instead of **for** to invoke parallelism in code, and that has brought the runtime down to around 3 minutes on a multi-core machine using Matlab's Parallel Computing Toolkit. We are, however, aware that the Toolkit is not available in Octave, and we would not be utilise it during the final submission. Hence, we are also looking at other optimisations, such as implementing a k-d tree to hold the nearest neighbour.

2.2 Features Extraction

We have attempted to extract different features from the image in order to reduce noise in the data.

2.2.1 Raw Pixel Data

We have trained some classifier with the raw pixel value, both RGB, HSV and intensity. Until now we did not get a good result. However, we are hopeful that a well-trained convolutional neural network would be able to produce reasonable results with raw pixel values.

2.2.2 Dominant Colour

We noticed that some colours in the image only appears on specific classes, such as red, thus we theorised that dominant colours could aid us in classification. To obtain it, we applied a blur to the image to smooth the colour. To extract the dominant colour, we sampled the image every 4 pixels and compared the colour sampled to every pixel in the image, counting how many pixels are in a certain range of the sampled colour.

We sample every four pixels to spare some computations. Since we are trying to find the dominant colour, it's quite certain that even looking to only one fourth of the pixel we will encounter the most dominant colour. We also check that the colour picked is not the already dominant colour. The range is 100 and is the sum of the differences between the R, G and B values.

2.2.3 Edge detection

Edge in an image can help us see the border of an object. We have also noticed that certain objects have different types of edges (like straight edges in case of man-made object, or a round edges representing the tire of a car or a truck). We apply a simple Sobel algorithm on the grayscale image. We have also implemented an edge detection on the different R, G and B channels and then regroup the different edges. This give us an interesting result, some edges appear only in some color channel (like between a blue sky and a blue sea, the blue channel would not give us any result but the red channel can). Comparing it with the grayscale edge detection give also some feedback.

2.2.4 Horizontal Line

We also tried to find an horizontal edge in the image. This could mean an horizon (like in the case of a ship at sea) or a straight horizontal line (for example a photo of a truck sideways). To extract this feature, we first applied the

Sobel algorithm to the image with reasonable threshold (0.14 in this case). Then we went trough the lines of the image and counted how many pixel were on the line, just above or just below and are marked as edges. A higher number represent lot of edges in the same horizontal area.

To counter the case were we would just had a lot of edges but not specially in a horizontal shape and not give a higher score with an image with fewer pixel marked as edges but all in an horizontal line, we subtract to the highest number we found, the 4th highest number. That means, we take the group of 3 lines with the maximum of pixel denoted as edges and decrease this value by the number of pixel denoted as edges in another group of 3 lines. Therefore, if the image has lot of pixel marked as edges but not particularly in a horizontal line, the score would be much nearer to zero.

2.2.5 Histogram of Oriented Gradients

We have also used VLFeat³ to extract the Histogram of oriented gradients (HOG). We are still trying to adjust the cell size to match at best our classifier without timing out. The HOG values give us some insight on the edges as well as on color or intensity value of the image. Therefore it's a quite interesting feature that gave us some good result alone.

2.3 Training

We have also attempted various methods of training the different classifiers. For Naïve Bayes, we used MLE log likelihood with Gaussian likelihoods. However, if we were to use feature values that which are bounded in one end or two, other distributions, such as Gamma or Beta could prove to be more useful. We could also use semi-supervised learning and boosting to boost the performance of Naïve Bayes classifier.

For the neural networks, we were using stochastic gradient descent with batch sizes of 5000. We added a momentum factor as our classifier was often getting stuck at local optima - it found a minima where it gives the same classification to all images, and could not move past that point. There is obviously much to learn and research about neural networks.

For kth nearest neighbour, we would need to store the neighbours in a more organised data structure instead of a list. The current kNN algorithm we have runs in O(nd) work and O(d) span, where n is the number of test samples and d is the number of training samples. However, with the implementation of a k-d tree data structure, we could lower the work and span bounds to $O(n \log d)$ and $O(\log d)$ respectively.

3 Conclusion and Further Improvements

We will continue to improve our features extraction and make it match at best with our different classifiers. This can for example mean finding the good threshold for the edge detection or change the linearity of the score of the horizontal line feature. We will transform our dominant color extraction to a n-dominant colors extraction. One of our goal is also to find a better distance metric in the RGB spectrum than the L1 norm. Our plans also include to try different combinations of features to get the best result without compromising some classifiers assumptions (like Naïve Bayes assumptions).

We will try to use Scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT) to gain other insights into the image. In addition, we feel that a support-vector machine using SIFT as feature could yield a fairly decent classifier, and we would investigate into SVMs more.

We would also like to learn and complete the implementation of neural networks, especially CNNs, and implement a efficient and fast back-propagation algorithm for the learning of these networks. We would also want to optimise the factors, such as filter size, pooling size, and number of convolutional layers, pooling layers and hidden layers in the fully connected stage, as well as learning parameters such as η and α . We would also like to investigate into how to implement and learn the bias related to convolutional layers and sigmoid layers.

Lastly, we would like to optimise kNN to utilise more efficient data structures. We will also try to turn our kNN in a Distance-Weighted kNN by deciding the label based on the distance to the sample and not only to the most occurrences in the k nearest neighbour.

³http://www.vlfeat.org

4 References

Glorot, X., & Bengio, Y. (2010). Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. *In International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics* (pp. 249-256).