Least-Squares method

• Task: There are a set of "experimental" points (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) ,..., (x_n, y_n) , $x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_n$ (a total of n points):

x_{1}	x_{2}	\mathcal{X}_3	• • •	x_n
y_1	y_2	y_3	•	y_n

On the other hand, there is an analytical expression that the data should satisfy. In general, the expression is represented by a function of x with parameters $A_0, ..., A_m$:

$$y = f(x; A_0,...,A_m)$$

In general, $m+1 < n \Rightarrow$ no guarantee we can find the parameters such that the functions passes exactly through all the data points:

$$f(x_i; A_0,...,A_m) = y_i$$

However, we can formulate the *deviation* of the calculated values from the "experimental" ones $f(x_i; A_0,..., A_m) - y_i$.

$$\varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i;A_0,..,A_m) - y_i)^2$$

$$\varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i; A_0,..,A_m) - y_i)^2$$

The summation runs over all the "experimental" points $x_1, x_2,...,x_n$ and the function $\varepsilon(A_0,...,A_m)$ depends on the parameters $A_0,...,A_m$, not on the argument x. $\varepsilon(A_0,...,A_m)$ is a non-negative function and can be zero if and only if $f(x_i; A_0,...,A_m) = y_i$.

• The optimal approximation can be obtained by *minimizing* ε as a function of $A_0,...,A_m$.

The partial derivative of ε with respect to each of the parameters $A_0,...,A_m$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \, \varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \Big(f(x_i;A_0,..,A_m) - y_i \Big)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \Big(\big(f(x_i;A_0,..,A_m) - y_i \big) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \, f(x_i;A_0,..,A_m) \Big) \end{split}$$

The optimal parameters are obtained by solving a system of m+1 equations with m+1 variables:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left((f(x_i; A_0, ..., A_m) - y_i) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} f(x_i; A_0, ..., A_m) \right) = 0, \quad k = 0, ..., m$$

• In the case when $f(x_i; A_0,...,A_m)$ is a polynomial function of parameters $A_0,...,A_m$:

$$f(x; A_0, ..., A_m) = \sum_{j=0}^m A_j x^j$$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(x_i;A_0,..,A_m) - y_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \, \varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right)^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right)^2 &= 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right) \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right)}_{x_i^k} = \\ &= 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j - y_i \right) \cdot x_i^k = 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^j \cdot x_i^k - y_i x_i^k \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \, \varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^{j+k} - y_i x_i^k \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^{j+k} - 2 \sum_{j=0}^n y_i x_i^k \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A_k} \varepsilon(A_0,..,A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^{j+k} - y_i x_i^k \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m A_j x_i^{j+k} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i^k$$

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}A_{j}x_{i}^{j+k}-2\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}x_{i}^{k}=0$$

Changing the summation order, we obtain a system of **linear** equations with unknown A_i :

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{k+j}\right) A_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} x_{i}^{k} , \quad k = 0, ..., m$$
 coefficient of
$$k\text{-th row}, \\ j\text{-th column}$$
 free term of $k\text{-th row}$

<u>Example</u>: linear "regression"

$$f(x; A_0, A_1) = A_0 + A_1 x$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_i x_i \\ \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i y_i \\ \sum_i x_i y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Quadratic:

$$f(x; A_0, A_1, A_2) = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 x^2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 \\ \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 & \sum_i x_i^3 \\ \sum_i x_i^2 & \sum_i x_i^3 & \sum_i x_i^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i y_i \\ \sum_i x_i y_i \\ \sum_i x_i^2 y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R} \qquad \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Z}$$

- Note that all the matrix elements R_{kl} are equal if the sum of indices k+l is equal. Thus, not $(m+1)^2$, but only 2m sums must be calculated!
- *Hint*: An efficient way to program it would be to calculate \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{Z} would be to run a loop over i, then over all necessary powers p to compute x_i^p , then to update the sums. Finally, the sums should be copied to the corresponding matrix elements.
- *Hint*: Do not use explicit $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{i}) * * \mathbf{j}$ it will be highly inefficient.

Programming task

• Input:

- Degree of the fitting polynomial m;
- The number of "experimental" points n;
- The number points themselves (X's and Y's by pairs).

• Output:

- Values of the coefficients $A_0, ..., A_m$ obtained
- Value *I* of the definite integral of the fitted polynomial in the interval from the minimum value of all *X*'s to the maximum value of all *X*'s.

$$I = \int\limits_{\min\{x_i\}}^{\max\{x_i\}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^m A_k x^k dx$$

• *Note*:

• The program must be general, i.e, work for any m and n.

- *Hint*: The above matrix \mathbf{R} is defined as $\mathbf{R}(0:m,0:m)$
- However, linear equation solvers usually use matrices from 1 to n:

subroutine GAUSS(A,B,X,m)

integer :: m

double precision, dimension(1:m,1:m) :: A

double precision, dimension(1:m) :: B, X

• The following call serves to overcome this problem:

call GAUSS(R,Z,A,n+1)

where **n+1** is the *size* of the matrix (and vector). No modification of the subroutine is needed is this case.

- Hint: Calculation of a polynomial.
- A polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k x^k$ is never calculated according to this formula

directly since it requires about $n^2/2$ multiplications.

• The easiest way to implement it efficiently corresponds to the following formula:

$$P(x) = ((b_N x + b_{N-1})x + b_{N-2})x... + b_0$$