

Diagonalizability

- A matrix \mathbf{A} is referred to as *diagonalizable* if there exists a similarity transformation $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ such that $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the *eigenvalues* and the *columns* of the matrix \mathbf{X} contain the corresponding *eigenvectors* of the matrix \mathbf{A} . Then, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$
- A matrix \mathbf{A} is referred to as *unitarily diagonalizable* if there exists a *unitary* matrix \mathbf{U} such that $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the *eigenvalues* and the *columns* of the matrix \mathbf{U} contain the corresponding *eigenvectors* of the matrix \mathbf{A} . Then, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$
- The eigenvalues of a matrix \mathbf{A} can be found by solving its *characteristic equation*:

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$$

However, it is impractical even for middle-size matrices.

- *Eigenvalue shifting*: adding the same value a to all diagonal elements of matrix \mathbf{A} , its eigenvectors do not change; the eigenvalues are shifted by a :

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{A} + a\mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = (\lambda+a)\mathbf{x}$$

Eigenvectors and -functions in some special cases

- General matrices:
 - are diagonalizable if all the eigenvalues are distinct;
 - may or may not be diagonalizable if some eigenvalues are coincident (*degenerate*).
- Hermitian and symmetric matrices:
 - are always *unitarily diagonalizable*: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$;
 - eigenvalues are always *real*;
 - eigenvectors belonging to different eigenvalues are *orthogonal*;
 - eigenvectors belonging to the same eigenvalues can be *orthogonalized*.
- Unitary and orthogonal matrices:
 - are always *unitarily diagonalizable*: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$;
 - eigenvalues are (in general, complex) such that $|\lambda_i| = 1$;
 - eigenvectors belonging to different eigenvalues are *orthogonal*;
 - eigenvectors belonging to the same eigenvalues can be *orthogonalized*.

- Normal matrices:

- A matrix is referred to as *normal*, if it commutes with its adjoint:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A};$$

Hermitian and unitary matrices are particular cases of normal matrices

- are always *unitarily diagonalizable*: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$ (*spectral decomposition*)
- A matrix is *unitarily diagonalizable* if and only if it is normal.

More facts

- **Schur decomposition:** Any square matrix \mathbf{A} is unitarily equivalent to some *upper triangular* (not necessarily diagonal) matrix \mathbf{T} that contains the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} on the diagonal: $t_{ii} = \lambda_i$: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$
- **Jordan normal form:** Any square matrix \mathbf{A} is similar to some *upper* block diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{J}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{J}_i is so-called Jordan block:

$$\mathbf{J}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix Diagonalization

- Finding eigenvalues of a matrix \mathbf{A} is equivalent to finding a similarity transformation that transforms it into a diagonal one:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$$

- The general strategy is to bring the matrix \mathbf{A} toward a diagonal form by a series of known similarity transformations:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \dots \mathbf{X}_3^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_1^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_1) \mathbf{X}_2) \mathbf{X}_3 \dots$$

A special case: symmetric matrices

- *Symmetric matrices are unitarily diagonalizable, have real eigenvalues and -vectors:*

$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$, where \mathbf{U} is a real orthogonal matrix; we have to find this matrix \mathbf{U} .

A more special case: a symmetric 2×2 matrix

- $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$
- An orthogonal 2×2 matrix \mathbf{U} can be always represented as a *rotation* by an angle φ :

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix}; \quad c^2 + s^2 = 1$$

or as a *rotation with reflection*:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & -\cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & -\cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

The similarity transformations given by these matrices are the same, so we wil consider the pure rotation only.

- $$\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c^2 a_{11} + s^2 a_{22} - 2sca_{12} & (c^2 - s^2)a_{12} + sc(a_{11} - a_{22}) \\ (c^2 - s^2)a_{12} + sc(a_{11} - a_{22}) & s^2 a_{11} + c^2 a_{22} + 2sca_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Our purpose is to make $\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$ diagonal by choosing appropriate values of s and c (keeping $s^2 + c^2 = 1$). To find it, we have to resolve the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} (c^2 - s^2)a_{12} + sc(a_{11} - a_{22}) = 0 \\ c^2 + s^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

- Solving this system dividing by c^2 and introducing $t = s/c$:

$$\begin{cases} (1 - t^2)a_{12} + t(a_{11} - a_{22}) = 0 & \Leftrightarrow a_{12}t^2 + (a_{22} - a_{11})t - a_{12} = 0 \\ t^2 + 1 = 1 / c^2 \end{cases}$$

$$t = \frac{-2a_{12}}{-(a_{22} - a_{11}) \mp \sqrt{(a_{22} - a_{11})^2 + 4a_{12}^2}} = \frac{1}{\frac{a_{22} - a_{11}}{2a_{12}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{a_{22} - a_{11}}{2a_{12}}\right)^2 + 1}}$$

Here we have solved the quadratic equation $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$ as follows:

$$x = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} = \frac{2C}{-B \mp \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}$$

Finally,

$$c = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}$$

$$s = tc$$

- $\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - ta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} + ta_{12} \end{pmatrix}$

A symmetric $N \times N$ matrix: the Jacobi diagonalization

- To eliminate an off-diagonal element a_{pq} of a symmetric matrix, we apply a similarity transformation with the following orthogonal matrix (*Jacobi rotation*):

$$\mathbf{P}_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \dots & & & \\ & & c & \dots & s \\ & & \vdots & 1 & \vdots \\ & & -s & \dots & c \\ & & & & \dots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P}_{pq}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{pq}$$

This operation will eliminate (set to zero) the values of a_{pq} and a_{qp} . Repeating this operation for all pairs p, q ($p > q$), we would finally obtain a diagonal matrix. The total transformation matrix would be given by the product of all such \mathbf{P}_{pq} : seems to be *good news*!

- *Bad news*: The multiplication by \mathbf{P}_{pq} also affects all the matrix elements in the p -th and q -th rows and columns \Rightarrow elimination of one matrix element will destroy zeros created previously.
- *Good news*: Repeating this elimination many times (in an *iterative algorithm*) will finally result to a converged diagonal matrix.

- **Algorithm overview:**

Set $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$

do while !iterations

do $p=1, N$

do $q=1, p-1$

Calculate c and s from a_{pq} , a_{pp} , and a_{qq} .

Calculate $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P}_{pq}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{pq}$. *Note that an explicit construction of \mathbf{P}_{pq} is not needed; see below.*

Update \mathbf{U} : $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{P}_{pq}$. *An explicit matrix multiplication is not needed; see below*

end do ! q

end do ! p

Check convergence

end do !iterations

In more detail:

- Calculation of $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P}_{pq}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{pq}$ (working formulae):

if $a_{pq} = 0$ **then** *do nothing*;

else

$$\theta = \frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}}$$

$$t = \frac{1}{\frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}}\right)^2 + 1}} = \frac{1}{\theta \pm \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}}$$

of these two solutions, t with lowest absolute value should be taken (it corresponds to a smaller rotation):

$$t = \frac{\text{sgn}(\theta)}{|\theta| + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}}$$

watch the Fortran **SIGN** function!

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}$$

$$s = tc$$

$$a'_{pp} = a_{pp} - ta_{pq}$$

$$a'_{qq} = a_{qq} + ta_{pq}$$

$$a'_{pq} = 0 \quad \text{Evidently!}$$

The other elements in the same row and columns must be calculated for all r ($r \neq p \wedge r \neq q$):

$$a'_{rp} = ca_{rp} - sa_{rq}$$

$$a'_{rq} = ca_{rq} + sa_{rp}$$

- Calculation of $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{P}_{pq}$ (working formulae):

$$u'_{rp} = cu_{rp} - su_{rq}$$

$$u'_{rq} = su_{rp} + cu_{rq}$$

for all r .

endif

Convergence criterion:

$\Delta < \varepsilon$, where ε is a small number (e.g., $1 \cdot 10^{-12}$) and

$$\Delta = \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} |a_{pq}| \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta = \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} a_{pq}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta = \max\{|a_{pq}|\}$$

Programming task

• *Input:*

- The size of the matrix N ;
- The matrix itself (row by row).

• *Output:*

- Eigenvalues;
- Eigenvectors.