

A Survey of Risk-Aware Multi-Armed Bandits

Vincent Tan

NUS



Prashanth L. A.

IIT Madras



Krishna Jagannathan

IIT Madras



IJCAI (Vienna, Austria), Jul 2022

Going to office: Bandit style



On every day

- 1 Pick a route to office
- 2 Reach office and record (suffered) delay

Why Consider Risk?



$\mathbb{E}[\text{time}] = 10 \text{ mins}, \Pr(\text{jam}) = 0.1$



$\mathbb{E}[\text{time}] = 11 \text{ mins}, \Pr(\text{jam}) = 0$

Why Consider Risk?



$\mathbb{E}[\text{time}] = 10 \text{ mins}, \Pr(\text{jam}) = 0.1$ $\mathbb{E}[\text{time}] = 11 \text{ mins}, \Pr(\text{jam}) = 0$



- Delays are stochastic.
- In choosing between routes, we **need not necessarily** minimize expected delay.
- Two route scenario: Average delay of Route 1 slightly below that of Route 2.
- Route 1 has a **small** chance of **very** high delay, e.g., jams.
- I might prefer Route 2.

Preliminary Definitions I

Definition

Given i.i.d. random samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from the distribution of a random variable, the **empirical distribution function** is

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq x\} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Preliminary Definitions I

Definition

Given i.i.d. random samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from the distribution of a random variable, the **empirical distribution function** is

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq x\} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition

Random variable X is **σ^2 -sub-Gaussian** if its cumulant generating function

$$\log \mathbb{E}[\exp(rX)] \leq \frac{r^2 \sigma^2}{2} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

See Wainwright (2019, Theorem 2.1) for equivalent characterizations.

Preliminary Definitions I

Definition

The **Wasserstein distance** between two cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) F_1 and F_2 on \mathbb{R} is

$$W_1(F_1, F_2) := \inf_{F \in \Gamma(F_1, F_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y| \, dF(x, y)$$

where $\Gamma(F_1, F_2)$ is the set of couplings of F_1 and F_2 .

Preliminary Definitions I

Definition

The **Wasserstein distance** between two cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) F_1 and F_2 on \mathbb{R} is

$$W_1(F_1, F_2) := \inf_{F \in \Gamma(F_1, F_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y| \, dF(x, y)$$

where $\Gamma(F_1, F_2)$ is the set of couplings of F_1 and F_2 .

Alternative expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(F_1, F_2) &= \sup |\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(Y)]| \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_1(s) - F_2(s)| \, ds = \int_0^1 |F_1^{-1}(\beta) - F_2^{-1}(\beta)| \, d\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is over all 1-Lipschitz functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Concentration of Mean-Variance

- Mean-variance (Markowitz, 1952) with risk tolerance γ :

$$MV = \gamma\mu - \sigma^2.$$

Concentration of Mean-Variance

- Mean-variance (Markowitz, 1952) with risk tolerance γ :

$$\text{MV} = \gamma\mu - \sigma^2.$$

- Empirical mean-variance

$$\widehat{\text{MV}}_n := \gamma\hat{\mu}_n - \hat{\sigma}_n^2$$

where $\hat{\mu}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ are the sample mean and sample variance respectively.

Concentration of Mean-Variance

- Mean-variance (Markowitz, 1952) with risk tolerance γ :

$$\text{MV} = \gamma\mu - \sigma^2.$$

- Empirical mean-variance

$$\widehat{\text{MV}}_n := \gamma\hat{\mu}_n - \hat{\sigma}_n^2$$

where $\hat{\mu}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ are the sample mean and sample variance respectively.

Lemma (Concentration bound for MV (simplified))

For any $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\Pr \left[|\widehat{\text{MV}}_n - \text{MV}| > \epsilon \right] \leq 2 \exp \left[-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{8\gamma^2\sigma^2} \right] + 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n}{16} \min \left[\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^4}, \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma^2} \right] \right)$$

Concentration of Lipschitz-continuous Risk Measures

- Cassel et al. (2018) considered general risk measures that satisfy a Lipschitz requirement under some norm.

Concentration of Lipschitz-continuous Risk Measures

- Cassel et al. (2018) considered general risk measures that satisfy a Lipschitz requirement under some norm.
- Prashanth and Bhat (2020) use the Wasserstein distance as the underlying norm.

Definition

A risk measure $\rho(\cdot)$ is **L-Lipschitz** if for all cumulative distribution functions (F, G) ,

$$|\rho(F) - \rho(G)| \leq L W_1(F, G).$$

Idea: Use $\rho_n = \rho(F_n)$ as an estimate of $\rho(F) = \rho(X)$ ($X \sim F$), where

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq x\} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Concentration of Lipschitz-continuous Risk Measures

Theorem

Let X be a sub-Gaussian r.v. with parameter σ^2 . Suppose ρ an L -Lipschitz risk measure. Then, for every ϵ satisfying

$$\frac{256\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\epsilon}{L} < \frac{256\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + 16\sigma\sqrt{2e}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \epsilon = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

we have

$$\Pr [|\rho_n - \rho(X)| > \epsilon] \leq \exp \left(- \frac{n}{256\sigma^2 e} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{L} - \frac{256\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 \right).$$

Concentration of CVaR

Definition

The **Conditional Value-at-Risk** (CVaR) at level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ for a r.v. X is

$$\text{CVaR}_\alpha(X) := \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \xi + \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)} \mathbb{E}[(X - \xi)^+] \right\}.$$

- Empirical CVaR given $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$:

$$c_{n,\alpha} = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \xi + \frac{1}{n(1 - \alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \xi)^+ \right\}.$$

- But CVaR at level α is $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ -Lipschitz

$$|\text{CVaR}_\alpha(X) - \text{CVaR}_\alpha(Y)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} W_1(F_X, F_Y).$$

so we can use the preceding concentration bound.

Concentration of Spectral Risk Measures

Definition

Given a risk spectrum $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, the **Spectral Risk Measure** (SRM) M_ϕ associated with ϕ is defined by Acerbi (2002) as

$$M_\phi(X) = \int_0^1 \phi(\beta) F_X^{-1}(\beta) \, d\beta.$$

- Suppose $\phi(u) \leq K$ for all $u \in [0, 1]$, then

$$|M_\phi(X) - M_\phi(Y)| \leq K W_1(F_X, F_Y).$$

- Use the general concentration result for Lipschitz risk functionals and the estimator

$$m_{n,\phi} = \int_0^1 \phi(\beta) F_n^{-1}(\beta) \, d\beta.$$

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

- K -armed bandit with unknown distributions $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_K)$.

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

- K -armed bandit with unknown distributions $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_K)$.
- At each time t , agent pulls an arm $A_t \in [K]$; this choice depends on the history $\mathcal{H}_{t-1} = (A_1, X_{1,A_1}, \dots, A_{t-1}, X_{1,A_{t-1}})$.

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

- K -armed bandit with unknown distributions $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_K)$.
- At each time t , agent pulls an arm $A_t \in [K]$; this choice depends on the history $\mathcal{H}_{t-1} = (A_1, X_{1,A_1}, \dots, A_{t-1}, X_{1,A_{t-1}})$.
- Seek to minimize the **cumulative regret**:

$$R_n^\rho(\nu, \pi) := \mathbb{E} \left[n \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} \rho(\nu_i) - \sum_{t=1}^n \rho(\nu_{A_t}) \right],$$

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

- K -armed bandit with unknown distributions $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_K)$.
- At each time t , agent pulls an arm $A_t \in [K]$; this choice depends on the history $\mathcal{H}_{t-1} = (A_1, X_{1,A_1}, \dots, A_{t-1}, X_{1,A_{t-1}})$.
- Seek to minimize the **cumulative regret**:

$$R_n^\rho(\nu, \pi) := \mathbb{E} \left[n \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} \rho(\nu_i) - \sum_{t=1}^n \rho(\nu_{A_t}) \right],$$

- Play all arms once, then

$$A_t = \arg \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \text{LCB}_t(i) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\text{LCB}_t(i) = \rho_{i,T_i(t-1)} - w_{i,T_i(t-1)}$$

and $\rho_{i,T_i(t-1)}$ is the estimate of $\rho(\nu_i)$ with $T_i(t-1)$ samples.

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

Using the previous bounds for Lipschitz risk measures, we can obtain.

Theorem

The expected regret R_n^ρ of **Risk-LCB** satisfies the following bound:

$$R_n^\rho \leq \sum_{i: \Delta_i > 0} \frac{4L^2\sigma^2[32\sqrt{e\log n} + 256\sqrt{2}]^2}{\Delta_i} + 5K\Delta_i$$

where

$$\Delta_i = \rho(\nu_{i^*}) - \rho(\nu_i).$$

Application to UCB-type Bandit Algorithms

Using the previous bounds for Lipschitz risk measures, we can obtain.

Theorem

The expected regret R_n^ρ of **Risk-UCB** satisfies the following bound:

$$R_n^\rho \leq \sum_{i: \Delta_i > 0} \frac{4L^2\sigma^2[32\sqrt{e\log n} + 256\sqrt{2}]^2}{\Delta_i} + 5K\Delta_i$$

where

$$\Delta_i = \rho(\nu_{i^*}) - \rho(\nu_i).$$

Bound mimics that of risk-neutral UCB except that Δ_i 's depend on ρ .

Thompson Sampling-type Bandit Algorithms

For Gaussian bandits, Zhu and Tan (2020) considered MVTs with the following sampling and update strategy:

- 1 Sample precision $\tau_{i,t}$ from $\text{Gamma}(\alpha_{i,t-1}, \beta_{i,t-1})$;
- 2 Sample $\theta_{i,t}$ from $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}_{i,t-1}, 1/T_{i,t-1})$;
- 3 Play $A_t = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \gamma \theta_{i,t} - 1/\tau_{i,t}$ and observe X_{t,A_t} ;
- 4 Update $(\hat{\mu}_{A_t,t-1}, T_{A_t,t-1}, \alpha_{A_t,t-1}, \beta_{A_t,t-1})$ using Bayes rule.

Thompson Sampling-type Bandit Algorithms

For Gaussian bandits, Zhu and Tan (2020) considered MVTs with the following sampling and update strategy:

- 1 Sample precision $\tau_{i,t}$ from $\text{Gamma}(\alpha_{i,t-1}, \beta_{i,t-1})$;
- 2 Sample $\theta_{i,t}$ from $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}_{i,t-1}, 1/T_{i,t-1})$;
- 3 Play $A_t = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \gamma \theta_{i,t} - 1/\tau_{i,t}$ and observe X_{t,A_t} ;
- 4 Update $(\hat{\mu}_{A_t,t-1}, T_{A_t,t-1}, \alpha_{A_t,t-1}, \beta_{A_t,t-1})$ using Bayes rule.

Theorem (Zhu and Tan (2020))

The expected regret of MVTs is

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n^\rho}{\log n} \leq \sum_{i=2}^K \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1,i}^2}, \frac{1}{h(\sigma_i^2/\sigma_1^2)} \right\} (\Delta_i + 2\bar{\Gamma}_i^2),$$

where $\Gamma_{1,j} := \mu_1 - \mu_j$, $\bar{\Gamma}_i^2 := \max_{j \in [K]} (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2$, $\Delta_i := \text{MV}_{i^*} - \text{MV}_i$, and $h(x) := \frac{1}{2}(x - 1 - \log x)$. **Bound is asymptotically optimal as $\gamma \rightarrow \{0, \infty\}$.**

Conclusion and Future Work

- Follow up work by Baudry et al. (2021) and Chang and Tan (2022) on **Thompson sampling** for CVaR and continuous risk measures

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n^\rho}{\log n} \leq \sum_{i=2}^K \frac{\Delta_k^\rho}{K_{\inf}^\rho(\nu_k, r_1^\rho)} \quad \text{where} \quad K_{\inf}^\rho(\nu, r) = \inf_{\mu: \rho(\mu) \geq r} \text{KL}(\mu, \nu).$$

Conclusion and Future Work

- Follow up work by Baudry et al. (2021) and Chang and Tan (2022) on **Thompson sampling** for CVaR and continuous risk measures

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n^\rho}{\log n} \leq \sum_{i=2}^K \frac{\Delta_k^\rho}{K_{\text{inf}}^\rho(\nu_k, r_1^\rho)} \quad \text{where } K_{\text{inf}}^\rho(\nu, r) = \inf_{\mu: \rho(\mu) \geq r} \text{KL}(\mu, \nu).$$

- Many more **Lipschitz risk measures**, e.g., **cumulative prospect theory** (Jie et al., 2018; Prashanth et al., 2016) and **utility-based shortfall risk** (Artzner et al., 1999; Föllmer and Schied, 2002)

Conclusion and Future Work

- Follow up work by Baudry et al. (2021) and Chang and Tan (2022) on **Thompson sampling** for CVaR and continuous risk measures

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n^\rho}{\log n} \leq \sum_{i=2}^K \frac{\Delta_k^\rho}{K_{\text{inf}}^\rho(\nu_k, r_1^\rho)} \quad \text{where } K_{\text{inf}}^\rho(\nu, r) = \inf_{\mu: \rho(\mu) \geq r} \text{KL}(\mu, \nu).$$

- Many more **Lipschitz risk measures**, e.g., **cumulative prospect theory** (Jie et al., 2018; Prashanth et al., 2016) and **utility-based shortfall risk** (Artzner et al., 1999; Föllmer and Schied, 2002)
- **Best arm identification** (pure exploration) problems under risk constraints
 - Fixed budget (Kagrecha et al., 2019; Prashanth et al., 2020; Zhang and Ong, 2021)
 - Fixed confidence (David and Shimkin, 2016; David et al., 2018; Hou et al., 2022; Szorenyi et al., 2015)

References I

- C. Acerbi. Spectral measures of risk: A coherent representation of subjective risk aversion. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 26(7):1505–1518, 2002.
- P. Artzner, F. Delbaen, J.-M. Eber, and D. Heath. Coherent measures of risk. *Mathematical Finance*, 9(3):203–228, 1999.
- D. Baudry, R. Gautron, E. Kaufmann, and O. Maillard. Optimal thompson sampling strategies for support-aware cvar bandits. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139, pages 716–726. PMLR, Jul 2021.
- A. Cassel, S. Mannor, and A. Zeevi. A general approach to multi-armed bandits under risk criteria. In *Proceedings of the 31st Conference On Learning Theory*, pages 1295–1306, 2018.
- J. Q. L. Chang and V. Y. F. Tan. A Unifying Theory of Thompson Sampling for Continuous Risk-Averse Bandits. In *Proc. of the 36th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, Feb 2022.
- Y. David and N. Shimkin. Pure exploration for max-quantile bandits. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 556–571. Springer, 2016.
- Y. David, B. Szörényi, M. Ghavamzadeh, S. Mannor, and N. Shimkin. PAC bandits with risk constraints. In *ISAIM*, 2018.
- H. Föllmer and A. Schied. Convex measures of risk and trading constraints. *Finance and Stochastics*, 6(4):429–447, 2002.

References II

- Y. Hou, V. Y. F. Tan, and Z. Zhong. Almost optimal variance-constrained best arm identification, 2022. arXiv 2201.10142.
- C. Jie, L. A. Prashanth, M. C. Fu, S. I. Marcus, and C. Szepesvári. Stochastic optimization in a cumulative prospect theory framework. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 63(9): 2867–2882, 2018.
- A. Kagrecha, J. Nair, and K. Jagannathan. Distribution oblivious, risk-aware algorithms for multi-armed bandits with unbounded rewards. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 11269–11278, 2019.
- H. Markowitz. Portfolio selection. *The Journal of Finance*, 7(1):77–91, 1952.
- L. A. Prashanth and S. P. Bhat. A Wasserstein distance approach for concentration of empirical risk estimates, 2020. arXiv 1902.10709v3.
- L. A. Prashanth, J. Cheng, M. C. Fu, S. I. Marcus, and C. Szepesvári. Cumulative prospect theory meets reinforcement learning: prediction and control. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1406–1415. PMLR, 2016.
- L. A. Prashanth, K. Jagannathan, and R. K. Kolla. Concentration bounds for CVaR estimation: The cases of light-tailed and heavy-tailed distributions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 119, pages 5577–5586. PMLR, 2020.
- B. Szorenyi, R. Busa-Fekete, P. Weng, and E. Hüllermeier. Qualitative multi-armed bandits: A quantile-based approach. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1660–1668. PMLR, 2015.

References III

- M. J. Wainwright. *High-Dimensional Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Viewpoint*, volume 48. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- M. Zhang and C. S. Ong. Quantile bandits for best arms identification. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 12513–12523. PMLR, 2021.
- Q. Zhu and V. Y. F. Tan. Thompson sampling algorithms for mean-variance bandits. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2645–2654. PMLR, 2020.