Supplementary Material

I. PSEUDO-CODE OF HT&S

```
Algorithm 1 HT&S
```

```
Input: Maximum risk \delta, super arm set \mathcal{I}.
```

Output: The required number of time steps τ , the empirical mean of the reward $\hat{\mu}^{\nu}$.

```
1: for i \in \mathcal{I} do
```

Pull the super arm i, observe the reward, then update the $T_i(0)$ and $\hat{\mu}_i^{\nu}(0)$.

3: end for

4: Initialize $\hat{\mathbf{w}}^*(0)$ according to (16) of the main manuscript using $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu}(0)$, t=1.

5: while $Z(t) \leq \beta(t, \delta, \alpha)$ do (The definition of Z(t) is given in (13) of the main manuscript)

6: **if** $\operatorname{argmin}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i(t-1) \leq (\sqrt{t} - \frac{I}{2})^+$ **then**

 $A(t) = \operatorname{argmin}_{i \in \mathcal{T}} T_i(t-1),$

8: **else**

7:

9:
$$A(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{i \in \mathcal{T}} (t \hat{w}_i^*(t-1) - T_i(t-1)),$$

10: end if

Observe the reward R(t), update $\hat{\mu}_i^{\nu}(t)$ and $T_i(t)$ as $T_i(t) = \sum_{a=1}^t \mathbb{I}\left\{A(a) = i\right\}$, $\hat{\mu}_i(t) = \frac{1}{T_i(t)} \sum_{a=1}^t R(a) \mathbb{I}\left\{A(a) = i\right\}$, update Z(t) according to (13) of the main manuscript, update $\hat{w}_i^*(t)$ according to (16) of the main manuscript,

12: t = t + 1,

13: end while

14:
$$\tau_{\delta} = t$$
, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu} = [\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t), \cdots, \hat{\mu}_{I}^{\nu}(t)]$,

15: **return** $\tau = I + \tau_{\delta}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu}$.

II. Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. Assume a heteroscedastic Gaussian bandit instance ν : $\mu_1^{\nu} \geq \mu_2^{\nu} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_I^{\nu}$. There exists $\xi = \xi(\epsilon) \leq (\mu_1^{\nu} - \mu_2^{\nu})/4$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon} := [\mu_1^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_1^{\nu} + \xi] \times \dots \times [\mu_I^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_I^{\nu} + \xi]. \tag{1}$$

Then, for a bandit model $\hat{\nu}_t \in \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}$ and $t_0 > 0$

$$\sup_{t \ge t_0} \max_i |\hat{w}_i^*(t) - w_i^*| \le \epsilon. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, for all $\hat{\nu}_t \in \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}$, the empirical optimal arm is $A^*(\hat{\nu}_t) = 1$.

Let define $h(T) = T^{1/4}$ and the event

$$\mathcal{E}_T(\epsilon) = \bigcap_{t=h(T)}^T \left(\hat{\nu}_t \in \mathcal{I}_\epsilon \right), \tag{3}$$

where it holds for $t \ge h(T)$ that $A^*(\hat{\nu}_t) = 1$. Then, let rewrite Z(t) in (13) of the main manuscript as

$$Z(t) = \min_{i \neq 1} \left(T_1(t) D_{\text{HG}}(\hat{\mu}_1^{\nu}(t), q(t)) + T_i(t) D_{\text{HG}}(\hat{\mu}_i^{\nu}(t), q(t)) \right) = t f_{\text{Z}} \left(\hat{\nu}_t, \left(\frac{T_i(t)}{t} \right)_{i=1}^I \right), \tag{4}$$

where q(t) is given in (14) of the main manuscript and $f_{\rm Z}\!\left(\nu',\mathbf{w}'\right) = \min_{i\neq 1} \left(w_1' D_{\rm HG}(\mu_1',q_i') + w_i' D_{\rm HG}(\mu_i',q_i')\right)$ and

$$q_i' = \frac{w_1' + w_i'}{w_1' \mu_i' + w_i' \mu_1'} \mu_1' \mu_i'. \tag{5}$$

Lemma II.1. The sampling rule ensures that $T_i(t) \ge \sqrt{t} - 1$ and that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$, there exists a constant $t_{\epsilon} = \max\left\{\left\lceil\frac{t_0}{3\epsilon}\right\rceil, \left\lceil\frac{1}{3\epsilon^2}\right\rceil, \left\lceil\frac{1}{12\epsilon^3}\right\rceil\right\}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \ge t_0} \max_i |\hat{w}_i^*(t) - w_i^*| \le \epsilon \Rightarrow \sup_{t \ge t_\epsilon} \max_i \left| \frac{T_i(t)}{t} - w_i^* \right| \le 3(I - 1)\epsilon. \tag{6}$$

Proof. See the proof in Appendix III.

According to Lemma II.1 and the definition of \mathcal{E}_T , when $T \geq t_{\epsilon} = \max\{\lceil \frac{t_0}{3\epsilon} \rceil, \lceil \frac{1}{3\epsilon^2} \rceil, \lceil \frac{1}{12\epsilon^3} \rceil\}$, we define

$$C_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu) = \inf_{\hat{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}: |\hat{w}_{i} - w_{i}^{*}| \le 3(I-1)\epsilon} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}), \tag{7}$$

then on the event \mathcal{E}_T it is holds that

$$Z(t) \ge tC_{\epsilon}^*(\nu), \quad \forall t \ge \sqrt{T}.$$
 (8)

When $T \geq t_{\epsilon}$, it holds on \mathcal{E}_T that

$$\min(\tau_{\delta}, T) \leq \sqrt{T} + \sum_{t=\sqrt{T}}^{T} \mathbb{I}_{(\tau_{\delta} > t)} \leq \sqrt{T} + \sum_{t=\sqrt{T}}^{T} \mathbb{I}_{(Z(t) \leq \beta(t, \delta, \alpha))}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{T} + \sum_{t=\sqrt{T}}^{T} \mathbb{I}_{(tC_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu) \leq \beta(T, \delta, \alpha))} = \max\left\{\sqrt{T}, \frac{\beta(T, \delta, \alpha)}{C_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu)}\right\}$$
(9)

Let introduce

$$T_{0}(\delta) = \inf \left\{ T \in \mathbb{N} : \max \left\{ \sqrt{T}, \frac{\beta(T, \delta, \alpha)}{C_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu)} \right\} \le T \right\} = \inf \left\{ T \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{\beta(T, \delta, \alpha)}{C_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu)} \le T \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ T \in \mathbb{N} : C_{\epsilon}^{*}(\nu)T \ge \ln(\alpha T/\delta) \right\}.$$
(10)

Using Lemma 18 of [1], we have

$$T_0(\delta) \le \frac{\alpha}{C_{\epsilon}^*(\nu)} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha e}{\delta C_{\epsilon}^*(\nu)} \right) + \ln \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta C_{\epsilon}^*(\nu)} \right) \right]. \tag{11}$$

Lemma II.2. There exist two constants Γ_b and Γ_c (which depend on ν and ϵ) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_T^c) \le \Gamma_b T \exp(-\Gamma_c T^{1/8}). \tag{12}$$

Proof. See the proof in Appendix IV.

Using Lemma II.2, for every $T \ge \max(T_0(\delta), t_{\epsilon})$, one has $\mathcal{E}_T \in (\tau_{\delta} \le T)$, therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{\delta} > T\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{T}^{c}\right) \le \Gamma_{b} T \exp\left(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8}\right). \tag{13}$$

According to the definition of $C^*_{\epsilon}(\nu)$ in (7) and $c^*(\nu)^{-1}$ in (9) of the main manuscript, there is $C^*_{\epsilon}(\nu) \leq c^*(\nu)^{-1}$. As a result, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_{\delta}] = \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} P(\tau_{\delta} \geq T) = \sum_{T=1}^{\max(T_{0}(\delta), t_{\epsilon})} P(\tau_{\delta} \geq T) + \sum_{T=\max(T_{0}(\delta), t_{\epsilon})+1}^{\infty} P(\tau_{\delta} \geq T)$$

$$\leq t_{\epsilon} + T_{0}(\delta) + \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b} T \exp\left(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8}\right)$$

$$\leq t_{\epsilon} + \alpha c^{*}(\nu) \left[\ln\left(\frac{\alpha e c^{*}(\nu)}{\delta}\right) + \ln\ln\left(\frac{\alpha c^{*}(\nu)}{\delta}\right)\right] + \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b} T \exp\left(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8}\right).$$
(14)

Lemma II.3. Let ν represent a heteroscedastic Gaussian bandit instance. When ν has a unique optimal arm, then we have

$$c^*(\nu) \le c_{\mathbf{u}}^*(\nu),\tag{15}$$

where

$$c_{\mathbf{u}}^{*}(\nu) = \left(\frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{i=1}^{I}\mu_{i}^{\nu}}\ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{\mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{i=1}^{I}\mu_{i}^{\nu}}\ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu})^{2}}{8\sigma^{2}\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}}\right)^{-1}.$$
(16)

Proof. Please refer to Appendix V.

Let V represent a set of I-armed heteroscedastic Gaussian bandit instances. Note that

$$c^*(\nu)^{-1} = \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_I} \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}: i \neq A^*(\nu), \mu_i^{\mathbf{u}} > \mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathbf{u}}} \Big(\sum_{a \in \{A^*(\nu), i\}} w_i D_{\mathrm{HG}}(\mu_a^{\nu}, \mu_a^{\mathbf{u}}) \Big),$$

which is related to the choice of u and w. To remove the u and w, according to Lemma II.3, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_{\delta}] \leq t_{\epsilon} + \alpha c^{*}(\nu) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha e c^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) + \ln \ln \left(\frac{\alpha c^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) \right] + \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b} T \exp(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8})$$

$$\leq t_{\epsilon} + \alpha c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha e c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) + \ln \ln \left(\frac{\alpha c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) \right] + \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b} T \exp(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8})$$
(17)

Since $\tau = I + \tau_{\delta}$ according to Line 5 of Algorithm 2, there is

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = I + \mathbb{E}[\tau_{\delta}]$$

$$\leq I + t_{\epsilon} + \alpha c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha e c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) + \ln \ln \left(\frac{\alpha c_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}(\nu)}{\delta} \right) \right] + \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b} T \exp(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8}).$$
(18)

Let $A = I + T_{\epsilon}$, the proof is concluded.

III. PROOF OF LEMMA II.1

Proof. Introduce t'_0 such that when $t'_0 \ge t_0$, it holds that

$$\forall t > t'_0, \quad \sqrt{t} < 2t\epsilon \text{ and } 1/t < \epsilon.$$
 (19)

To meet the requirement $\sqrt{t} \leq 2t\epsilon$, if $t \geq \max\{t_0, \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2}\}$. Thus, $t_0' = \max\{t_0, \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2}\}$. According to Lemma 17 and its proof which is presented in Appendix B.2 in [1], by choosing $\hat{\lambda}(i) = \hat{w}_i^*(t)$ and $\lambda^* = \mathbf{w}^*$, we have

$$\sup_{i} \left| \frac{T_i(t)}{t} - w_i^*(\nu) \right| \le (I - 1) \max\left(2\epsilon + \frac{1}{t}, \frac{t_0'}{t}\right) \le (I - 1) \max\left(3\epsilon, \frac{t_0'}{t}\right). \tag{20}$$

As a result, when $t \ge \frac{t_0}{3\epsilon}$, it holds that $\sup_i \left| \frac{T_i(t)}{t} - w_i^*(\nu) \right| \le 3(I-1)\epsilon$. Let

$$t_{\epsilon} = \max\left\{ \left\lceil \frac{t_0}{3\epsilon} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{1}{3\epsilon^2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{1}{12\epsilon^3} \right\rceil \right\},\tag{21}$$

which conclude the proof.

IV. PROOF OF LEMMA II.2

Proof. First, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{T}^{c}) \leq \sum_{t=h(T)}^{T} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\nu}_{t} \notin \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}) = \sum_{t=h(T)}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{I} [\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi)]. \tag{22}$$

According to Lemma II.1, for each arm, we have

$$T_i(t) > \sqrt{t} - I, \qquad \forall t \ge h(T).$$
 (23)

Then, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, T_{i}(t) \geq \sqrt{t} - I) \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{m = \sqrt{t} - I}^{t} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(m) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi) \\
\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \sum_{m = \sqrt{t} - I}^{t} \exp(-mD_{\text{HG}}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})) \leq \frac{e^{-(\sqrt{t} - I)D_{\text{HG}}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}}{1 - e^{-D_{\text{HG}}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}}.$$
(24)

where (a) is obtained according to (23), (b) and (c) holds because of the union bound and the Chernoff inequality. Due to the same reason, there is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \ge \mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi\right) \le \frac{e^{-(\sqrt{t} - I)D_{\mathrm{HG}}\left(\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu}\right)}}{1 - e^{-D_{\mathrm{HG}}\left(\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu}\right)}}.$$
(25)

Then, define

$$\Gamma_{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(\frac{e^{ID_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}}{1 - e^{-D_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}} + \frac{e^{ID_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}}{1 - e^{-D_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu})}} \right)
\Gamma_{c} = \min_{i \in [I]} \left[\min \left\{ D_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu}), D_{HG}(\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi, \mu_{i}^{\nu}) \right\} \right],$$
(26)

we can obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{T}^{c}) \leq \sum_{t=h(T)}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left[\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} - \xi) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\nu}(t) \leq \mu_{i}^{\nu} + \xi) \right]
\leq \sum_{t=h(T)}^{T} \Gamma_{b} \exp(-\sqrt{t}\Gamma_{c}) \leq \Gamma_{b} T \exp(-\sqrt{h(T)}\Gamma_{c}) = \Gamma_{b} T \exp(-\Gamma_{c} T^{1/8}),$$
(27)

which concludes the proof.

V. PROOF OF LEMMA II.3

Proof. By minimizing the KL distance between the reward distributions of two bandits associated with arm $A^*(\nu)$ and arm $i, i \neq A^*(\nu)$, (10) of the main manuscript can be transformed into

$$c^{*}(\nu)^{-1} = \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{I}} \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}: i \neq A^{*}(\nu), \mu_{i}^{\mathbf{u}} > \mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\mathbf{u}}} \left(\sum_{a \in \{A^{*}(\nu), i\}} w_{a} D_{\mathrm{HG}}(\mu_{a}^{\nu}, \mu_{a}^{\mathbf{u}}) \right).$$
(28)

By using the Lagrange multiplier method, we can solve the optimization problem

$$\min_{\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathrm{u}}, \mu_{i}^{\mathrm{u}}} w_{A^*(\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathrm{u}}}) + \frac{\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathrm{u}}}{2\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu}} + \frac{(\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathrm{u}} - \mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu})^{2}}{4\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu}\sigma^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right)
+ w_{i} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{\mu_{i}^{\mathrm{u}}}) + \frac{\mu_{i}^{\mathrm{u}}}{2\mu_{i}^{\nu}} + \frac{(\mu_{i}^{\mathrm{u}} - \mu_{i}^{\nu})^{2}}{4\mu_{i}^{\nu}\sigma^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$
s.t. $0 < \mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathrm{u}} \le \mu_{i}^{\mathrm{u}},$ (29)

and obtain the optimal value of $\mu^{\mathrm{u}}_{A^*(\nu)}, \mu^{\mathrm{u}}_i$, i.e.,

$$\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\mathbf{u}} = \mu_i^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{w_{A^*(\nu)} + w_i}{w_{A^*(\nu)}\mu_i^{\nu} + w_i\mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu}} \mu_{A^*(\nu)}^{\nu} \mu_i^{\nu}. \tag{30}$$

By substituting (30) into (28), there is

$$c^{*}(\nu)^{-1} = \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{I}} \inf_{i \neq A^{*}(\nu)} \left\{ \frac{w_{A^{*}(\nu)}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{w_{A^{*}(\nu)}\mu_{i}^{\nu} + w_{i}\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{(w_{A^{*}(\nu)} + w_{i})\mu_{i}^{\nu}} \right) + \frac{w_{i}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{w_{A^{*}(\nu)}\mu_{i}^{\nu} + w_{i}\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{(w_{A^{*}(\nu)} + w_{i})\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}} \right) + \frac{w_{A^{*}(\nu)}w_{i}(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{i}^{\nu})^{2}}{4\sigma^{2}(w_{A^{*}(\nu)}\mu_{i}^{\nu} + w_{i}\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu})} - \frac{1}{2}(w_{A^{*}(\nu)} + w_{i}) \right\}.$$
(31)

By setting $\hat{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{W}_I$ as $\hat{w}_i = \frac{\mu_i^{\nu}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \mu_i^{\nu}}$, according to (31), $c^*(\nu)^{-1}$ satisfies that

$$c^{*}(\nu)^{-1} \geq \inf_{i \neq A^{*}(\nu)} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{i}^{\nu})^{2}}{8\sigma^{2} \sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu}} \right\}.$$

$$(32)$$

Define $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}(\mu_{i}^{\nu}) \triangleq \frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{i}^{\nu})^{2}}{8\sigma^{2}\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}} - \frac{(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu})}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}}.$ By taking the derivative of $\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}$, we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}_{\mu}(\mu_{i}^{\nu})}{d\mu_{i}^{\nu}} = -\frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2(\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu})^{2}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{i}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{\sum_{i \neq g} \mu_{i}^{\nu}}{2(\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu})^{2}} \ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{i}^{\nu}}{\mu_{i}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{(\mu_{i}^{\nu} - 2)\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu} - (\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{i}^{\nu})^{2}}{8\sigma^{2}(\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu})^{2}} - \frac{\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu} - \mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{i}^{\nu}}{2(\sum_{a=1}^{I} \mu_{a}^{\nu})^{2}}.$$
(33)

Since $\frac{d\mathcal{F}_{\mu}(\mu_i^{\nu})}{d\mu_i^{\nu}} < 0$ always holds, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}(\mu_i^{\nu})$ is monotonically decreasing. Therefore, we can conclude that when the suboptimal arm $A'(\nu) = \operatorname{argmin}_{i \neq A^*(\nu)} \mu_i^{\nu}$ is selected, $c^*(\nu)$ will achieve its lower bound, i.e.,

$$c^{*}(\nu) \leq \left(\frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}}\ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{\mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}}\ln\left(\frac{2\mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}\right) + \frac{(\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} - \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu})^{2}}{8\sigma^{2}\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{A^{*}(\nu)}^{\nu} + \mu_{A^{\prime}(\nu)}^{\nu}}{2\sum_{a=1}^{I}\mu_{a}^{\nu}}\right)^{-1},$$
(34)

which concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Garivier and E. Kaufmann, "Optimal best arm identification with fixed confidence," in PMLR, 2016, pp. 998-1027.