

## TEST ANALYSIS

**Test object:** Calculator

**Testing approach:** Keyword-driven, Data-driven and Gherkin syntax

**Testing tool:** Robot Framework

	Keyword Driven	Data Driven	Gherkin
Differences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Test cases constructed from keywords in the library.</li><li>• Specific steps in test flow.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Can define keywords in the script file.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Test cases are constructed from keywords in the library but in higher abstraction level.</li><li>• Arguments embedded in critical keyword names: Given, When, Then.</li></ul>
Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tests are built from small steps.</li><li>• Easy to be maintained even by non-technical personnel</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Works well when need to repeat flows multiple times.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Easy to understand for business people as the tests are written in similar language to plain English.</li><li>• Can detect problems early by the non-technical management team.</li></ul>
Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Works only if need to test normal flow.</li><li>• When flow gets complicated, it becomes hard to describe the flow in specific steps.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Complicated for non-technical personnel.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Uneasy written test maintainability.</li></ul>
Execution results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Easy to read.</li><li>• More detailed than Gherkin 's report.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Very detailed</li><li>• For technical personnel</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Very easy to read.</li><li>• Too simple.</li></ul>