## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL — A PRIMER ON TAGLESS-FINAL PROGRAMMING IN HASKELL

Tagless final programming in Haskell is conventionally done using type classes, A *type class* is used to create *generic programs* which assume that only a minimum set of operations is defined for an unknown type t. In the following, we show a minimal working example of type-class which defines a DSL composed of two operations, add and isZero, for an unknown type t; we will call this class of types Number:

```
class Number t where
add :: t → t → t

isZero :: t → Bool

-- takes two numbers and returns a number
-- takes one number and returns a Boolean value
```

Consequently, one could define generic functions that accept as arguments of types that belong to the class Number:

```
multiplyByTwo :: (Number t) ⇒ t → t -- function signature
multiplyByTwo x = -- function body
if isZero x -- checks if x is Zero
then x
else add x x
```

The notation '(Number t) ⇒' can be interpreted as a contract for the multiplyByTwo function, which can thus be used only if arguments' type t belongs to the Number type-class. In fact, multiplyByTwo is just a generic program which will be interpreted depending on the implementation of add and isZero, which is chosen by the compiler depending on the type inferred. To make a particular type belong to a specific type-class, and thus participate in type-inference process, the instance directive must be used; for example, here we make the standard Int type a member of the Number type-class:

```
instance Number Int where

add x y = x + y

isZero 0 = True -- isZero is defined by pattern matching

isZero _ = False
```

Tagless final embedding is done by creating a type-class which is parametric with respect to the type of semantic interpreter; this class is conventionally called Symantics because it allows to create a concrete syntax for the embedding and provides a way to type the semantics combinators that will be retargeted to each domain. Here we define a a simply typed lambda calculus<sup>1</sup> for integer arithmetic [1]; the type-class parameter repr is a type function which will be reified when interpreting the expression:

```
class Symantics repr where

int :: Int → repr Int

add :: repr Int → repr Int

lam :: (repr Int → repr Int) → repr (Int → Int)

app :: repr (Int → Int) → repr Int
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Where lam is the *lambda* abstraction, app is function application, while int and add are two combinators.

:2 L. Delledonne et al.

A syntax that uses combinators such as lam and app is called a *higher-order abstract syntax* (HOAS) since it can model not only a representation of a simple type (such as Int) but also of a function of that type (e.g., Int  $\rightarrow$  Int)<sup>2</sup>.

To define multiple interpretations of the above language, one introduces different concrete definitions of the type variable repr. For example, here we define a concrete definition, a type named R, that allows to interpret the expression v0 and r0 following conventional arithmetic (a sort of recursive "identity" interpreter);

```
newtype R a = R {valueOf :: Integer}
2
    instance Symantics R where
        int x
                   = \mathbf{R} \times
4
        add e1 e2 = R (valueOf e1 + valueOf e2)
                   = R (valueOf . f . R
        app e1 e2 = R ((valueOf e1) (valueOf e2))
    eval = valueOf -- the "identity" interpreter
10
    v0 = add (int 1) (add (int 2) (int 2)) -- type is repr Int
11
    f0 = lam (\x \rightarrow add \x (int 22))
                                               -- type is repr Int \rightarrow repr Int
                                               -- type is Int, value is 27
    r0 = eval (app f0 v0)
13
```

The semantic interpretation of v0 and r0 can be augmented with something completely different. For example, we could instantiate repr such that some set of abstract interpretation is done on the same expressions; here we create an instance of repr that checks whether the resulting integer is even or odd:

```
data Parity
1
         = Even
2
         Odd
3
         deriving (Show)
5
    data PRepr a
6
         = P Parity
7
         | F (PRepr Int → PRepr Int)
8
    instance Symantics PRepr where
10
         int x =
11
             if (\text{mod } \times 2 = 0)
12
                  then P Even
13
                  else P Odd
14
15
         add (P Even) (P Even) = P Even
         add (P Odd) (P Odd) = P Even
         add _ _ = P Odd
17
         lam f = F f
         app (\mathbf{F} f) e0 = f e0
19
    P r1 = (app f0 v0) :: (PRepr Int)
21
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In this work, we are not going as far as declaring an higher-order syntax with lam and app combinators because standard Haskell syntax will do just fine for our purposes. Such feature, however, becomes essential when one wants to use higher order functions such as map and fold to define a circuit.

In the above code, r1 final value is 0dd which corresponds to the parity of 27. Note that v0 and f0 are the same as the example before, but the meaning of the result has changed given the new Symantics instance.

## **REFERENCES**

[1] Oleg Kiselyov. 2012. *Typed Tagless Final Interpreters*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 130–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32202-0\_3