CS 40 Homework 4

Viraj Zaveri

Tuesday, February 21st

1 Types

- **a.** $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$
- **b.** $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^+$
- **c.** $f : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$
- **d.** $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{B}$
- e. $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$
- $f. f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}^+$

2 Relations

Goal: Prove \sim is an equivalence relation.

Method: Direct proof

- (1) By definition, if \sim is an equivalence relation, it satisfies the properties of being reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- (2) Given: $a \sim b \leftrightarrow f(a) = f(b)$
- (3) Let $\exists (a, b, c) \in A(a \neq b \land b \neq c \land a \neq c)$
- (4) Separating (2) into two implication statements, we know the following: if $a \sim b$, then f(a) = f(b). Thus, if $a \sim a$, then f(a) = f(a). This implies that \sim is reflexive.
- (5) We also know that if $b \sim a$, then f(b) = f(a). Since the = operator is symmetric, this means that f(a) = f(b). Hence, \sim is symmetric.
- (6) Finally, if $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then f(a) = f(b) and f(b) = f(c). The = operator is also transitive; therefore, we can deduce that f(a) = f(c). Thus, \sim is transitive.

By the definition of an equivalence relation, since \sim is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is therefore an equivalence relation.

3 Cancellation

Goal: Prove the below inference rules are valid iff f is a bijection.

$$f \circ g = f \circ h \vdash g = h$$

 $g \circ f = h \circ f \vdash g = h$

Method: Proof by cases

We can conduct a proof by cases with 4 cases.

Case (i): f is both injective and surjective. If f is both injective and surjective, then f^{-1} must exist. If we apply f^{-1} to both sides of the first inference rule, we obtain $f^{-1}(f(g(x))) = f^{-1}(f(h(x)))$. This provides the identity ι . Therefore, f^{-1} and f cancel and we are left with g(x) = h(x). The same case applies to the second inference rule; however, the inverse is still applied to f and we obtain ι again.

Case (ii): f is surjective but not injective. We can define $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} = x^2$. f is therefore surjective since every positive real number and zero can be mapped to by a real number. However, the function isn't injective since not every element in the domain has a distinct element in the codomain. Furthermore, we define g(x) = x and h(x) = |x|. f(g(x)) and f(h(x)) will always produce the same output; however, $g(x) \neq h(x)$.

Case (iii): f is injective but not surjective. Since f isn't surjective, there exists at least one element in the codomain of f that isn't mapped to by an element in its domain. Thus, g and h aren't bounded by that element, so g and h can diverge from there.

Case (iv): f is neither injective nor surjective. Since cases (ii) and (iii) don't hold, the combination of them will not hold either. Therefore, in this case, the two inference rules are invalid.

4 Counting

- (1) Firstly, we want to prove that $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- (2) We can prove this by defining a function $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$:

$$f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2x - 1, & \mathbf{x} > 0 \\ -2x, & \mathbf{x} \le 0 \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

Since f(x) is both injective and surjective, it is bijective. Through the property of bijectivity, $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N}|$. Therefore, the set of all integers is countably infinite.

- (3) Next, we can define a set S as the set of all square numbers. We can also define a function $g: \mathbb{N} \to S$ where $g(x) = x^2$
- (4) g(x) is a bijective function since there is a unique element in the codomain for each element in the domain and every element in the codomain can be mapped to by some element in the domain.
- (5) Therefore, $|S| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- (6) By transitivity from steps (2) and (5), we can therefore state that $|\mathbb{Z}| = |S|$.

Thus, we can conclude that the cardinality of the set of integers is equal to the cardinality of the set of square numbers.

5 Cantor's Theorem

- (1) Assume for the sake of contradiction that $f: S \to \wp(S)$ is a surjective function.
- (2) Since f is surjective, $\exists x \in S(f(x) = D)$.
- (3) We also know from the property of surjection that $|S| >= |\wp(S)|$.
- (4) Assume that $x \in D$. This means that $x \in f(x)$ which means that $x \notin D$ from the definition of the construction of D through its predicate. We've reached a contradiction that x is an element of D yet not an element too.
- (5) Let's assume the opposite then that $x \notin D$. This means that $x \notin f(x)$ which means that $x \in D$. We've reached the same contradiction where x is simultaneously in D and not in D.
- (6) Therefore, we've shown that there is no $x \in S$ such that $f(x) = D \subseteq S$. f cannot be surjective then.
- (7) Our initial assumption of f being surjective was therefore invalid.

Thus, by proof by contradiction, $|S| < |\wp(S)|$.