

Octavian's Saga – README

Valentin-Ioan Vintilă

Faculty of Automatic Control and Computer Science
University Politehnica of Bucharest

1 Task 1

In order to prove that $SAT \leq_P Set\ Cover$, I have decided to use the fact that $SAT \leq_P Vertex\ Cover$ has already been proven.

1.1 Formal definition of the Set Cover problem

Let U be a set of elements and $C = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ be a collection of subsets of U . A *set cover* is a subcollection $C' \subseteq C$ whose union is U , meaning that, if $C' = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{|C'|}\}$, then $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_{|C'|} = U$.

In the assignment, the example provided states that $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $S_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $S_2 = \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $S_3 = \{2, 3\}$. It is then obvious that $C' = \{S_1, S_2\}$ is a set cover, while $C'' = \{S_2, S_3\}$ is not.

1.2 Proving that $Vertex\ Cover \leq_P Set\ Cover$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Then, let U and C be defined such that:

- The edges represent the elements in U , meaning that $E \rightarrow U$;
- The vertices correspond to the elements in C , meaning that $V \rightarrow C$.

Example 1. Consider the graph $G(V, E)$ where $V = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ and:

$$E = \{e_1(1, 2); e_2(1, 5); e_3(2, 3); e_4(2, 4); e_5(3, 4); e_6(3, 6); e_7(4, 5); e_8(5, 6)\}$$

A representation of such a graph can be found bellow: TODO

In such a scenario, $U = E$ would yield $U = \{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$ and the mapping $V \rightarrow C$ would correspond to:

$$S_1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$$

$$S_2 = \{e_1, e_3, e_4\}$$

$$S_3 = \{e_3, e_5, e_6\}$$

$$S_4 = \{e_4, e_5, e_7\}$$

$$S_5 = \{e_2, e_7, e_8\}$$

$$S_6 = \{e_6, e_8\}$$