

W3C LBD Community Group

Minutes - Call 27/05/2024

Attendees

- Katja Breitenfelder (Fraunhofer IBP, Germany)
- Alex Donkers (Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands)
- Mathias Bonduel (Neanex Technologies, Belgium)
- Arghavan Akbarieh (Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands)
- Amin Anjomshoaa (Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria)
- Eva Heinlein (RWTH Aachen University, Germany)
- Georgios Triantafyllidis (Norwegian University of Science and Technology)
- Hico Mc Donald
- Isabelle Fitkau (TU Berlin, Germany)
- Jakob Martin
- Jan-Iwo Jäkel (RWTH Aachen University, Germany)
- Janakiram Karlapudi (TU Dresden, Gropys)
- Jiucai Liu
- Klaus Linhard (Munich, Germany)
- Mads Holten Rasmussen (Amberg Group)
- Melina Rohne (RWTH Aachen University, Germany)
- Philipp Hagedorn (Ruhr University Bochum, Germany)
- Hervé Pruvost (Fraunhofer IIS/EAS)
- Rahel Kebede (Jönköping University, Sweden)
- Theo Duounas (University of Antwerp)
- Francisco Regateiro (Lisbon University, Instituto Superior Técnico)
- Wassim Jabi (Cardiff University)
- Wouter Lubbers (Semtech)
- Ahmad
- Ali Nakhaee
- Odilo Schoch

Please join the W3C LBD CG and subscribe to the internal mailing list:

[Linked Building Data Community Group \(w3.org\)](https://w3c.org/2024/05/27/minutes-call-27-05-2024/)

Presentation slides

- Slides Wassim Jabi:
https://github.com/w3c-lbd-cg/lbd/blob/gh-pages/presentations/20240527_Presentation_WassimJabi.pdf
- Slides Theo Dounas:
https://github.com/w3c-lbd-cg/lbd/blob/gh-pages/presentations/20240527_Presentation_TheoDounas.pdf
- Other interesting links:
 - https://github.com/arlav/IPFS_LBD/tree/main
 - <https://github.com/wassimj/topologicpy>
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WPPMt47buZU>

○

Date and time

- Monday 27th of May 2024, 15:00-16:30@UTC/ 16:00-17:30@CET/ 07:00-08:30@PST

Moderators

1. Alex Donkers

Agenda

1. Introduction of new members
2. Wassim Jabi (Cardiff University) and Theo Dounas (University of Antwerp) on “Integrating topologicpy with the semantic web: Opportunities for blockchain encoded digital twins”
3. Discussion
4. Further topics

Minutes

1. Introduction of new members

- Warm welcome to all new members!
- Amin Anjomshoaa, Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria: working in ontologies for smart buildings
- Wouter Lubbers, Semmtech, the Netherlands: working in linked data for data exchange and ontology building
- Hico Mc Donald, South Africa: working for a Web3 company, building apps with Linked Data.

2. Wassim Jabi (Cardiff University) and Theo Dounas (University of Antwerp) on “Integrating topologicpy with the semantic web: Opportunities for blockchain encoded digital twins”

- Wassim Jabi: intro to topologic library
 - from research work at Cardiff
 - C++ library to Python wrapped
 - renamed to topologicpy
 - follows boundary representation schema
 - graphs to represent buildings
 - use cases (spatial analysis)
 - energy analysis
 - shortest path
 - ..
 - uses ML to cluster > AI-powered library
 - integrates with large amount of tools (Windows, Revit, Rhino, Blender, IFC, etc.) > added now also RDF
 - behind the code, everything a graph
 - implicit: connections on software level
 - explicit: customizable by users
 - everything has their own dictionaries: edges, faces, vertices, etc.
 - decomposition of topology “core” classes
 - cell = closed shell
 - cell complex = aggregated cell (~building)
 - composition of topology > query in inverse direction
 - lateral topology: find neighbors

- live demo > jupyter notebook on Github of topologicpy
 - to RDF: take JSON intermediate from library > turn into TTL
 - manually created some geometry in the code
- Theo Dounas: blockchain and IPFS for digital twins through topologic
 - showing/discussing WIP
 - use cases
 - digital twins
 - blockchain and smart contracts > use cases in AEC industry
 - why? immutable, trust & security, governance, incentive alignment
 - why not? expensive for storing + not relevant when one stakeholder in project or aligned incentives
 - IPFS: for storing data in decentral data
 - IPFS
 - demo for setup
 - content ID per content > hash (will change when file has changed)
 - read and write to IPFS
 - for our research: store Linked Data, geometry and other files needed for buildings
 - earlier work
 - topologic tokens for circular economy
 - theoretical papers: crypto twins (blockchain digital twin), etc
 - blockchain for architectural design
 - design decisions
 - files
 - collab mode <> competitive mode
 - trace elements from Revit space and elements
 - collective digital factories
 - combining topologic + IPFS + smart contracts
 - publishing on IPFS readable by anyone
 - can be encrypted, but still possible to know if there's a change
 - CID of the entire building > put on smart contract
 - live demo of Ethereum for smart contracts

3. Discussion

- [Amin Anjomshoaa] dynamic design of building. Each transaction costs a lot? Use some kind of approach for dealing with dynamic aspects (an oracle blockchain?)?
 - no need to store everything on blockchain > at key moments in design phase, use in approval and verification mechanism (snapshot of digital twin)
 - topologic is the oracle, or use git. Chain link
- [Ali Nakhaee] NFT to represent physical objects. What advantages for project management and overall efficiency?
 - advantage only when looking at project from different points of view: building as material data bank > data gathered over element's lifetime. Immutable material passport
 - difficult to see full picture of circular economy, relatively early days
 - plans to test in real construction site
- [Mads] curious to see how you apply bot:Interface > connection between eg zone and wall
 - topologic are abstract models, design drivers. Interface is zero thickness between cells (eg walls). But if cells have thickness, the graph is a bit more involved

- BIM should be derived from design intent (conceptual dataset)
 - industry: start with too complex BIM models > need to simplify for spatial analysis => want to inverse this
- [Wassim] topologic more detailed than BOT > not in favor of subclasses. Equivalence?
 - [Mathias] topologic seems more generic compared to BOT as well, since it's not specific for buildings alone but could be used for anything?
 - [Mathias] where BOT was meant for creating a topological graph of individual objects, OMG/FOG were meant for linking individual objects with their geometrical representations
 - topologic as tool to create topological (Linked Data) graph more easily
- [Mads] [Modumate](#) demo recently seen: "graph + sketchup" > also looked into BOT for topologic representation
 - archilogic also use space graph
- [Alex] support needed from the community?
 - new to area, [first ontology](#) on Github (topologic) > comment
 - library is available on Github: <http://github.com/wassimj/topologicpy>
 - IPFS demo available: https://github.com/arlav/IPFS_LBD/tree/main
 - discord channel > ask for invite to Wassim Jabi
- [Alex] reasoning capabilities in ontologies > application in blockchain?
 - ontology of blockchain approaches in AEC > discover overlap, similarities
 - investigate Linked Data in file-less approach > study approach without IPFS when no files
 - [Wassim] IFC to topologic to RDF converter, first experiments
- [Mathias] different TTLs can contain the same content. Same goes for other RDF serializations, or between serializations. Recommend to [look into RDF Dataset Canonicalization algorithm](#) as a new W3C standard
- [Wassim] graph difference, deltas
 - [Mathias] graphy.js but not tested. Many alternatives in other communities, Linked Data developers
 - [Alex] we developed with students some RDF delta pipelines

4. Further topics

Next Call

- 17/06/2024, Monday, 15:00-16:30@UTC/ 16:00-17:30@CET/ 07:00-08:30@PST

Agenda: Timo Homburg and Nicholas Car, GeoSPARQL v1.1 and future

We are interested in getting suggestions from the community about potential agenda items and **Elevator Pitches** for the following calls. Please send your suggestions to the chairs or to internal-lbd@w3.org, whether you have a short presentation to bootstrap the discussion, and an approximate duration you think the discussion will last.

Previous minutes

<https://github.com/w3c-lbd-cg/lbd/tree/gh-pages/minutes>