

# Linear discriminant function fusion based on new definition of mean

Paweł Ksieniewicz<sup>[0000–0001–9578–8395]</sup>, Paweł Zyblewski<sup>[0000–0002–4224–6709]</sup>,  
and Robert Burduk<sup>[0000–0002–3506–6611]</sup>

Department of Systems and Computer Networks,  
Faculty of Electronics, Wrocław University of Science and Technology,  
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland  
`{pawel.ksieniewicz,pawel.zyblewski,robert.burduk}@pwr.edu.pl`

## Experiment 2 – Imbalanced datasets

**Table 1.** Results of the global Wilcoxon rank-sum test for various sizes of the classifier pool regarding *G-mean*.

Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)	Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)
3	1.924	2.326	3.011	2.739	29	1.717	2.500	2.750	3.033
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
5	1.620	2.424	3.239	2.717	31	1.652	2.587	2.826	2.935
	—	1	all	1		—	1	1	1
7	1.446	2.446	3.185	2.924	33	1.609	2.652	2.761	2.978
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
9	1.446	2.402	3.196	2.957	35	1.630	2.652	2.837	2.880
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
11	1.435	2.587	2.946	3.033	37	1.674	2.652	2.815	2.859
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
13	1.543	2.391	2.924	3.141	39	1.652	2.652	2.815	2.880
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
15	1.511	2.391	2.761	3.337	41	1.696	2.630	2.880	2.793
	—	1	1	all		—	1	1	1
17	1.576	2.500	2.804	3.120	43	1.717	2.609	2.815	2.859
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
19	1.543	2.326	2.924	3.207	45	1.717	2.609	2.815	2.859
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
21	1.543	2.435	2.859	3.163	47	1.717	2.696	2.750	2.837
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
23	1.609	2.565	2.772	3.054	49	1.783	2.652	2.750	2.815
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
25	1.652	2.413	2.793	3.141	51	1.739	2.674	2.859	2.728
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
27	1.674	2.413	2.793	3.120	53	1.761	2.652	2.891	2.696
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1

**Table 2.** Results of the global Wilcoxon rank-sum test for various sizes of the classifier pool regarding  $F1$ .

Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)	Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)
3	1.772	2.315	3.120	2.793	29	1.652	2.543	2.837	2.967
	—	1	all	1, 2		—	1	1	1
5	1.511	2.467	3.283	2.739	31	1.652	2.543	2.870	2.935
	—	1	all	1		—	1	1	1
7	1.424	2.446	3.120	3.011	33	1.674	2.630	2.783	2.913
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
9	1.402	2.337	3.217	3.043	35	1.674	2.696	2.859	2.772
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
11	1.413	2.413	3.120	3.054	37	1.696	2.674	2.783	2.848
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
13	1.391	2.370	3.054	3.185	39	1.717	2.652	2.815	2.815
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
15	1.402	2.446	2.804	3.348	41	1.717	2.696	2.859	2.728
	—	1	1	all		—	1	1	1
17	1.380	2.500	2.891	3.228	43	1.761	2.63	2.793	2.815
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
19	1.478	2.413	2.924	3.185	45	1.717	2.674	2.728	2.880
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
21	1.435	2.543	2.859	3.163	47	1.761	2.696	2.707	2.837
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
23	1.500	2.522	2.880	3.098	49	1.761	2.717	2.750	2.772
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
25	1.609	2.533	2.837	3.022	51	1.783	2.674	2.859	2.685
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
27	1.565	2.565	2.837	3.033	53	1.783	2.696	2.870	2.652
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1

**Table 3.** Results of the global Wilcoxon rank-sum test for various sizes of the classifier pool regarding *precision*.

Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)	Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)
3	2.348 —	2.402 —	2.685 —	2.565 —	29	3.033 all	2.522 3	2.109 —	2.337 —
5	2.228 —	2.446 —	2.674 1	2.652 1	31	3.043 all	2.576 3	2.043 —	2.337 —
7	2.391 —	2.598 —	2.402 —	2.609 —	33	3.033 all	2.663 3, 4	2.033 —	2.272 —
9	2.424 —	2.533 —	2.402 —	2.641 —	35	3.043 all	2.641 3, 4	2.076 —	2.239 —
11	2.587 —	2.467 —	2.402 —	2.543 —	37	3.065 all	2.587 3, 4	2.087 —	2.261 —
13	2.576 —	2.478 —	2.402 —	2.543 —	39	3.076 all	2.598 3, 4	2.065 —	2.261 —
15	2.685 3	2.522 —	2.185 —	2.609 3	41	3.076 all	2.620 3, 4	2.065 —	2.239 —
17	2.761 3	2.543 —	2.141 —	2.554 3	43	3.054 all	2.587 3	2.011 —	2.348 —
19	2.793 3	2.533 —	2.120 —	2.554 3	45	3.054 all	2.609 3, 4	2.054 —	2.283 —
21	2.826 3	2.522 —	2.141 —	2.511 —	47	3.011 all	2.576 3	2.054 —	2.359 —
23	2.913 3, 4	2.587 3	2.141 —	2.359 —	49	3.033 all	2.630 3, 4	2.076 —	2.261 —
25	2.946 all	2.565 —	2.152 —	2.337 —	51	3.022 all	2.554 3	2.130 —	2.293 —
27	3.000 all	2.511 —	2.141 —	2.348 —	53	3.033 all	2.576 4	2.163 —	2.228 —

**Table 4.** Results of the global Wilcoxon rank-sum test for various sizes of the classifier pool regarding *recall*.

Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)	Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)
3	1.793	2.457	3.011	2.739	29	1.543	2.543	2.924	2.989
	—	1	1, 2	1		—	1	1	1, 2
5	1.598	2.467	3.196	2.739	31	1.543	2.565	2.913	2.978
	—	1	all	1		—	1	1	1, 2
7	1.511	2.337	3.207	2.946	33	1.478	2.543	2.913	3.065
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
9	1.359	2.467	3.217	2.957	35	1.522	2.630	2.967	2.880
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1
11	1.370	2.478	3.141	3.011	37	1.522	2.587	2.902	2.989
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
13	1.413	2.370	3.054	3.163	39	1.500	2.587	2.891	3.022
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
15	1.370	2.478	2.880	3.272	41	1.543	2.565	2.935	2.957
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
17	1.446	2.457	2.957	3.141	43	1.587	2.543	2.870	3.000
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
19	1.478	2.348	2.946	3.228	45	1.565	2.565	2.870	3.000
	—	1	1, 2	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
21	1.478	2.478	2.902	3.141	47	1.630	2.565	2.815	2.989
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1, 2
23	1.500	2.500	2.772	3.228	49	1.652	2.587	2.826	2.935
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
25	1.478	2.522	2.815	3.185	51	1.630	2.587	2.957	2.826
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1
27	1.413	2.478	2.880	3.228	53	1.652	2.620	2.957	2.772
	—	1	1	1, 2		—	1	1	1

**Table 5.** Results of the global Wilcoxon rank-sum test for various sizes of the classifier pool regarding *specificity*.

Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)	Pool size	L (1)	MV (2)	M (3)	NM (4)
3	2.174	2.446	2.728	2.652	29	2.587	2.587	2.163	2.663
	—	—	1	1		—	—	—	3
5	2.033	2.435	2.902	2.63	31	2.630	2.696	2.098	2.576
	—	—	1, 2	1		3	3	—	3
7	2.054	2.424	2.946	2.576	33	2.565	2.652	2.076	2.707
	—	—	1, 2	1		—	3	—	3
9	2.022	2.413	2.837	2.728	35	2.630	2.696	2.196	2.478
	—	—	1, 2	1		—	3	—	—
11	2.120	2.348	2.685	2.848	37	2.630	2.674	2.196	2.500
	—	—	1	1, 2		—	3	—	—
13	2.152	2.380	2.761	2.707	39	2.696	2.652	2.152	2.500
	—	—	1	1		3	3	—	—
15	2.250	2.478	2.326	2.946	41	2.652	2.674	2.217	2.457
	—	—	—	all		—	3	—	—
17	2.293	2.543	2.348	2.815	43	2.652	2.674	2.109	2.565
	—	—	—	1, 3		3	3	—	—
19	2.239	2.522	2.446	2.793	45	2.630	2.674	2.174	2.522
	—	—	—	1		—	3	—	—
21	2.391	2.457	2.283	2.870	47	2.609	2.609	2.217	2.565
	—	—	—	3		—	—	—	—
23	2.500	2.543	2.337	2.620	49	2.587	2.652	2.217	2.543
	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
25	2.478	2.543	2.402	2.576	51	2.630	2.652	2.174	2.543
	—	—	—	—		—	3	—	—
27	2.587	2.587	2.217	2.609	53	2.641	2.674	2.239	2.446
	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland under the grant no. 2017/25/B/ST6/01750.