Equilibrium size distribution for terminally-molting (i.e. Tanner) crab

<u>Population states (subscripted by size)</u>

in– immature new shell crab (numbers-at-size vector)

io– immature old shell crab (numbers-at-size vector)

mn – mature new shell crab (numbers-at-size vector)

mo – mature old shell crab (numbers-at-size vector)

Population processes (subscripted by size)

- S_1 survival from start of year to time of molting/growth of immature crab (diagonal matrix)
- S_2 survival after time of molting/growth of immature crab to end of year (diagonal matrix)
- Φ probability of an immature crab molting (pr(molt|z), where z is pre-molt size; diagonal matrix)
- Θ probability that a molt is terminal (pr(molt to maturity|z, molt), where z is premolt size; diagonal matrix)
- *T* size transition matrix (non-diagonal matrix)
- *1* identity matrix
- *R* –number of recruits by size (vector)

In the following, the above (except for the identity matrix and R) are subscripted by population state (in, io, mn, mo) for generality. In particular, I wonder if survival of immature crab differs between those that molted and those that skipped.

Dynamics

$$in^{+} = R + S_{2in} \cdot \{T_{in} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{in}) \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + T_{io} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{io}) \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io\}$$
 (1)

$$io^{+} = S_{2io} \cdot \{ (1 - \Phi_{in}) \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + (1 - \Phi_{io}) \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io \}$$
 (2)

$$mn^{+} = S_{2mn} \cdot \{ T_{in} \cdot \Theta_{in} \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + T_{io} \cdot \Theta_{io} \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io \}$$
(3)

$$mo^+ = S_{2mo} \cdot \{S_{1mn} \cdot mn + S_{1mo} \cdot mo\}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

R above is numbers recruiting-at-size vector (all of which are assumed immature, new shell), "+" indicates year+1.

Equilibrium equations

$$\overline{in = R + S_{2in} \cdot \{T_{in} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{in}) \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + T_{io} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{io}) \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io\}}$$
 (6)

$$io = S_{2io} \cdot \{(1 - \Phi_{in}) \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + (1 - \Phi_{io}) \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io\}$$
 (7)

$$mn = S_{2mn} \cdot \{T_{in} \cdot \Theta_{in} \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \cdot in + T_{io} \cdot \Theta_{io} \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io} \cdot io\}$$
(8)

$$mo = S_{2mo} \cdot \{S_{1mn} \cdot mn + S_{1mo} \cdot mo\} \tag{9}$$

R above is equilibrium number of recruits-at-size vector

Equilibrium solution

Rewrite the above equilibrium equations as:

$$in = R + A \cdot in + B \cdot io \tag{10}$$

$$io = C \cdot in + D \cdot io \tag{11}$$

$$mn = E \cdot in + F \cdot io \tag{12}$$

$$mo = G \cdot mn + H \cdot mo \tag{13}$$

where *A*, *B*, *C*, *D*, *E*, *F*, *G*, and *H* are square matrices.

Solving for *io* in terms of *in* in eq. 11, one obtains

$$io = \{1 - D\}^{-1} \cdot C \cdot in \tag{14}$$

Plugging 14 into 10 and solving for *in* yields

$$in = \{1 - A - B \cdot [1 - D]^{-1} \cdot C\}^{-1} \cdot R \tag{15}$$

Equations 14 for *io* and 15 for *in* can simply be plugged into eq. 12 to yield *mn* while eq. 13 can then be solved for *mo*, yielding

$$mo = \{1 - H\}^{-1} \cdot G \cdot mn \tag{16}$$

where (for completeness):

$$A = S_{2in} \cdot T_{in} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{in}) \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \tag{17}$$

$$B = S_{2in} \cdot T_{io} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{io}) \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io} \tag{18}$$

$$C = S_{2io} \cdot (1 - \Phi_{in}) \cdot S_{1in} \tag{19}$$

$$B = S_{2in} \cdot T_{io} \cdot (1 - \Theta_{io}) \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io}$$

$$C = S_{2io} \cdot (1 - \Phi_{in}) \cdot S_{1in}$$

$$D = S_{2io} \cdot (1 - \Phi_{io}) \cdot S_{1io}$$
(18)
(20)

$$E = S_{2mn} \cdot T_{in} \cdot \Theta_{in} \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in} \tag{21}$$

$$E = S_{2mn} \cdot T_{in} \cdot \Theta_{in} \cdot \Phi_{in} \cdot S_{1in}$$

$$F = S_{2mn} \cdot T_{io} \cdot \Theta_{io} \cdot \Phi_{io} \cdot S_{1io}$$
(21)

$$G = S_{2mo} \cdot S_{1mn} \tag{23}$$

$$H = S_{2mo} \cdot S_{1mo} \tag{24}$$