

Malware Packet Analysis Tool: A Comprehensive Overview

Waleed

13 May 2025

waleed.root.sx

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Rationale and Design Choices	2
2.1	Why Develop a Malware Packet Analysis Tool?	2
2.2	Focus on Specific Threat Detection	2
2.3	Technology Choices	3
2.4	Ethical and Legal Considerations	4
2.5	Focus on Usability	4
3	System Requirements	4
3.1	Minimum Specifications	4
3.2	Recommended Specifications	5
4	Using the Malware Packet Analysis Tool	5
4.1	Installation	5
4.2	Operation	5
4.3	Settings Configuration	5
5	Technical Foundation	6
6	Limitations	6
7	Troubleshooting	6
8	Conclusion	7

1 Introduction

This project, undertaken as part of my final year at my university, presents the development of the Malware Packet Analysis Tool, a forensic software designed to analyse network traffic for signs of malicious activity. The tool aims to assist cybersecurity professionals in identifying potential threats, such as command and control (C2) beaconing, DNS anomalies, and data exfiltration, through detailed packet analysis. By leveraging open-source technologies and community-driven data sources, the tool provides a robust platform for network forensic investigations.

The motivation for this project stemmed from the growing prevalence of sophisticated cyber threats, which often exploit network communications to evade detection. As organisations increasingly rely on digital infrastructure, the need for accessible, user-friendly tools to monitor and analyse network traffic has become critical. This project addresses this need by offering a solution that balances technical depth with usability, enabling both technical and non-technical users to investigate potential security incidents effectively.

The primary objectives of the project were:

- To design and implement a tool capable of analysing PCAP files for malicious network activity.
- To provide clear, actionable outputs, including visualisations and reports, for cybersecurity investigations.
- To ensure ethical and legal compliance in the tool's design and usage.
- To create a scalable and extensible solution using widely available technologies.

2 Rationale and Design Choices

2.1 Why Develop a Malware Packet Analysis Tool?

The decision to develop a malware packet analysis tool was driven by the increasing complexity of cyber threats and the limitations of existing solutions. Many commercial forensic tools are expensive, proprietary, or require extensive expertise, making them inaccessible to smaller organisations or independent researchers. My goal was to create an open-source alternative that democratises access to network forensic capabilities. By focusing on packet-level analysis, the tool targets the core of network-based attacks, where malware often communicates with external servers or exfiltrates sensitive data.

This project aligned with my academic interest in cybersecurity and my desire to contribute to the field. The rise of advanced persistent threats (APTs) and the use of covert techniques, such as DNS tunnelling, underscored the need for tools that can detect subtle anomalies in network traffic. This project provided an opportunity to address these challenges while developing practical skills in software development and network analysis.

2.2 Focus on Specific Threat Detection

The tool specifically targets command and control (C2) beaconing, DNS anomalies (including DNS tunnelling and domain generation algorithm detection), and data exfiltration, as these represent some of the most prevalent and damaging network-based threats:

- **C2 Beaconing Detection:** Command and control infrastructure is a hallmark of advanced malware, enabling attackers to maintain persistent communication with compromised systems. I chose to focus on C2 beaconing because it is a common technique used by APTs and botnets to issue commands, receive updates, or exfiltrate data. Detecting periodic communication patterns, such as regular pings to external servers, is critical for identifying infected hosts. By

implementing algorithms to analyse timing intervals and consistency scores, the tool can flag potential C2 activity, even in noisy network environments. This focus was driven by the need to disrupt attacker control early in the attack lifecycle, preventing further compromise.

- **DNS Anomaly Detection:** DNS is a critical protocol often exploited by malware for covert communication. I prioritised two DNS-related threats:
 - **DNS Tunnelling:** This technique allows attackers to encode data within DNS queries, enabling data exfiltration or command delivery without triggering traditional firewalls. I chose to address DNS tunnelling due to its stealthy nature and increasing use in data breaches. The tool analyses DNS query patterns, looking for unusual payload sizes or high-frequency queries to uncommon domains, which are indicative of tunnelling activity.
 - **Domain Generation Algorithm (DGA) Detection:** Many malware variants use DGAs to generate numerous domain names for C2 communication, making them difficult to block. I included DGA detection to counter this evasion tactic, focusing on indicators like high consonant ratios, unusual entropy scores, and failed DNS lookups. This was a priority because DGAs are widely used by modern malware families, such as ransomware and banking trojans, and detecting them enhances the tool's ability to identify sophisticated threats.
- **Data Exfiltration Analysis:** Data breaches often involve the unauthorised transfer of sensitive information to external servers. I focused on data exfiltration because it represents a significant risk to organisations, potentially leading to financial loss or reputational damage. The tool detects traffic asymmetry (e.g., high outbound-to-inbound ratios), large data transfers to uncommon destinations, and unusual encoding patterns. These indicators were chosen because they are common in real-world exfiltration scenarios, such as those involving insider threats or malware like data stealers. By flagging these patterns, the tool helps users identify and respond to data breaches promptly.

These detection mechanisms were selected based on their prevalence in modern cyber attacks and their detectability through packet analysis. My research into threat intelligence reports and academic literature highlighted these techniques as critical areas of focus, ensuring the tool addresses real-world cybersecurity challenges effectively.

2.3 Technology Choices

The tool was built using Python, a versatile and widely adopted programming language, for several reasons:

- **Accessibility:** Python's extensive library ecosystem and community support make it ideal for rapid development and prototyping.
- **Scapy Integration:** The Scapy library, used for packet manipulation and analysis, offers powerful capabilities for dissecting network traffic, making it a natural fit for detecting C2, DNS, and exfiltration patterns.
- **Cross-Platform Compatibility:** Python's compatibility with Windows, macOS, and Linux ensured the tool could be deployed across diverse environments.

The graphical user interface (GUI) was developed using Tkinter, chosen for its simplicity and native integration with Python. While more advanced frameworks like PyQt were considered, Tkinter provided a lightweight solution suitable for the tool's scope, ensuring minimal overhead for users with modest system resources.

For visualisations, Matplotlib was selected due to its flexibility in generating graphs, such as IP and DNS communication patterns, which are essential for illustrating C2 and DNS anomalies. The Re-

portLab library was used for generating PDF reports, offering a reliable way to produce structured, shareable documents with embedded graphics.

The integration of the AbuseIPDB API was a deliberate choice to enhance the tool's detection capabilities. By cross-referencing IP addresses against a community-driven database of known malicious actors, the tool provides users with up-to-date threat intelligence, particularly for identifying C2 servers or exfiltration destinations. However, to address privacy concerns, this feature is optional and can be disabled, reflecting a user-centric design philosophy.

2.4 Ethical and Legal Considerations

Ethical considerations were paramount in the tool's design. Network analysis inherently involves handling sensitive data, raising concerns about privacy and legality. To address this, I embedded ethical guidelines within the tool's documentation and functionality:

- **Permission-Based Analysis:** The tool explicitly advises users to analyse only network traffic from systems they own or have explicit permission to monitor, preventing unauthorised surveillance.
- **Data Minimisation:** The tool is designed to collect and process only the data necessary for detecting C2, DNS anomalies, or exfiltration, reducing the risk of overreach.
- **Privacy Protections:** Optional API checks with AbuseIPDB can be disabled to avoid sending data to external services, accommodating users with strict privacy requirements.
- **Legal Compliance:** The documentation highlights compliance with data protection laws, such as GDPR in Europe and CCPA in California, ensuring users are aware of their legal obligations when analysing network traffic.

These design choices reflect a commitment to responsible use, balancing the need for effective threat detection with respect for user privacy and legal frameworks.

2.5 Focus on Usability

Recognising that users may vary in technical expertise, I prioritised usability in the tool's design. The GUI is intuitive, with clear buttons for uploading PCAP files, viewing visualisations, and configuring settings. The inclusion of graphs and reports ensures that findings related to C2, DNS anomalies, and exfiltration are accessible to non-technical stakeholders, such as managers or legal teams. Additionally, the whitelist feature allows users to filter out known-good traffic, reducing false positives and streamlining analysis of suspicious activity.

3 System Requirements

To ensure optimal performance, the tool has the following system requirements:

3.1 Minimum Specifications

- **Processor:** Intel Core i3 (7th generation or later) or AMD Ryzen 3
- **Memory:** 4 GB RAM
- **Storage:** 1 GB for installation, plus 10–20 GB for PCAP storage and analysis
- **Display:** 1280 × 800 screen resolution
- **Network:** Internet connection for API features

- **Operating System:** Windows 10/11, macOS 10.13 (High Sierra), or modern Linux distributions (64-bit)

3.2 Recommended Specifications

- **Processor:** Intel Core i7/i9 (12th generation or later) or AMD Ryzen 7/9
- **Memory:** 16 GB RAM or more
- **Storage:** SSD with 50 GB or more free space
- **Network:** High-speed internet connection

These specifications ensure the tool can handle large PCAP files and complex analyses efficiently.

4 Using the Malware Packet Analysis Tool

4.1 Installation

Before using the tool, users must install Python and the required dependencies. Navigate to the directory containing the `requirements.txt` file and run:

```
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

This command installs essential libraries, including Scapy, Tkinter, Matplotlib, and ReportLab, ensuring the tool functions correctly.

4.2 Operation

To analyse network traffic, follow these steps:

1. **Launch the Application:** Open the Malware Packet Analysis Tool to access the main interface.
2. **Load a PCAP File:** Click the “Upload PCAP File” button and select a valid `.pcap` file, generated using tools like Wireshark.
3. **Process the File:** The tool will analyse the packet data, which may take several minutes depending on file size.
4. **View Outputs:** Upon completion, a “Success!” screen provides options to:
 - Export graphs showing IP or DNS communication patterns.
 - View detailed logs in the `malware_forensics.log` file.
 - Generate a PDF report in the same directory as the PCAP file.
 - Return to the main menu.

If an invalid or corrupted PCAP file is uploaded, an error message will prompt the user to select a valid file.

4.3 Settings Configuration

The tool offers configurable settings to enhance usability:

- **Whitelist Management:** Users can upload a `.txt` file containing trusted IP addresses and domains (one per line, e.g., `192.168.1.1` or `example.com`). Whitelisted entries are excluded from malware detection.

- **API Key Configuration:** Users can enable IP reputation checks by entering an AbuseIPDB API key, obtained from abuseipdb.com. This feature is optional to accommodate privacy concerns and has a daily limit of 1,000 checks unless a subscription is purchased.

5 Technical Foundation

The tool was developed using a robust set of technologies and data sources to ensure effective packet analysis:

- **Python and Scapy:** Scapy handles packet parsing and analysis, enabling detailed inspection of network traffic for C2, DNS, and exfiltration patterns.
- **Tkinter:** Provides a lightweight GUI for user interaction.
- **Matplotlib:** Generates visualisations of IP and DNS communication patterns.
- **ReportLab:** Creates professional PDF reports with embedded graphics.
- **Whois Libraries:** Facilitate domain information lookups.
- **MAC Vendor Database:** Identifies hardware manufacturers for context.

The tool integrates external data sources, including the AbuseIPDB API for IP reputation checks, public malicious domain datasets, and protocol specifications to detect deviations from standard behaviour. These components ensure comprehensive and accurate analysis of targeted threats.

6 Limitations

The tool has several limitations that users should consider:

- **Technical Limitations:**
 - **Processing Performance:** Large PCAP files may require significant resources.
 - **Encrypted Traffic:** Limited visibility into HTTPS or SSL/TLS communications.
 - **Ground Truth:** Without baseline data, absolute malicious behaviour is hard to confirm.
- **Analytical Limitations:**
 - **False Positives:** Legitimate traffic may be flagged in complex environments.
 - **Evasion Techniques:** Sophisticated malware may evade detection.
 - **IP Spoofing:** Confirming traffic origin is challenging with spoofed addresses.
 - **Dynamic Infrastructure:** Rapidly changing threat infrastructure limits indicator longevity.
- **Operational Limitations:**
 - **User Expertise:** Effective use requires basic networking knowledge.
 - **Workflow Integration:** May not fully integrate with existing security systems.
 - **Scalability:** Performance may degrade with large network traffic volumes.

7 Troubleshooting

Common issues and solutions include:

- **File Loading Errors:** Ensure the PCAP file is not corrupted and was captured correctly.

- **Processing Timeouts:** Large files may require extended processing time; consider increasing system resources.
- **False Positives:** Use the whitelist feature to exclude known-good traffic.
- **Missing Data:** Some protocols may require additional parsing modules.

8 Conclusion

The Malware Packet Analysis Tool represents a significant step towards accessible, ethical, and effective network forensic analysis. By focusing on critical threats like C2 beaconing, DNS anomalies, and data exfiltration, the tool addresses pressing cybersecurity challenges. Its development has deepened my understanding of cybersecurity, software engineering, and ethical considerations, preparing me for future challenges in the field.