

share.coursera.org

ML:Clustering - Coursera

Unsupervised learning is contrasted from supervised learning because it uses an **unlabeled** training set rather than a labeled one.

In other words, we don't have the vector y of expected results, we only have a dataset of features where we can find structure.

Clustering is good for:

- Market segmentation
- Social network analysis
- Organizing computer clusters
- Astronomical data analysis

The K-Means Algorithm is the most popular and widely used algorithm for automatically grouping data into coherent subsets.

1. Randomly initialize two points in the dataset called the *cluster centroids*.
2. Cluster assignment: assign all examples into one of two groups based on which cluster centroid the example is closest to.
3. Move centroid: compute the averages for all the points inside each of the two cluster centroid groups, then move the cluster centroid points to those averages.

4. Re-run (2) and (3) until we have found our clusters.

Our main variables are:

K (number of clusters)

Training set $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}$

Where $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Note that we **will not use** the $x_0 = 1$ convention.

The algorithm:

Randomly initialize K cluster centroids $\mu(1), \mu(2), \dots, \mu(K)$

Repeat:

```

    for i = 1 to m:
        c(i) := index (from 1 to K) of cluster
        centroid closest to x(i)
    for k = 1 to K:
        mu(k) := average (mean) of points assigned
        to cluster k

```

The **first for-loop** is the 'Cluster Assignment' step. We make a vector c where $c(i)$ represents the centroid assigned to example $x(i)$.

We can write the operation of the Cluster Assignment step more mathematically as follows:

$$c^{(i)} = \operatorname{argmin}_k ||x^{(i)} - \mu_k||^2$$

That is, each $c^{(i)}$ contains the index of the centroid that has minimal distance to $x^{(i)}$.

By convention, we square the right-hand-side, which makes the function we are trying to minimize more sharply

increasing. It is mostly just a convention. But a convention that helps reduce the computation load because the Euclidean distance requires a square root but it is canceled.

Without the square:

$$||x^{(i)} - \mu_k|| = || \sqrt{(x_1^i - \mu_{1(k)})^2 + (x_2^i - \mu_{2(k)})^2 + (x_3^i - \mu_{3(k)})^2 + \dots} ||$$

With the square:

$$||x^{(i)} - \mu_k||^2 = || (x_1^i - \mu_{1(k)})^2 + (x_2^i - \mu_{2(k)})^2 + (x_3^i - \mu_{3(k)})^2 + \dots ||$$

...so the square convention serves two purposes, minimize more sharply and less computation.

The **second for-loop** is the 'Move Centroid' step where we move each centroid to the average of its group.

More formally, the equation for this loop is as follows:

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{n} [x^{(k1)} + x^{(k2)} + \dots + x^{(kn)}] \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Where each of $x^{(k1)}, x^{(k2)}, \dots, x^{(kn)}$ are the training examples assigned to group μ_k .

If you have a cluster centroid with **0 points** assigned to it, you can randomly **re-initialize** that centroid to a new point. You can also simply **eliminate** that cluster group.

After a number of iterations the algorithm will **converge**, where new iterations do not affect the clusters.

Note on non-separated clusters: some datasets have no real inner separation or natural structure. K-means can still evenly segment your data into K subsets, so can still be useful in this case.

Recall some of the parameters we used in our algorithm:

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} c^{(i)} = \text{index of cluster } (1,2,\dots,K) \text{ to which example } x^{(i)} \text{ is} \\ \text{currently assigned} \\ \\ \mu_k = \text{cluster centroid } k \text{ } (\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \\ \mu_{c^{(i)}} = \text{cluster centroid of cluster to which example } x^{(i)} \\ \text{has been assigned} \end{array} \right.$$

Using these variables we can define our **cost function**:

$$J(c^{(1)}, \dots, c^{(m)}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_K) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| x^{(i)} - \mu_{c^{(i)}} \right\|^2$$

Our **optimization objective** is to minimize all our parameters using the above cost function:

$$\min_{c, \mu} J(c, \mu)$$

That is, we are finding all the values in sets c , representing all our clusters, and μ , representing all our centroids, that will minimize **the average of the distances** of every training example to its corresponding cluster centroid.

The above cost function is often called the **distortion** of the training examples.

In the **cluster assignment step**, our goal is to:

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } J(\dots) \text{ with } c^{(1)}, \dots, c^{(m)} \text{ (holding } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_K \text{ fixed)} \end{array} \right.$$

In the **move centroid** step, our goal is to:

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } J(\dots) \text{ with } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_K \end{array} \right.$$

With k-means, it is **not possible for the cost function to sometimes increase**. It should always descend.

There's one particular recommended method for randomly initializing your cluster centroids.

1. Have $K < m$. That is, make sure the number of your clusters is less than the number of your training examples.
2. Randomly pick K training examples. (Not mentioned in the lecture, but also be sure the selected examples are unique).
3. Set μ_1, \dots, μ_k equal to these K examples.

K-means **can get stuck in local optima**. To decrease the chance of this happening, you can run the algorithm on many different random initializations. In cases where $K < 10$ it is strongly recommended to run a loop of random initializations.

```
for i = 1 to 100:  
    randomly initialize k-means  
    run k-means to get 'c' and 'm'  
    compute the cost function (distortion) J(c,m)  
pick the clustering that gave us the lowest cost
```

Choosing K can be quite arbitrary and ambiguous.

The elbow method: plot the cost J and the number of clusters K . The cost function should reduce as we increase the number of clusters, and then flatten out. Choose K at the point where the cost function starts to flatten out.

However, fairly often, the curve is **very gradual**, so there's no clear elbow.

Note: J will **always** decrease as K is increased. The one exception is if k-means gets stuck at a bad local optimum.

Another way to choose K is to observe how well k-means performs on a **downstream purpose**. In other words, you

choose K that proves to be most useful for some goal you're trying to achieve from using these clusters.

[From StackExchange](#) This links to a discussion that shows various situations in which K-means gives totally correct but unexpected results.

Next: [Dimensionality Reduction](#) Back to Index: [Main](#)