

**Abstract—**

**Index Terms—**

## I. NOTATIONS

The Transmitter energy arrival instants are marked by  $t_i$ 's with energy  $E_i^T$  while the receiver energy arrivals are marked by  $r_i$ 's with energy  $E_i^R$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . The receiver spends  $P$  amount of power to be *on* and no power when it is *off*. Hence each energy arrival  $E_i^R$  can be viewed as it adds  $T_i^R = \frac{E_i^R}{P}$  amount of time for which the receiver can be *on*. The maximum amount of time for which the receiver (and hence the Transmitter) can be *on* till time ' $t$ ' is given by function  $T^R(\cdot)$ . It can be easily seen that  $T^R(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_i < t} T_i^R$ . Similarly the maximum energy harvested at the transmitter till time ' $t$ ' is given by function  $E^T(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_i < t} E_i^T$ . The rate of bits transmission with power ' $p$ ', given by function  $g(\cdot)$  is assumed to follow the following properties as proposed in [1]

$$P1) g(0) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

$$P2) g(x) \text{ is concave in nature with } x. \quad (2)$$

$$P3) g(x) \text{ is increasing with } x. \quad (3)$$

$$P4) g(x)/x \text{ is monotonically decreasing with } x. \quad (4)$$

For convenience of presentation, we also follow the following convention : we use the notation  $\stackrel{L1}{=}$  or  $\stackrel{(1)}{=}$  or  $\stackrel{P1}{=}$  or  $\stackrel{T1}{=}$  to indicate that the equality " $=$ " follows from Lemma 1 / Equation (1) / Property 1 / Theorem 1 respectively (same for inequalities).

## II. OPTIMAL OFFLINE ALGORITHM

Before describing and proving the optimal algorithm we state the following lemmas which would be useful in later proofs

**Lemma 1.** *The transmitted power in an optimal solution in non-decreasing with time whenever the receiver is on.*

*Proof.* We prove this by contradiction. Assume that the transmit power is  $p_1$  from time  $A$  to  $B$  and then  $p_2$  for from  $B$  to  $C$  with  $p_1 > p_2$ . So this boils down to two cases-

*case - 1 :* The receiver is on throughout time  $A$  to  $C$  as shown in figure. In this case suppose we transmit at a power  $p' = \frac{p_1 t_1 + p_2 t_2}{t_1 + t_2}$  then the number of bits transmitted would be more over the same time duration due to concavity of  $g(p)$  as shown below.

$$g(p_1) \frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2} + g(p_2) \frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2} \leq g\left(\frac{p_1 t_1 + p_2 t_2}{t_1 + t_2}\right) \quad (5)$$

$$\implies g(p')(t_1 + t_2) \geq g(p_1)t_1 + g(p_2)t_2 \quad (6)$$

As we can transmit more number of bits during duration  $t_1 + t_2$  we could save total transmission time since we would have lesser number of bits left to transmit. Hence this case cannot be optimal.

*case - 2 :* The receiver is *off* for certain duration (say  $t$ ) of time during  $t_1 + t_2$  as shown in figure. Now consider the case where keeping everything else intact we put the receiver *off* from instant  $A$  to  $A + t$  and keep transmission from  $A + t$  to  $A + t_1 + t_2$ . This would always be feasible from the receiver point as energy with the receiver can only be non-decreasing with time. This scenario now boils down to *case - 1* from time  $A + t$  to  $A + t_1 + t_2$  and hence cannot be optimal.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *In an optimal solution once transmission has started the receiver is never off until transmission is complete.*

*Proof.* This is equivalent to saying there is no-breaks during transmission in optimal solution. We again prove this by contradiction. Keeping intact Lemma 1 the only case in which this can occur is the transmitter transmits with power  $p_1$  from time  $A$  to  $B$  and then the receiver is *off* from  $B$  to  $C$ , again the transmitter is *on* with power  $p_2$  from time  $C$  to  $D$  with  $p_1 < p_2$  as shown in figure . Consider the case where we keep the receiver *on* for time  $C - B$  from  $A$ . This makes the scenario as shown in figure . Now, a new energy arrival can occur at the transmitter anywhere between  $A$  to  $D$ .

*case - 1 :* If the arrival is between  $A$  and  $B'$ , then it can be easily seen that transmitting at a constant rate from  $B'$  to  $D$  would be better due to concavity of  $g(p)$ .

*case - 2 :* If the arrival is between  $B'$  and  $C$  (say  $C'$ ), then it can be easily seen that transmitting at a same rate  $p_1$  from  $B'$  to  $C'$  and at a constant rate from  $C'$  to  $D$  would deliver more number of bits. (At worst case energy arrival occurring at  $C$  would make this scenario transmit equal number of bits as the original scenario).

*case - 3 :* If there is an energy arrival from  $C$  to  $D$  (say  $D'$ ), then transmitting at a constant power from  $B'$  to  $D'$  and at same rate  $p_2$  would fetch more number of bits at the receiver.

Applying the above scenarios iteratively we could shift the receiver *off* duration  $C - B$  to the beginning of transmission and still at worst case transmit equal number of bits in same time duration. Hence having a break in-between transmission is always discouraged. We can also see that the optimal solution may not be unique.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *In the optimal solution we consider transmit power can only change at energy arrival of transmitter once transmission has started.*

*Proof.* Keeping in mind Lemma 1 and 2 its proof becomes same as the one for Lemma 2, [1].  $\square$

TABLE I  
ON-LINE ALGORITHM FOR ENERGY HARVESTING TRANSMITTER  
AND RECEIVER

---

**Input:** Bits to transmit  $B_0$ ;  $E_i^T$ ,  $E_i^R$  for  $t_i, r_i < t$  where  $t$  is the present time instant

---

**Initialize:**  $T_{start} = \min_{T^R(t)g\left(\frac{E^T(t)}{T^R(t)}\right) \geq B_0} t$

$B_{left} = B_0$ ,  $E_{left} = E^T(T_{start})$

**While**  $B_{left} \geq 0$

    Transmit at power  $\frac{E_{left}}{T}$  s.t.  $Tg\left(\frac{E_{left}}{T}\right) = B_{left}$

**if**  $t = t_i$  for any  $i$

$B_{left} = B_{left} - (t - \max(t_{i-1}, T_{start}))g\left(\frac{E_{left}}{T}\right)$

$E_{left} = E_{left} - (t - \max(t_{i-1}, T_{start}))\frac{E_{left}}{T}$

**end**

**end**

---

### III. ONLINE ALGORITHM FOR ENERGY HARVESTING TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER

*Notation:* The starting time of the transmission is denoted by  $T_{start}$  and the present time is denoted by  $t$ . The number of bits and energy left to transmit at any Transmitter energy epoch is represented by  $B_{left}$  and  $E_{left}$  receptively. The on-line algorithm that we propose is presented in table I. The following lemma can be easily concluded from the definition of the on-line algorithm and hence stated without proof.

**Lemma 4.** *The transmit power in the online algorithm is non-decreasing with time after  $T_{start}$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *The competitive ratio of the on-line algorithm presented in Table I is 2.*

*Proof.* This is equivalent to saying that the time taken by the on-line algorithm can at max be twice the time taken by optimal off-line algorithm. Let the time taken by the off-line version be  $T_{off}$  and the on-line version be  $T_{online}$ .

We now show that

$$T_{off} \geq T_{start} \quad (7)$$

This proof follows from contradiction. Let  $T_{off} < T_{start}$  and the optimal off-line algorithm transmits with energy in sequence  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$  for time  $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k\}$ . Now the number of bits transmitted can be bounded as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} g\left(\frac{e_i}{l_i}\right) l_i \stackrel{P2}{\leq} g\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} e_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{j=k} l_j}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{j=k} l_j \quad (8)$$

$$\stackrel{P3, P4}{\leq} g\left(\frac{E^T(T_{off})}{T_{off}}\right) T_{off} \quad (9)$$

$$\stackrel{P4}{\leq} \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{start}^-} g\left(\frac{E^T(t)}{t}\right) t < B_0 \quad (10)$$

where (10) follows from definition of  $T_{start}$ . But the off-line algorithm should transmit all  $B_0$  bits and hence this concludes that  $T_{off} \geq T_{start}$ .

Next we estimate the maximum time taken to complete transmission after  $T_{start}$  in the on-line algorithm. Let the on-line version transmits at power sequence  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  for time  $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k\}$ . Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} l_i g(p_i) = B_0 \quad (11)$$

$$\stackrel{L4}{\Rightarrow} g(p_1) \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} l_i \leq B_0 \quad (12)$$

$$\Rightarrow g\left(\frac{E^T(T_{start})}{T}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} l_i \leq B_0 \quad \text{s.t. } Tg\left(\frac{E^T(T_{start})}{T}\right) = B_0 \quad (13)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} l_i \leq T \quad (14)$$

But,  $T \stackrel{P4}{\leq} T^R(T_{start})$  as  $T_{start}g\left(\frac{E^T(T_{start})}{T_{start}}\right) \geq B_0 = Tg\left(\frac{E^T(T_{start})}{T}\right)$  and from the definition of  $T^R(T_{start})$  it follows that  $T^R(T_{start}) \leq T_{start}$ . So we can calculate the competitive ratio as

$$r = \max \frac{T_{online}}{T_{off}} = \frac{T_{start} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} l_i}{T_{off}} \leq \frac{T_{start} + T}{T_{off}} \quad (15)$$

$$\leq \frac{2T_{start}}{T_{off}} \stackrel{(7)}{\leq} 2 \quad (16)$$

□

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Yang and S. Ulukus, "Optimal packet scheduling in an energy harvesting communication system," *Communications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 220–230, January 2012.