

Solution of Mid-term Exam

Ke Zhu & Bo Yu & Saidi Luo

2018/11/29

1 (10 pts)

We know that $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{m})$, $\bar{Y} \sim N(\mu_2, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$. Since X_i 's and Y_j 's are independent, \bar{X}, \bar{Y} are independent. $\alpha(\bar{X} - \mu_1) + \beta(\bar{Y} - \mu_2) \sim N(0, \frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{\beta^2}{n})$, then we can get

$$f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = [\alpha(\bar{X} - \mu_1) + \beta(\bar{Y} - \mu_2)] / (\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{\beta^2}{n}} \sigma) \sim N(0, 1)$$

Since $(m-1)S_X^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{m-1}^2$, $(n-1)S_Y^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ and X_i 's and Y_j 's are independent, S_X^2, S_Y^2 are independent and

$$g(S_X^2, S_Y^2) = (m-1)S_X^2/\sigma^2 + (n-1)S_Y^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{m-1+n-1}^2 = \chi_{m+n-2}^2$$

\bar{X}, S_X^2 are independent, \bar{Y}, S_Y^2 are independent and X_i 's and Y_j 's are independent, so (\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) and (S_X^2, S_Y^2) are independent. $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ and $g(S_X^2, S_Y^2)$ are independent. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{m+n-2} &= \frac{N(0, 1)}{\sqrt{\chi_{m+n-2}^2/(m+n-2)}} \quad (\text{Need independence}) \\ &= \frac{f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{g(S_X^2, S_Y^2)/(m+n-2)}} \\ &= \frac{[\alpha(\bar{X} - \mu_1) + \beta(\bar{Y} - \mu_2)] / (\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{\beta^2}{n}} \sigma)}{\sqrt{[(m-1)S_X^2/\sigma^2 + (n-1)S_Y^2/\sigma^2]/(m+n-2)}} \\ &= \frac{[\alpha(\bar{X} - \mu_1) + \beta(\bar{Y} - \mu_2)]/\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{[(m-1)S_X^2 + (n-1)S_Y^2]}{m+n-2}} (\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{\beta^2}{n}})/\sigma} \quad (\text{Target's distribution}) \end{aligned}$$

2 (20 pts)

(i) Since $\theta^2 = (EX_1)^2$, the moment estimator of θ^2 is \bar{X}^2 .

(ii) The log likelihood function of $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ is

$$\log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2.$$

Let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = n(\bar{x} - \theta) = 0,$$

hence $\theta = \bar{x}$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = -n < 0.$$

It follows that the MLE of θ is \bar{X} . According to the invariant property of MLE, the MLE of θ^2 is \bar{X}^2 .

- (iii) According to the property of exponential family, \bar{X} is complete and sufficient for θ^2 . We have $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, \frac{1}{n})$, thus

$$E(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}) = \theta^2.$$

According to L-S theorem, $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$ is the UMVUE of θ^2 .

- (iv) The fisher information of θ is

$$I(\theta) = E \left[\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 = E(X - \theta)^2 = 1.$$

Let $\eta = g(\theta) = \theta^2$. The variance of $\hat{\eta} = \bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\eta}) &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}^2) \\ &= E(\bar{X}^4) - (E(\bar{X}^2))^2 \\ &= (\theta^4 + \frac{6\theta^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2}) - (\theta^2 + \frac{1}{n})^2 \\ &= (\theta^4 + \frac{6\theta^2}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2}) - (\theta^4 + \frac{2\theta^2}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}) \\ &= \frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The efficiency of $\hat{\eta} = \bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} e(\hat{\eta}) &= \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2 / (nI(\theta))}{\text{Var}(\hat{\eta})} \\ &= \frac{4\theta^2}{n(\frac{4\theta^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2n\theta^2}} \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\eta}$ is not an efficient estimator of θ^2 .

3 (20 pts)

- (i)

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\alpha}{\beta}\right) I_{[\alpha, +\infty)}(x_{(1)})$$

so $X_{(1)}$ is a sufficient statistic of α .

- (ii)

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^n} e^{\frac{n\alpha}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\beta}\right) I_{[\alpha, +\infty)}(x_{(1)})$$

so $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic of β .

$$\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{n}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\alpha}{\beta} \hat{\beta}_{MLE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\alpha}{n}$$

- (iii)

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\alpha}{\beta}\right) I_{[\alpha, +\infty)}(x_{(1)})$$

So $(X_{(1)}, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ is sufficient and complete. From (ii), (iii), we conclude

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MLE} = X_{(1)} \hat{\beta}_{MLE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\hat{\alpha}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - nX_{(1)}}{n}$$

4 (15 pts)

- (i) Let X denote the height of a male student in this university. We can estimate μ by either moment estimator or MLE. Moment estimator is $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$. MLE is $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ under the assumption of $X \sim N(\mu, 9)$.

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{1}{5}(174 + 171 + 168 + 175 + 170) = 171.6(cm).$$

Note that if we use MLE, a reasonable assumption of distribution is required.

- (ii) Since $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim i.i.d. N(\mu, 9)$, $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{9}{n})$, $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{3} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$ so

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mu} \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} \in (-z_{0.005}, z_{0.005}) \right) = 0.99$$

and $n = 5$, $\bar{X} = 171.6$. Therefore,

$$[\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{0.005}, \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{0.005}] = [168.1, 175.1]$$

is a 99% CI for μ .

- (iii) From (ii), the length of the CI is $2\sigma z_{0.005}/\sqrt{n}$. We need a \tilde{n} , s.t.

$$\frac{2\sigma z_{0.005}}{\sqrt{\tilde{n}}} \leq 0.2 \cdot \frac{2\sigma z_{0.005}}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \tilde{n} \geq 25n = 125.$$

5 (20 pts)

- (i)

$$\phi_X(t) = \exp(\lambda e^{it}) \Rightarrow \phi_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t) = \exp(n\lambda e^{it}) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim P(n\lambda)$$

- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i!) - n\lambda \\ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda} - n, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial^2 \lambda} = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda^2} < 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \bar{X} = 1.38 \\ I(\lambda) &= -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial^2 \lambda}\right) = \frac{n}{\lambda}, \quad \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \Rightarrow e_{\bar{X}} = \frac{1/I(\lambda)}{\text{Var}(\bar{X})} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (iii)

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1) \Rightarrow P\left(\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right| \leq z_{0.005}\right) \approx 0.99 \Rightarrow P(\lambda \in [1.10, 1.73]) \approx 0.99$$

6 (15 pts)

- (i) If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$ are unknown,

$$[(\bar{X}) - (\bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)] / [\sigma \sqrt{1/5 + 1/5}] \sim N(0, 1).$$

The pivot statistic

$$\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{4S_X^2 + 4S_Y^2}{8} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\right)}} \sim t_8.$$

Hence a 95% CI for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$\left[(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - t_{8,0.025} \sqrt{\frac{4S_X^2 + 4S_Y^2}{8} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \right)}, (\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) + t_{8,0.025} \sqrt{\frac{4S_X^2 + 4S_Y^2}{8} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \right)} \right] = [-0.133, 0.093].$$

If $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, we need to calculate

$$\left(\frac{S_X^2}{m} + \frac{S_Y^2}{n} \right)^2 / \left[\frac{S_X^4}{m^2(m-1)} + \frac{S_Y^4}{n^2(n-1)} \right] = 7.784.$$

then its nearest r is 8. For $m = n = 5, \alpha = 0.05$, the approximately 95% CI for μ_1, μ_2 is

$$\left[\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - t_{r,\alpha/2} \sqrt{S_X^2/m + S_Y^2/n}, \bar{X} - \bar{Y} + t_{r,\alpha/2} \sqrt{S_X^2/m + S_Y^2/n} \right] = [-0.133, 0.093].$$

- (ii) By the fact that $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{S_X/\sqrt{m}} \sim t_{m-1}$, $\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_2}{S_Y/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$ ($m = n = 5$), and by the independence between X and Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{S_X/\sqrt{5}} \in [-t_{4,0.01266}, t_{4,0.01266}], \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_2}{S_Y/\sqrt{5}} \in [-t_{4,0.01266}, t_{4,0.01266}]\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{S_X/\sqrt{5}} \in [-t_{4,0.01266}, t_{4,0.01266}]\right) \times P\left(\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_2}{S_Y/\sqrt{5}} \in [-t_{4,0.01266}, t_{4,0.01266}]\right) \\ &= (1 - 2 \times 0.01266)^2 \\ &= 0.95. \end{aligned}$$

Then (μ_1, μ_2) 's 95% CI is

$$\left[\bar{X} - t_{4,0.01266} \frac{S_X}{\sqrt{5}}, \bar{X} + t_{4,0.01266} \frac{S_X}{\sqrt{5}} \right] \times \left[\bar{Y} - t_{4,0.01266} \frac{S_Y}{\sqrt{5}}, \bar{Y} + t_{4,0.01266} \frac{S_Y}{\sqrt{5}} \right] = [0.050, 0.310] \times [0.090, 0.310]$$