

The purpose of this document is work thru an alternative to  $L_2$  cost that is a bit more efficient to compute, and gives the same results when choosing split predicates in decision tree growing.

## I Greedy decision trees

A general binary decision tree consists of

- internal *split* nodes, each containing a predicate that determines whether a record goes to the left or right child of that node.
- terminal *leaf* nodes, each containing a leaf model function whose value is the tree's prediction for any record that ends up in that node.

Greedy split optimization — choose the best out of all feasible splits, and repeat on the resulting child nodes until there are no feasible splits — is the most common way of growing decision trees. It depends on several things:

1. A cost function  $c$  used to define 'best'.
2. An enumeration of splits to consider. Pure greedy splitting considers all 'feasible' splits on all attributes.
  - (a) For categorical attributes, that, in general, means considering every partition of the categories into 2 subsets. However, for some important cost functions (eg Gini,  $L_2$ ), it can be shown that the optimal split can be found by sorting the categories by the corresponding score function (eg the response mean for  $L_2$  cost), and then considering only splits by score.
  - (b) For numerical attributes, the most general split would come from treating the distinct values like the categories of a categorical variable. However, no one does that, mostly because there are usually too many distinct values. Instead, only splits by  $\leq$  vs  $>$  one of the distinct values are considered.
3. A feasibility test that determines whether a given split on a given attribute is allowed. The most common case here is to require both children of the split contain some minimum number of training records.

## 2 Cost functions for $L_2$ numerical regression

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{(y, \mathbf{x})\}$  be the training data in the node to be split. It is a set of pairs of predictor record  $\mathbf{x}$  and ground truth response  $y$ , where  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  for numerical regression. We are considering splits on some particular predictor field  $x_k$ , which might be numerical or categorical.

The cost function for  $L_2$  regression is the sum of squared deviations from the mean:

$$L_2(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{T}} (y - \bar{y}_{\mathcal{T}})^2, \text{ where } \bar{y}_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{T}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{T}} y.$$

Note that computing this *accurately*, in an online fashion, for moderate  $\#\mathcal{T}$ , the number of records in  $\mathcal{T}$ , allowing for the updating/downdating needed for fast split optimization, requires some care.

However, a little bit of algebra will let us use a simpler alternative to get the same splits.

Any split partitions the training  $y$ -values  $\mathcal{T} = \{y\}$  into left and right subsets:  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{L} \uplus \mathcal{R}$ . The split cost is:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}) &= L_2(\mathcal{L}) + L_2(\mathcal{R}) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}} (y - \bar{y}_{\mathcal{L}})^2 + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}} (y - \bar{y}_{\mathcal{R}})^2 \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}} [y^2 - 2\bar{y}_{\mathcal{L}}y + \bar{y}_{\mathcal{L}}^2] + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}} [y^2 - 2\bar{y}_{\mathcal{R}}y + \bar{y}_{\mathcal{R}}^2] \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{L} \uplus \mathcal{R}} y^2 - \frac{(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} y)^2}{\#\mathcal{L}} - \frac{(\sum_{\mathcal{R}} y)^2}{\#\mathcal{R}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{\mathcal{L} \uplus \mathcal{R}} y^2$  doesn't depend on the split, minimizing  $c(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  is equivalent to minimizing  $-\left[\frac{(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} y)^2}{\#\mathcal{L}} + \frac{(\sum_{\mathcal{R}} y)^2}{\#\mathcal{R}}\right]$ , so we can use  $-\frac{(\sum_{\mathcal{T}} y)^2}{\#\mathcal{T}}$  as our cost function in split optimization.

### 3 Cost functions for $L_2$ vector-valued regression

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\}$  be the training data in the node to be split. Here the ground truth response  $\mathbf{y}$  is a vector,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , rather than a single number.

The cost function for  $L_2$  vector-valued regression is the sum of squared  $L_2$  distances from the mean vector:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(\mathcal{T}) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{T}} \|\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathcal{T}}\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (y_i - \bar{y}_{i\mathcal{T}})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same reasoning as in section ??, we get for a simpler cost:

$$c(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}) = - \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\sum_{\mathcal{L}} y_i)^2}{\#\mathcal{L}} + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\sum_{\mathcal{R}} y_i)^2}{\#\mathcal{R}} \right]$$