

# Representation Theory of Finite Groups - Assignment 2

Matteo Durante, s2303760, Leiden University

3rd March 2019

## Exercise 3.5

(a) Let's define the map  $N \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} M_i$  as  $n \mapsto (f_i(n))_{i \in I}$ . We will show that this is a  $R$ -module homomorphism making the desired diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_{i \in I} M_i \\ & \searrow f_j & \downarrow p_j \\ & & M_j \end{array}$$

The commutativity is trivial since, for any  $n \in N$ ,  $(p_j \circ f)(n) = p_j((f_i(n))_{i \in I}) = f_j(n)$ .

We want to prove that it is indeed an  $R$ -module homomorphism.

First of all, it is a group homomorphism because for every  $n, n' \in N$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n + n') &= (f_i(n + n'))_{i \in I} \\ &= (f_i(n) + f_i(n'))_{i \in I} \\ &= (f_i(n))_{i \in I} + (f_i(n'))_{i \in I} \\ &= f(n) + f(n') \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $r \in R$ ,  $n \in N$ . We see that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r \cdot n) &= (f_i(r \cdot n))_{i \in I} \\ &= (r \cdot f_i(n))_{i \in I} \\ &= r \cdot (f_i(n))_{i \in I} \\ &= r \cdot f(n) \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $N \xrightarrow{f'} \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ ,  $n \mapsto (f'_i(n))_{i \in I}$  be another  $R$ -module homomorphism making the diagrams commute. Then,  $f'_i(n) = p_i(f'(n)) = (p_i \circ f')(n) = f_i(n)$ , i.e.  $f'$  coincides with  $f$  in every component and therefore  $f = f'$ .

(b) Let's define the map  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{g} N$  as  $(m_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m_i)$ . This map is clearly well defined as there are finitely many  $i \in I$  s.t.  $m_i \neq 0$  (and hence  $g_i(m_i) = 0$ ), thus the one we are considering is a finite sum (we may disregard all of the  $m_i$  which are 0).

We will show that this is a  $R$ -module homomorphism making the desired diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_j & \xrightarrow{h_j} & \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \\ & \searrow g_j & \downarrow g \\ & & N \end{array}$$

The commutativity is trivial since, for any  $m_j \in M_j$ ,  $(g \circ h_j)(m_j) = g((m_i)_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m_i) = g_j(m_j)$ , where  $m_j$  is mapped by  $h_j$  to the element of  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  having a 0 at every coordinate  $i \neq j$  and  $m_j$  at the coordinate  $j$ .

It is a group homomorphism because for every  $(m_i)_{i \in I}, (m'_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} g((m_i)_{i \in I} + (m'_i)_{i \in I}) &= g((m_i + m'_i)_{i \in I}) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m_i + m'_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} (g_i(m_i) + g_i(m'_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m_i) + \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m'_i) \\ &= g((m_i)_{i \in I}) + g((m'_i)_{i \in I}) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $r \in R$ ,  $(m_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ . We see that:

$$\begin{aligned} g(r \cdot (m_i)_{i \in I}) &= g((r \cdot m_i)_{i \in I}) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} g_i(r \cdot m_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} r \cdot g_i(m_i) \\ &= r \cdot \left( \sum_{i \in I} g_i(m_i) \right) \\ &= r \cdot g((m_i)_{i \in I}) \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{g'} N$  be another  $R$ -module homomorphism making the diagrams commute. Then, considered an element  $(m_i)_{i \in I}$  s.t.  $m_i = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ ,  $g'((m_i)_{i \in I}) = g'(h_j(m_j)) = (g' \circ h_j)(m_j) = g_j(m_j) = g(h_j(m_j)) = g((m_i)_{i \in I})$ . Since these elements generate  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  and  $g'$  coincides with  $g$  on them,  $g = g'$ .

(c) For the first correspondence consider the morphism given by  $f \mapsto (f \circ h_i)_{i \in I}$ . We see that it is surjective for, given any collection of  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} N$ , we have a  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{f} N$  s.t.  $f \circ h_i = f_i$  for all  $i \in I$  by (b). On the other hand, the map factorizing all of the  $f_i$  through the  $h_i$  is uniquely defined, thus if  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{f, f'} N$  are two  $R$ -module homomorphisms s.t.  $(f \circ h_i)_{i \in I} = (g_i)_{i \in I} = (f' \circ h_i)_{i \in I}$ , since the two of them factorize the same collection of  $R$ -module homomorphisms, we have that  $f = f'$  again by (b).

For the second correspondence consider the morphism given by  $f \mapsto (p_i \circ f)_{i \in I}$ . We see that it is surjective for, given any collection of  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $N \xrightarrow{f_i} M_i$ , we have a  $R$ -module homomorphism  $N \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} M_i$  s.t.  $p_i \circ f = f_i$  for all  $i \in I$  by (a). On the other hand, the map factorizing all of the  $f_i$  through the  $p_i$  is uniquely defined, thus if  $N \xrightarrow{f, f'} \prod_{i \in I} M_i$  are two  $R$ -module homomorphisms s.t.  $(p_i \circ f)_{i \in I} = (g_i)_{i \in I} = (p_i \circ f')_{i \in I}$ , since the two of them factorize the same collection of  $R$ -module homomorphisms, we have that  $f = f'$  again by (a).

### Exercise 3.11

(a) Consider the map  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V$  given by  $A \mapsto ((a_{i,j})_{i=1}^n)_{j=1}^n$ . We will prove that it is an  $R$ -module isomorphism.

It is clearly a well defined group homomorphism, hence we start from checking that it is  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ -linear.

$$\begin{aligned} f(A \cdot B) &= f \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} b_{k,j} \right)_{i,j=1}^n \right) \\ &= \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} b_{k,j} \right)_{i=1}^n \right)_{j=1}^n \\ &= (A \cdot (b_{k,j})_{k=1}^n)_{j=1}^n \\ &= A \cdot ((b_{k,j})_{k=1}^n)_{j=1}^n \\ &= A \cdot f(B) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to check that it is an isomorphism, which is trivial because the map is clearly surjective and the only matrix mapped to the  $n$ -tuple of zero-vectors is the null one.

(b) Let  $M$  be a simple  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ -module. By [1, prop. 9.7],  $M \cong V$  because  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V$  as a left  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ -module and  $V$  is a simple  $R$ -module.

### Exercise 4.5

Throughout the exercise, we will use  $\cdot$  to denote the action of an element, while  $gv(-)$  will be the function induced by  $v(g^{-1} \cdot -)$ .

(a) We will begin by proving that, given any  $g \in G$ , the map which has been defined is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -automorphism of  $\mathbb{K}^X$ .

Let  $v, w \in \mathbb{K}^X$ . For any  $x \in X$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $g(\lambda \cdot v + \mu \cdot w)(x) = (\lambda \cdot v + \mu \cdot w)(g^{-1} \cdot x) = \lambda \cdot v(g^{-1} \cdot x) + \mu \cdot w(g^{-1} \cdot x) = \lambda \cdot gv(x) + \mu \cdot gw(x)$ , i.e.  $g$  defines a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space endomorphism.

It is clearly bijective, for  $g(g^{-1}v)(x) = g^{-1}v(g^{-1} \cdot x) = v((g^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot x)) = v((gg^{-1}) \cdot x) = v(x)$ , i.e.  $g \circ g^{-1} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^X}$  and in the same way  $g^{-1} \circ g = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^X}$  (here we are abusing the notation by calling  $g$  the function it defines).

It follows that  $g$  defines an element of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^X)$ .

We want to prove that the function  $G \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^X)$  sending  $g \in G$  to the automorphism defined by  $g^{-1}$  is actually a group homomorphism.

Let  $g, h \in G$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{K}^X$ ,  $x \in X$ . We see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(gh)(v)(x) &= (gh)^{-1}v(x) \\
&= v(gh \cdot x) \\
&= v(g \cdot (h \cdot x)) \\
&= g^{-1}v(h \cdot x) \\
&= \phi(g)(h^{-1}v)(x) \\
&= \phi(g)(\phi(h)(v))(x) \\
&= (\phi(g) \circ \phi(h))(v)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Remember that the  $\mathbb{K}[G]$  module structure of  $\mathbb{K}^X$  is given by  $v \mapsto g \cdot v := \phi(g)(v)$ .

We will now prove the  $\mathbb{K}[G]$ -linearity.

Let  $v_g \in \mathbb{K}^X$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $\lambda_g \neq 0$  for finitely many  $g \in G$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
f^* \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \cdot v_g \right) (x) &= \left( \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \cdot v_g \right) \circ f \right) (x) = \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \phi(g)(v_g) \right) (f(x)) \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \phi(g)(v_g)(f(x)) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g^{-1}v_g(f(x)) \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g v_g(g \cdot f(x)) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g v_g(f(g \cdot x)) \text{ by equivariance} \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g (v_g \circ f)(g \cdot x) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g^{-1}(v_g \circ f)(x) \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \phi(g)(f^*(v_g))(x) = \sum_{g \in G} (\lambda_g g \cdot f^*(v_g))(x) \\
&= \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \cdot f^*(v_g) \right) (x)
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

(c) We only have to prove that the mapping preserves the identities (i.e.  $\text{Id}_X \mapsto \text{Id}_{F(X)} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^X}$ ) and the compositions, reversing the arrows ( $g \circ f \mapsto (g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ ).

Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set. We have that, for any  $v \in \mathbb{K}^X$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\text{Id}_X^*(v)(x) = (v \circ \text{Id}_X)(x) = v(\text{Id}_X(x)) = v(x)$ , i.e.  $\text{Id}_X^* = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^X}$ .

Let now  $X, Y, Z$  be  $G$ -sets,  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  two  $G$ -equivariant maps. For any  $v \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^* \circ g^*)(v) &= f^*(g^*(v)) \\
&= (v \circ g) \circ f \\
&= v \circ (g \circ f) \\
&= (g \circ f)^*(v)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $F$  is indeed a contravariant functor.

#### Exercise 4.8

Consider a pair of ring homomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} R$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} R$ ,  $k = \text{char}(R)$ . We know that  $k|m, n$ , the characteristics of our domains, for otherwise we would not have at least one among the two ring homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  to  $R$ , hence  $k|\gcd(m, n) = d$ .

It follows that  $f([d]_m) = g([d]_n) = 0$ , thus by the universal property both ring homomorphisms factor uniquely through  $i$  and  $j$  as  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})/(d\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/(d\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ .

We still want to check that the two factorizations through  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  given by the canonical projections induce the same ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{h} R$ .

However, this is trivial, for a ring homomorphism must map the unit of the domain to the unit of the codomain, i.e.  $[1]_d \mapsto 1_R$ , and, since  $[1]_d$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ , this uniquely defines the ring homomorphism, thus there exists only one ring homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  to  $R$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} & & & \\
 & \downarrow i & \searrow f & & \\
 \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\exists! h} & R \\
 & \searrow g & & & 
 \end{array}$$

## References

- [1] Dalla Torre Gabriele. *Representation Theory*. 2010.