

# Algebraic Number Theory - Assignment 8

Matteo Durante, 2303760, Leiden University

14th November 2018

## Exercise 5

$\Rightarrow$  To prove the existence of an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x \in G$  s.t. it doesn't contain any other point in  $G$ , it is sufficient to show that, given a bounded set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|L \cap D| \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, afterwards we may consider a bounded open set  $B_r(x)$  and then reduce  $r$  to  $\min(\{r\} \cup \{\|y\| \mid y \in L \cap B_r(y), x \neq y\})$  to create the desired  $U$ .

Fix any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -base  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  of  $L$  (which will be a set of linearly independent vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and hence  $m \leq n$ ), then complete it with  $\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  making it into a base of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $x \in L$ . Then, given  $M = [v_1 | \dots | v_n]$  (an invertible matrix), it can be written as  $x = Ma$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  as  $(a_i)_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i > m$ .

Now, considered a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  compatible with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that  $\|a\| = \|M^{-1}x\| \leq \|M^{-1}\| \|x\| = c\|x\|$ . Requiring  $x \in D$ , where  $D$  is bounded, sets a bound on  $\|x\|$  and hence on  $\|a\|$ , thus there are finitely many  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  s.t.  $x = Ma \in L \cap D$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Let  $U$  be the subspace of  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  which is spanned by  $L$ . Let  $d = \dim(U)$ .  $L$  contains a basis of  $U$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, let's say  $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ .

Consider now  $L' := \mathbb{Z}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_d$ . Since the  $v_i$  form an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $U$ , they will form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $L'$ , which is a lattice in  $V$ .

We see that  $u \in U$  can be written as  $u = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_d v_d + l'$ , where  $l' \in L'$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_i < 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . This holds in particular for  $l \in L$ , thus we may represent uniquely an element in the coset  $l \in L/L'$  as  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_d v_d$ , where  $0 \leq \lambda_i < 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This implies that an element of  $L/L'$  can be represented by a unique element in  $L \cap \{u \in U \mid \|u\| < \sum_{i=1}^d \|v_i\|\}$  (indeed, if  $l = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_d v_d + l'$  with  $l' \in L'$ , then  $l - l' = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_d v_d$  will represent  $l$  in  $L/L'$ ), which is finite because  $L$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and therefore in  $U$ , hence  $L/L'$  is finite.

Let  $a = [L : L']$ . Then,  $aL \subset L'$  and  $aL$  is a free abelian group of rank  $d' \leq d$  as it is a subgroup of a free abelian group of rank  $d$ . Being the map  $L \xrightarrow{a} aL$  an isomorphism,  $L$  is a free abelian group of rank  $d' \leq d$ , thus, since  $L' \subset L$  and therefore  $d \leq d'$ , it has precisely rank  $d$ . This means that there are some elements  $l_1, \dots, l_d \in L$  generating  $L$ , which will therefore be  $= \mathbb{Z}l_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}l_d$ .

---

We prove that, for a subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , being discrete implies having finite intersection with every bounded set. It is sufficient to prove it for the compact ones  $K$ , for the closure of a bounded set is still bounded and hence compact.

Notice that we may just prove that  $G$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  because then  $G \cap K$  would be a compact set with the discrete topology, and hence finite.

Now, suppose that it is not closed. Then, there is a point  $x \in \overline{G} \setminus G$  s.t. for every open ball  $B_{1/n}(x)$  there is a  $x_n \in B_{1/n}(x) \cap G$ . For every  $n, m \geq n_0$ , we have that  $\|x_n - x_m\| <$

$\|x_n - x\| + \|x - x_m\| < 2/n_0$ , hence, considering the succession  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $y_n = x_{n+1} - x_n \in G$ , we have that it converges to 0, as  $\|y_n\| < 2/n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But then  $G$  is not discrete, against the hypothesis.

Now we prove that a subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is either dense or a lattice.

We have already proved that being discrete is equivalent to being a lattice, hence we may just prove that a non-discrete subgroup is dense.

Indeed, consider a point  $x \in G$  s.t. for every neighbourhood of it  $U$  we have that there is a  $y \in U \cap G$  with  $x \neq y$ . Consider an open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ , which will contain an open interval  $(a, b)$ . Now, choose  $y \in B_{b-a}(x) \cap G$  s.t.  $y \neq x$ .

Clearly,  $z = |x - y| \in G$  and  $z < b - a$ , hence  $\emptyset \neq (a, b) \cap \mathbb{Z}z \subset V \cap G$ .

### Exercise 6

$*$   $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}x_n$  and define  $C := \{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \mid \lambda_i \in [0, 1]\}$ . Consider now the projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/L$ , which is s.t.  $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$  if and only if  $x - x' \in L$ . For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we may find  $x' \in C$  s.t.  $x - x' \in L$ .

By construction,  $\pi|_C$  is onto  $\mathbb{R}^n/L$ . Since  $C$  is compact, so is  $\mathbb{R}^n/L$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Consider  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/L$ , which is open as  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = U + L = \bigcup_{x \in L} (x + U)$ .

Given an open cover of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(B_r(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ , we have that there is a finite index  $I$  s.t.  $\mathbb{R}^n/L = \bigcup_{i \in I} \pi(B_r(x_i))$ . Taking preimages, we get that  $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_r(x_i) + L$ , with  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_r(x_i)$  clearly bounded.

$\neg * \Rightarrow \neg(ii)$  Suppose that  $L$  has rank  $m < n$  and  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded by  $c > 0$ . Then, there is a  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $x$  is orthogonal to the subspace spanned by  $L$ . It follows that we have  $\|\lambda x - l\| = \sqrt{\lambda^2 \|x\|^2 + \|l\|^2} \geq |\lambda| \|x\|$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $l \in L$ .

Since  $B$  is bounded by  $c$ , we may choose a  $\lambda > 0$  large enough s.t.  $\lambda \|x\| > c$  and then  $\|\lambda x - (l + b)\| = \|(\lambda x - l) - b\| \geq |\lambda| \|x\| - c > 0$  for every  $l \in L$ ,  $b \in B$ . It follows that  $\lambda x \notin L + B$ .

## References

- [1] P. Stevenhagen, *Number Rings*, 2017.