

---

## Higher Category Theory

### Assignment 10

---

#### Exercise 1

*Proof.* (1) Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the class of maps being sent to bijections through  $\pi_0$ . Firstly we observe that  $\mathcal{F}$  is stable under retracts. Indeed, if  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and admits a retract  $g: X \rightarrow Y$ , then applying  $\pi_0$  yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_0(X) & \xrightarrow{s} & \pi_0(K) & \xrightarrow{p} & \pi_0(X) \\ \downarrow g_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow g_* \\ \pi_0(Y) & \xrightarrow{t} & \pi_0(L) & \xrightarrow{q} & \pi_0(Y) \end{array}$$

where  $ps = \text{id}$ ,  $qt = \text{id}$  and  $f_*$  is a bijection. From  $pf_*^{-1}tg_* = ps = \text{id}$ , one gets that  $g_*$  is injective, while from  $g_*pf_*^{-1}t = qt = \text{id}$ , it follows that  $g_*$  is surjective. Hence  $g_*$  is a bijection, i.e.  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Moreover, we claim that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under colimits, and hence under pushouts, coproducts and countable compositions. To this end, take any  $f_i: K_i \rightarrow L_i$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  indexed by a small category  $I$ . Since  $\pi_0$  is a left adjoint, we have  $\pi_0(\text{colim}_I f_i) = \text{colim}_I \pi_0(f_i)$  is a bijection and thus  $\text{colim}_I f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is saturated.

(2) Recall that

$$(\Lambda_k^n)_i = \{f: [i] \rightarrow [n] \mid \text{im}(f) \not\supseteq \{0, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}\}$$

for any  $i$ . Hence it follows directly that  $(\Lambda_k^n)_i = \Delta_i^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $i = 0, 1$ . Therefore  $\pi_0(\Lambda_k^n) = [\Delta^0, \Lambda_k^n] \cong [\Delta^0, \Delta^n] = \pi_0(\Delta^n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . For  $n = 1$  we have  $\Lambda_0^1 = \Lambda_1^1 = \Delta^0$  and  $\pi_0(\Lambda_k^1) = *$ , while by Exercise 1.1 of Sheet 9 we know that  $\pi_0(\Delta^n) = *$  for any  $n$ . Nevertheless, notice that this is not true for  $n = 0$ , as the 0-horn  $\Lambda_0^0 = \emptyset$  but  $\pi_0(\Delta^0) = *$ .

(3) From (2) it follows that the inclusions  $\Lambda_k^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$  (for  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) are in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence by Gabriel-Zisman all anodyne extensions belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(4) This follows immediately from (3) and a theorem in Lecture 17.

(5) Recall that  $\pi_0$  has an alternative definition  $\pi_0(X) = \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} X$ , which is equivalent to the homotopy class definition by Exercise 1 of Sheet 7. Since  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  has a final object  $[0]$ , it is filtered. Note that small filtered colimits of presheaves respect finite products, and therefore we have  $\pi_0(X \times Y) \cong \pi_0(X) \times \pi_0(Y)$  for all simplicial sets  $X, Y$ .  $\square$

## Exercise 2

*Proof.* (1) We begin by considering a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & p^{-1}(a) = X_a & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{a} & A \end{array}$$

where  $0 \leq k < n$  and the square on the right is a pullback. From the LLP of  $\Lambda_k^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$  against  $p$  we get a lift  $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$  and then, using the universal property of the pullback with respect to the lift and  $\Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^0$ , we get a lift of  $\Lambda_k^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$  against  $X_a \rightarrow \Delta^0$ .

This implies that  $X_a$  is an  $\infty$ -category, hence we only need to prove that its morphisms are invertible, which will make it a  $\infty$ -groupoid and therefore a Kan complex.

To prove this, for any morphism  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in  $X_a$  we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_x, f)} & X_a \\ \downarrow & \nearrow t & \\ \Delta^2 & & \end{array}$$

inducing the pictured 2-simplex  $t$  by our previous observations. The morphism  $f$  is a right inverse of  $d^2(t) = g: y \rightarrow x$  and from

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_y, g)} & X_a \\ \downarrow & \nearrow u & \\ \Delta^2 & & \end{array}$$

we also get a left inverse  $d^2(u) = h$  of  $g$ . It follows that  $g$  is invertible and the same goes for  $f$ .

(2) Let's consider for any morphism  $f: a_0 \rightarrow a_1$  in  $A$  the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda_0^1 = \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{x_0} & X & & \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow p & & \\ \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & A & & \end{array}$$

which from the LLP of  $\Lambda_0^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$  against  $p$  grants us the desired lift  $\phi: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$  of  $f$  along  $p$ .

To prove that the equivalence class of  $x_1$  in  $\pi_0(X_{a_1})$  does not depend on the choice of the lift we consider for any other such lift  $\psi: x_0 \rightarrow y$  the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{(\psi, \phi)} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow t & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{s_0(f)} & A \end{array}$$

granting us a 2-simplex  $t$  which induces a morphism  $d^0(t) = \xi: x_1 \rightarrow y$ . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\xi} & X \\
\searrow \xi & \swarrow & \downarrow p \\
& X_a & \longrightarrow X \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow p \\
& \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{a_1} A
\end{array}$$

then shows that this morphism also lies in  $X_a$  through the universal property of the pullback and therefore  $[x_1] = [y]$  in  $\pi_0(X_a)$ .

We have just proven that distinct lifts through  $p$  of the same morphism have the same codomain up to equivalence as long as they have the same domain.

(3) Let  $t: \Delta^2 \rightarrow A$  be the map corresponding to our commutative triangle. We proceed by drawing the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda_1^2 & \xrightarrow{(\phi', \phi)} & X \\
\downarrow & \nearrow u & \downarrow p \\
\Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{t} & A
\end{array}$$

which by the LLP of  $\Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$  against  $p$  grants us a lift  $u: \Delta^2 \rightarrow X$  (and therefore a commutative triangle) with  $d^0(u) = \phi'$ ,  $d^1(u) = \psi: x_0 \rightarrow x_2$  and  $d^2(u) = \phi$  such that  $p(\psi) = g$ .

(4) The functor, which we will denote by  $F$ , has already been well defined on objects, hence we only need to specify the action on morphisms. We know that for any map  $f: a_0 \rightarrow a_1$  in  $A$  we have a lift  $\phi: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$  such that  $p(\phi) = f$ , thus we define  $F([f]): \pi_0(X_{a_0}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{a_1})$  as  $F([f])([x_0]) = [x_1]$ , where  $[x_1]$  lies in  $\pi_0(X_{a_1})$  since  $p(d^0(\phi)) = d^0(p(\phi)) = d^0(f) = a_1$ . We need to show that this map is well defined, for which we will start with proving that, after fixing a representative  $f$  of  $[f]$ , if we have a morphism  $\psi: x_0 \rightarrow x'_0$  in  $X_{a_0}$  then we also have a morphism  $x_1 \rightarrow x'_1$  in  $X_{a_1}$  between the objects specified by the liftings  $\phi, \phi'$  of  $f$  with domains  $x_0, x'_0$ .

We can construct a map  $(\phi' \cdot \psi, \phi): \Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow X$  which, composed with  $p$ , gives us  $(p(\phi' \cdot \psi), f): \Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow A$ . We want to extend this to a 2-simplex  $t: \Delta^2 \rightarrow A$  where  $d^0(t) = \text{id}_a$ ; we will then lift it through  $p$  thanks to the RLP with respect to  $\Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$ , getting a 2-simplex  $u$  in  $X$  such that  $d^0(u)$  is by construction the desired morphism  $x_1 \rightarrow x'_1$  in  $X_{a_1}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{(\phi' \cdot \psi, \phi)} & X \\
\downarrow & \nearrow u & \downarrow p \\
\Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{t} & A
\end{array}$$

Notice that we have 2-simplices  $v, v'$  showing that  $f \cdot \text{id}_a = p(\phi') \cdot p(\psi) \sim p(\phi' \cdot \psi)$ ,  $f \cdot \text{id}_a \sim f$ , thus we may construct a horn  $(s_0(f), v', v): \Lambda_1^3 \rightarrow A$  which can be extended to a 3-simplex  $\alpha$  such that  $d^1(\alpha) = t$  is the desired 2-simplex in  $A$ .

Having proven that  $F([f])([x_0])$  does not depend on the representative of  $[x_0]$ , we show that it also does not depend on the representative of  $[f]$ .

Suppose that  $g \in [f]$ , i.e. we have a 2-simplex  $t$  in  $A$  showing that  $\text{id}_a \cdot f \sim g$ , meaning that  $d^0(t) = \text{id}_a$ ,  $d^1(t) = g$ ,  $d^2(t) = f$ . After choosing lifts  $\phi: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$ ,  $\psi: x_0 \rightarrow x'_1$  of  $f$ ,  $g$  through  $p$ , we can construct the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^2 & \xrightarrow{(\psi, \phi)} & X \\ \downarrow & \searrow^u & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow[t]{} & A \end{array},$$

where the lift  $u$  is such that  $d^0(u) = h$  provides the desired morphism  $x_1 \rightarrow x'_1$  in  $X_{a_1}$ .

This shows that  $F([f])$  is well defined. We still have to prove that this association is functorial.

If  $[f] = [\text{id}_a]$ , then for any  $[x] \in \pi_0(X_a)$  we may pick  $\text{id}_x$  as a lift of  $\text{id}_a$  through  $p$ , which then shows that  $F([\text{id}_a])([x]) = [x]$ .

On the other hand, consider two composable morphisms  $[f]$ ,  $[g]$ , where  $\text{dom}(f) = a$ . Given a 2-simplex  $t$  in  $A$  such that  $d^0(t) = g$ ,  $d^1(t) = g \cdot f$ ,  $d^2(t) = f$  and fixed an element  $[x_0] \in \pi_0(X_a)$ , after fixing lifts  $\phi: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$ ,  $\psi: x_1 \rightarrow x_2$  of  $f$ ,  $g$  by (3) we get a 2-simplex  $u$  in  $X$  such that  $d^0(u) = \psi$ ,  $d^1(u) = \xi: x_0 \rightarrow x_2$ ,  $d^2(u) = \phi$  and  $\xi$  is a lift of  $g \cdot f$  through  $p$  with  $\phi \cdot \psi \sim \xi$ . It follows that  $F([g] \cdot [f]) = F([g]) \cdot F([f])$ .  $\square$