

Higher Category Theory

Assignment 3

Matteo Durante

24th November 2020

Exercise 1

Proof. (1) Let $g: b \rightarrow b'$ be such that Gg is an isomorphism. Then there exists $f: Gb' \rightarrow Gb$ such that $Gg \cdot f = \text{id}_{Gb'}$, $f \cdot Gg = \text{id}_{Gb}$ and, since G is full, we have $g' \in \mathcal{D}(b', b) \cong \mathcal{C}(Gb', Gb)$ such that $Gg' = f$. Having $G(g \cdot g') = Gg \cdot Gg' = Gg \cdot f = \text{id}_{Gb'}$, $G(g' \cdot g) = Gg' \cdot Gg = f \cdot Gg = \text{id}_{Gb}$, by faithfulness $g \cdot g' = \text{id}_{b'}$, $g' \cdot g = \text{id}_b$.

(2) We will refer to the diagram mentioned as $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ in order to distinguish it from the functor F defining the adjunction. Now, dualizing the proofs given in the solution of exercise 3 of the previous sheet, we see that the right adjoint G is fully faithful if and only if the natural transformation $\epsilon: FG \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ induced by the adjunction is an isomorphism. Now, since left adjoints preserve colimits, taken the universal cocone $\lambda: GD \Rightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} GD$ we get another one $F\lambda: FGD \Rightarrow F\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} GD$. Composing with $\epsilon^{-1}D$, we get then a universal cocone $F\lambda \cdot \epsilon^{-1}D: D \Rightarrow F\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} GD$, which exhibits $F\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} GD \cong \text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} FGD$ as the colimit of D .

(3) We may prove that (F, G, η, ϵ) defines a monadic adjunction, which will imply that G creates limits. By (2), \mathcal{D} admits coequalizers of G -split pairs and one has to prove that G preserves them. Also, by (1) we have conservativity, which allows us to apply Beck's theorem and conclude. \square

Exercise 2

Proof. (1) Notice that such an endofunctor ρ has to satisfy $\rho([n]) = [n]$. Consider σ_i^{n-1} . We know that it is the left inverse of δ_i^n and δ_{i-1}^n (if $i > 0$). From these considerations, we get that $\rho(\sigma_i^{n-1})$ has to be the left inverse of $\rho(\delta_i^n) = \delta_{n-i}^n$ and $\rho(\delta_{i-1}^n) = \delta_{n+1-i}^n$, which is enough to reconstruct it thanks to the injectivity of the right inverses and determine that it is precisely σ_{n-i-1}^{n-1} . This is enough to prove that, if such an endofunctor exists, then it is unique since these arrows generate Δ .

One verifies that all of these associations preserve the desired relations and, since Δ is obtained by taking the free category generated by these arrows and then quotienting by the aforementioned equations, we get that ρ does define an endofunctor $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$, which

one can verify to be an involution as it defines one on the morphisms generating the category. It follows that it also defines an involution $\rho^*: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$. Also, notice that the functor ρ is obtained simply by reversing the orderings of the elements of each $[n]$, so it acts on the simplices by “inverting” the faces.

The isomorphism $\phi: N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ is given by sending $f: \Delta^1 \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$ to $\rho^*(f)^{\text{op}}: \Delta^1 \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$. Also, given a commutative triangle (f, g, h) exhibited by a 2-simplex $t \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$ in $N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$, we see that applying ρ^* turns it into another commutative triangle $(\rho^*(g), \rho^*(f), \rho^*(h))$ exhibited by $\rho^*(t)$. Looking at the description of ρ^* , we see that this actually corresponds to a commutative triangle in the category \mathcal{C} and it returns our starting triangle (f, g, h) when we reapply ρ^* . But then, if $\rho^*(g) \cdot \rho^*(f) = \rho^*(h)$ in \mathcal{C} , we get that $\rho^*(f)^{\text{op}} \cdot \rho^*(g)^{\text{op}} = \rho^*(h)^{\text{op}}$ in \mathcal{C}^{op} . Similarly, $\rho^*(\text{id}_x)^{\text{op}} = \rho^*(s_0^0(x))^{\text{op}} = s_0^0(\rho^*(x))^{\text{op}} = \text{id}_{\rho^*(x)}^{\text{op}} = \text{id}_x^{\text{op}}$ and therefore our natural transformation is well defined. We still have to check that it is an isomorphism. To do this we show that $N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$ satisfies the Grothendieck-Segal condition and then we are done since the arrows are obtained by formally reversing the ones of $N(\mathcal{C})$, while our natural transformation is just reversing them twice and therefore it is essentially an identity on maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{sSet}(\Delta^n, N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{sSet}(\Lambda_i^n, N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}) \\ \downarrow \rho^* & & \downarrow \rho^* \\ \mathbf{sSet}(\Delta^n, N(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{sSet}(\Lambda_{n-i}^n, N(\mathcal{C})) \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows and the bottom one in this commutative diagram are isomorphisms for all $0 < i < n$, hence the top one has to be an isomorphism too.

(2) A similar proof applies to this case. Indeed, we may consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{sSet}(\Delta^n, X^{\text{op}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{sSet}(\Lambda_i^n, X^{\text{op}}) \\ \downarrow \rho^* & & \downarrow \rho^* \\ \mathbf{sSet}(\Delta^n, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{sSet}(\Lambda_{n-i}^n, X) \end{array},$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms and the bottom one is surjective for all $0 < i < n$, which implies that the top one is surjective too. \square

Exercise 3

Proof. (i) It suffices to show that the functor $\mathbf{Cat}(-, \mathcal{C})$ is represented by \mathcal{C}^\simeq for each $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Cat})$. To this end, we note that for every $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Gpd}$, any functor $F: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ factorizes uniquely through \mathcal{C}^\simeq , because $F(f)$ is an isomorphism for any (iso-)morphism f in \mathcal{G} , and if F factorizes as

$$\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\simeq \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{F''} \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\simeq$$

then $F' = F''$ on objects while for any morphism f in \mathcal{G} , $F'(f) = F(f) = F''(f)$ (so $F' = F''$). This gives a bijection

$$\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}^\simeq).$$

To see the functoriality, take any $G: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ in \mathbf{Gpd} . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{C}^\simeq) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}^\simeq) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & F' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F \circ G & \mapsto & (F \circ G)' = F' \circ G \end{array}$$

where $F, F \circ G$ factorize through $F', (F \circ G)'$ respectively. Note that $F' \circ G = (F \circ G)'$ since the composite $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{C}^\simeq \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is $F \circ G$.

(ii) We claim that subgroupoids of EX are of the form

$$\coprod_{i \in I} EX_i$$

where $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of disjoint subsets of X . Indeed, such subcategories $\coprod_{i \in I} EX_i$ is a groupoid, and thus a subgroupoid of X . On the other hand, for any subgroupoid Y of X , we define I to be the set of isomorphism classes of objects in Y . Therefore $Y = \coprod_{i \in I} Ei$, which can be seen from the fact that $\text{Ob}(Y) = \text{Ob}(\coprod_{i \in I} Ei)$ and for any $x, y \in \text{Ob}(Y)$,

$$Y(x, y) = \coprod_I Ei(x, y) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } x, y \text{ are not isomorphic} \\ \{(x, y)\} & \text{if } x, y \text{ are isomorphic} \end{cases}$$

(iii) It is enough to show that for all small set X , the functor $\mathbf{Set}(\text{Ob}(-), X)$ is represented by EX . To this end, for any map $F: \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow X$, we define a functor \tilde{F} by letting

- $\tilde{F}(x) = F(x)$ for any $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$;
- $\mathcal{C}(x, y) \rightarrow EX(Fx, Fy)$ is the constant map, sending each morphism $f: x \rightarrow y$ to (Fx, Fy) .

and we get a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Set}(\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, EX) \\ F &\mapsto \tilde{F} \\ \text{Ob}(F) &\hookleftarrow F \end{aligned}$$

(the verification of them being mutually inverse is straightforward).

As for the functoriality, take any functor $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set}(\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}'), X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}', EX) & F \longmapsto & \tilde{F} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Set}(\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, EX) & F \circ \mathrm{Ob}(G) \mapsto & \tilde{F} \circ G = \widetilde{F \circ \mathrm{Ob}(G)} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here $\tilde{F} \circ G = \widetilde{F \circ \mathrm{Ob}(G)}$ because they both equal to $F \circ \mathrm{Ob}(G)$ on objects and hence they are the same on morphisms (since the map between hom sets $\mathcal{C}(x, y) \rightarrow EX(F(G(x)), F(G(y)))$ is the constant map).

(iv) Let us denote the functor sending X to its associated discrete category by \mathbf{Disc} . We write $C: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ for the constant functor sending each $X \mapsto *$. We will show that the functor $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Disc}(-))$ is represented by $\pi_0(\mathcal{C})$ for all $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Cat})$. First of all, we define a map

$$\Phi: \mathbf{Set}(\pi_0(\mathcal{C}), S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Disc}(S))$$

by letting for every $F: \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S$

- $\mathrm{Ob}(\Phi(F)): \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S, X \mapsto F \circ \iota_X(*)$, and
- $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Disc}(S)(\Phi X, \Phi Y)$ be $\begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } \Phi X \neq \Phi Y \\ \{\mathrm{id}\}, & \text{if } \Phi X = \Phi Y, \end{cases}$

where $\iota: C \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the coprojection.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(X) = * & \xrightarrow{\quad * \mapsto G(X) \quad} & & & \\ & \searrow \iota_X & & \nearrow \Psi(G) & \\ & & \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} C & \xrightarrow{\quad \Psi(G) \quad} & S \\ & \nearrow \iota_Y & & \nwarrow & \\ C(Y) = * & \xrightarrow{\quad * \mapsto G(Y) \quad} & & & \end{array}$$

Next we intend to define an inverse Ψ to Φ . For any functor $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Disc}(S)$, note that $G(X) = G(Y)$ if there is a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} . From this we get a cocone $C \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{C}}$ with $C(X) \rightarrow S$ sending $* \mapsto G(X)$, which defines a unique map $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} C \rightarrow S$ via the universal property of colimits and we denote it by $\Psi(G)$.

To see that Ψ and Φ are mutually inverse, we have

$$\Phi \circ \Psi(G)(X) = \Psi(G) \circ \iota_X(*) = G(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Disc}(S)$, and

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi(F)) \circ \iota_X(*) = (\Psi(X \mapsto F \circ \iota_X(*))) \circ \iota_X(*) = F \circ \iota_X(*)$$

for all $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $F: \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S$. Therefore $\Psi \circ \Phi = \mathrm{id}$. Also, since the target of $\Phi \circ \Psi(G)$ is $\mathbf{Disc}(S)$, in which the hom sets are either \emptyset or id , we have $\Phi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{id}$.

As for the functoriality, one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{Set}(\pi_0(\mathcal{C}), S) & \xlongequal{\sim} & \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Disc}(S)) & & F \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} \Phi(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{Set}(\pi_0(\mathcal{C}), S') & \xlongequal{\sim} & \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Disc}(S')) & & s \circ F \mapsto \Phi(s \circ F) = \mathbf{Disc}(s) \circ \Phi(F)
\end{array}$$

for any map $s: S \rightarrow S'$ of sets. Here the equality is because

$$\Phi(s \circ F)(X) = (s \circ F) \circ \iota_X(*)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Disc}(s) \circ \Phi(F)(X) = s \circ \mathbf{Ob}(\Phi(F))(X) = s \circ (F \circ \iota_X(*))$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

(v) For a groupoid \mathcal{G} , $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G} . This can be seen by verifying the universal property of colimits. For the moment we denote by $\pi'_0(\mathcal{G})$ the set of isomorphism classes. Define the coprojections $\iota_X: C(X) \rightarrow \pi'_0(\mathcal{G})$ by sending $*$ to $[X]$ (the isomorphism class of $X \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$). Suppose that we have a cocone $F: C \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some small set S . Then we can define a map

$$f: \pi'_0(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S$$

by $[X] \mapsto F_X(*)$. This is well-defined, since $F_X = F_Y \circ \text{id}_*$ whenever $X \cong Y$. Such f is unique, since if there is another $f': \pi'_0(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S$, then

$$f'([X]) = f' \circ \iota_X(*) = F_X(*) = f \circ \iota_X(*) = f([X])$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$. This shows $\pi'_0(\mathcal{G}) \cong \pi_0(\mathcal{G})$.

(vi) Remember that a natural transformation $\Delta^n \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C})$ is completely determined by a choice of a path of length n in \mathcal{C} and as such we have a natural isomorphism of categories $\Delta/N(\mathcal{C}) \cong \Delta/\mathcal{C}$. We want to show that the diagram $\widehat{\Delta^n/N(\mathcal{C})}(*, -_{\Delta^n/N(\mathcal{C})})$ is represented by $\mathbf{Set}(\pi_0(\mathcal{C}))$. \square