

# Homework 8: Q3

---

**Name:** Waiwai Kim, waiwaiki

---

## 1 Part (a): Sub-part 1 Proof Idea

Here, we are asked to prove that the algorithm given to the problem takes  $\Omega(n^2)$  to make the MST. The proof idea is given in the recitation notes. The idea is that if the algorithm reads the entire input of  $n^2$ , the lower bound of the algorithm is  $n^2$  regardless of what happens after reading the input. Said differently the way the algorithm reads the input is the limiting factor.

## 2 Part (a): Sub-part 2

Here, we are asked to show that it is incorrect to argue for the lower bound of an algorithm based on its need to read the entire input. The counter example is Binary Search Tree. The input size to BST is  $n$ ; however, the run-time of BST is  $O(\log n)$ . Thus, this counter example sufficiently shows that the argument in part (a) which is based on the size is not correct. We cannot say that the run time for finding MST is  $\Omega(n^2)$  because there exists an input that cause the algorithm to run in less than  $n^2$ . For example, if a graph has  $n$  nodes in which node  $a_i$  has edges to  $a_{i-1}$  and  $a_{i+1}$ . In other words, this graph almost looks like a linear

## 3 Part (b): Proof of Idea

The idea here is for an adversary to fool Prim's algorithm. We are going to assume that the adversary knows Prim's algorithm. The adversary aims to provide an input  $X$  for the algorithm that maximizes the number of comparisons done by the algorithm. Note that Prim algorithm maintains a list called *key* that has the minimum distance edge across the cut between  $S$  and  $V - S$ . Note that the notations  $S$  is commonly used for the growing MST, while  $V - S$  is used for the set excluding  $S$ . For a given node that was just added, the algorithm has to update *key* because the recently added node has edges across the cut. The idea of the adversarial argument is that we can carefully choose so that it maximizes the number of updating *key* for a given added node.

## 4 Part (b): Proof Details

Assume that the input has  $n$  nodes  $n_1, \dots, n_n$ . The initial number of unfixed distances of the edges is  $n^2$ . We will define the invariants in terms of the  $i$ th added node to MST. Let's call this  $n_i$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume or state that  $i - 1$  number of nodes were added before  $n_i$ . Let's call these nodes  $n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}$ . Let's say *key* up to this point is  $key_{i-1}$ . Said differently, *key* updated after node  $n_i$  is added to MST is  $key_i$ . We will maintain the following invariants:

- the edge distances from nodes  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}$  that have been added to MST are fixed.
- the edge distances from nodes that have not been added to MST are not fixed yet.

At adding node  $n_i$ , the adversary can choose the distances from  $n_i$  to  $n_{i+1}, n_{i+2}, \dots, n_n$  so that the minimum distances of the edges crossing the cut have to be updated, which is maintained by *key*. Note that the distance of an edge  $(n_i, n_k)$

where  $i \leq k < n$  is already determined when a previous node  $n_k$  was added to MST. This is also stated by the first invariant above. Thus, at node  $n_i$ ,  $n - i + 1$  number of edges are determined and updated in *key*. Said differently, over all  $n$  nodes, the number of updates is  $\sum_{i=1}^n n - i + 1$ , which is  $O(n^2)$ .

Assume there exists an algorithm A which runs in  $\sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 1) - 1$  which correctly finds MST in an undirected graph  $G$  of size  $n$ . Let  $X$  be a graph where  $d(n_i, n_k) = d(n_{i-1}, n_l) + 1$  where  $i \leq k < n$  and  $i - 1 \leq l < n$  so the *key* for  $k$  where  $i \leq k < n$  has to be updated. Run algorithm A on  $X$ . Since it takes  $\sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 1) - 1$  comparisons, there is at least one node of which the distance to its neighbor is not determined. For the ease of illustration, after  $\sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 1) - 1$  comparisons, we can say that the edge distance between node  $n_1$  and  $n_n$  has not been determined without loss of generality. We will set  $d(n_1, n_n)$  smaller than  $d(n_{n-1}, n_n)$ . Algorithm A returns MST in which node  $n_n$  is connected through  $n_{n-1}$ . However, the adversary can choose  $d(n_1, n_n)$  so that the closet way to arrive at  $n_n$  is through  $n_1$ . Thus, the algorithm A is wrong. Thus, there cannot exist any correct MST algorithm that finds MST in an undirected graph  $G$  in less than  $O(n^2)$  time.