

SONiC Feature Quality Definition

This document defines the different quality level of SONiC features. The document does not cover the SONiC development flow, but defines what is needed for a feature to qualified with a specific quality level.

The following qualify levels are defined: - Alpha - Beta - General Availability (aka GA)

Qualify level definition

Alpha level

- Code PRs must come with unit tests (VS tests if applicable) aligned to code coverage
- For standalone feature it is required to have enabled/disabled via config_db and set to disabled
- Can go to master branch only
- For feature based on ASIC vendor SAI implementation: SAI is not available yet
- For feature based on Platform vendor API implementation: not available yet
- Should not cause any degradation on available features. Need to run and ensure sonic-mgmt test suits is passing with no degradation
- New sonic-mgmt test plan has been reviewed

Beta level

- Code PRs must come with unit tests aligned to code coverage
- For standalone feature it is required to have enabled/disabled via config_db and set to disable
- Can go to master branch only
- For features based on ASIC vendor SAI implementation: SAI is available for at least 1 vendor
- For feature based on Platform vendor API implementation: available for at least 1 vendor
- Should not cause any degradation on production features use relevant sonic-mgmt. tests to confirm
- New sonic-mgmt test plan has been reviewed but partially implemented

GA level

- Code PRs must come unit tests aligned to code coverage
- For standalone feature it is required to have enabled/disabled via config_db and set to enabled if HLD claims for it
- Can go to master branch and can be considered as backport feature if must

- For features based on ASIC vendor SAI implementation: SAI is available for at least 1 vendor
- For feature based on Platform vendor API implementation: available for at least 1 vendor
- Should not cause any degradation on production features use relevant sonic-mgmt tests to confirm
- New sonic-mgmt test plan has been signed off and fully implemented

Quality level exposed in SONiC Release Notes

Extend the SONiC Release Notes which already covers the new features added to a release, with the quality release. Adding that info the the Release Note will allow - One way to declare features quality - Contribution awareness for quality guarantee and aim for GA level - Clear and simple way for SONiC end users (who are not in the details of the code itself)

So, instead of large list, use a table in the following format:

Feature Name	Feature Description	HLD PR / PR Tracking	Maturity
-----	-----	-----	-----

- If Beta/GA level maturity, ensure to provide a sonic-mgmt. PR or code as reference.
- If sonic-mgmt. tests comes after the Release note is released, it can still be modified
- Maturity to be approved by sonic test subgroup based on the availability of the test and the coverage it expects to gain **Note:** quality can be provided only on tests results availability, and this is out of the scope of this discussion

Action items

- Suggest improving HLD template and include a section for memory consumption. Notes to be taken:
- No memory consumption expected when the feature is disabled via compilation
- No growing memory consumption while feature is disabled by configuration
- Feature should be delivered with the following ON/OFF flags
- Feature can be runtime disabled/enabled via configuration
- Disabled while work in progress and majority is not GA
- Can be enabled by default (if agreed on HLD review) only in GA level only
- 202305 Release note should be aligned with the new suggestion. It will be used for sharing information on features and availability of the tests.
- Once TSC approves suggestion, take to SONiC community and inform contributors to align on 202311 feature contribution
- sonic-mgmt. group to decide on quality guarantee template. Ying Xie (Microsoft) and Roy Srour (Nvidia) to lead