



1 Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation of Accretion Disks: 2 A Spin-Regulated Mechanism for Super-Eddington Quasar Luminosities

3 JUN WAKABAYASHI¹

4 ¹*Independent Researcher, Japan*


ABSTRACT

5 Quasars often radiate at several to ten times the Eddington limit of their central SMBHs. We propose
 6 a self-sustained, equatorial Penrose-like excitation that operates at the ISCO–ergoregion interface just
 7 outside the horizon as the spin approaches unity ($a_* \rightarrow 1$). Even modest effective coupling ($\epsilon_{\text{coup}} \sim$
 8 10^{-2} – 10^{-1}) yields on average 2 – $3 \times L_{\text{Edd}}$, with occasional 5 – $10 \times$ episodes when the dissipation footprint
 9 is extended and transparent ($R_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^2$ – $10^3 r_g$). We adopt a phenomenological framework and do not
 10 fix the microphysics; the results depend on a small set of effective parameters (plotted luminosities
 11 are *isotropic-equivalent* unless noted). Crucially, the mechanism is confined to the ergoregion and
 12 equatorial disk—i.e., horizon-exterior and therefore empirically testable. We further outline three
 13 observational hooks (a hard X-ray/MeV shoulder, X-to-UV/optical lag hardening, and equator-aligned
 14 X-ray polarization) and an explicit null criterion for high-spin, high-Eddington quasars.

15 *Keywords:* accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — quasars: general

16 1. INTRODUCTION

17 Observations of super-Eddington quasars ($L/L_{\text{Edd}} >$
 18 1) and sub-unity spin ceilings ($a_* < 1$) present a chal-
 19 lenge to standard accretion models. Persistent ten-
 20 sions, such as large continuum-emitting sizes and com-
 21 plex wavelength-dependent lags, motivate the need for
 22 additional inner-disk heating mechanisms beyond local
 23 viscous dissipation (Fig. 1). We propose that these
 24 phenomena are consequences of a spin-regulated equatorial
 25 channel that activates as $a_* \rightarrow 1$. Near-extremal spin
 26 brings the ISCO into close contact with the ergoregion,
 27 forcing an equatorial current sheet where plasmoid-
 28 dominated reconnection can occur. We posit that these
 29 ejecta provide the necessary “projectiles” for a mag-
 30 netically assisted, Penrose-like energy split (cf. R. Pen-
 31 rose 1969; R. Penrose 2002). This process extracts the
 32 black hole’s rotational energy and deposits it back into
 33 the disk, sustaining $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ while the associated
 34 counter-torque simultaneously imposes a natural sub-
 35 unity spin ceiling. This Letter outlines the minimal
 36 framework for this mechanism, its spin-regulation dy-
 37 namics (Sec. 2), and a set of explicit, falsifiable observa-
 38 tional hooks derived from it (Sec. 3).



15 **Figure 1. The Quasar Conundrum.** Left: quasars exist
 16 with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$; a super-Eddington tail with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \gtrsim 2$
 17 is implied. Right: observed spins cluster below the Thorne
 18 limit $a_* \simeq 0.9985$ despite sustained accretion (spin ceiling).

39 2. MINIMAL FRAMEWORK

40 We posit a phenomenological framework where a frac-
 41 tion of the black hole’s rotational energy is extracted and
 42 deposited into the inner disk via an equatorial channel.
 43 This coupling activates steeply only near extremal spin,
 44 following a threshold law (Fig. 3)

$$45 \quad \epsilon_{\text{coup}}(a_*) = \begin{cases} 0, & a_* \leq a_{\text{th}}, \\ \epsilon_{\text{max}} \left(\frac{a_* - a_{\text{th}}}{1 - a_{\text{th}}} \right)^n, & a_* > a_{\text{th}}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$




Figure 2. Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation (SSPE): mechanism sketch. Rotational energy is tapped at the ISCO–ergoregion interface and thermally reinjected into the inner disk via equatorially collimated quasi-beams, sustaining $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ while regulating a_* .

where a_{th} is the threshold spin (fiducial $a_{\text{th}} \simeq 0.97$), ϵ_{max} is the saturation cap (fiducial ~ 0.1), and n controls the sharpness (fiducial $n = 2$). This process has two simultaneous consequences: (i) luminosity enhancement and (ii) spin regulation.

(i) **Luminosity.** The total luminosity becomes the sum of standard viscous accretion (L_{acc}) and the self-sustained power (L_{self}) from this mechanism:

$$L_{\text{tot}} = L_{\text{acc}} + L_{\text{self}}(a_*), \quad (2)$$

where $L_{\text{self}} = \epsilon_{\text{coup}}(a_*)P_{\text{ext}}$ and P_{ext} is the power extracted from the spin reservoir. This naturally sustains $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ when $a_* > a_{\text{th}}$.

(ii) **Spin Regulation.** The energy extraction applies a counter-torque to the hole (cf. $dJ/dt \propto -P_{\text{ext}}/\Omega_H$), forcing the spin to drift downward toward a sub-unity equilibrium value ($a_{\text{eq}} < 1$). This provides a self-limiting ceiling.

Observability Gate. For the extracted power L_{self} to escape, the dissipation region must remain optically thin to pair production. This requires the pair compactness ℓ to remain low (e.g., R. Svensson 1984; A. P. Lightman & A. A. Zdziarski 1987):

$$\ell = \frac{L_{\text{self}}\sigma_T}{4\pi R_{\text{eff}} m_e c^3} \lesssim 30, \quad (3)$$

which implies an extended dissipation footprint ($R_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^2\text{--}10^3 r_g$). This compactness limit acts as a physical gate on the observable luminosity.




Figure 3. Activation turns on steeply above the threshold a_{th} . Peak $L_{\text{tot}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (from Eq. 1) rises rapidly once $a_* > a_{\text{th}}$ (fiducial $a_{\text{th}} = 0.97$), setting the lever for both luminosity and spin regulation.




Figure 4. The Three Observational Hooks. From left to right: (1) A hard X-ray/MeV shoulder (20–120 keV) favored over a standard continuum. (2) Lag hardening (X→UV/optical), where lag increases monotonically with energy. (3) X-ray polarization aligned with the equator, with a rising degree.

3. OBSERVATIONAL HOOKS AND NULL CRITERIA

Three testable signatures (Fig. 4) are expected to co-occur in quasars with near-extremal spin ($a_* \rightarrow 1$) and high accretion rate ($L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$), provided that the dissipation region remains pair-transparent ($\ell \lesssim 30$). Taken together, these signatures are intended to provide compelling but not definitive evidence for centrally triggered, equatorial inner-disk heating.

Screens (apply first): To define a clean sample before searching for SSPE signatures, exclude (i) heavily absorbed systems (Compton-thick and similar), (ii) extreme inclinations where geometry is poorly constrained, and (iii) high-compactness cases with $\ell \gtrsim 30$ where pair opacity would suppress the emergent shoulder.

Hooks (apply jointly under screens):

- **Hard X-ray/MeV shoulder (20–120 keV, obs):** model comparison favors a spectrum with an additional hard shoulder over a smooth cutoff continuum by ΔAIC_c or $\Delta\text{BIC} \geq 6$ (rest-frame $\sim 0.3\text{--}1$ MeV at $z \gtrsim 6$). Such a statistically significant shoulder is difficult to obtain from a standard corona alone and points to an additional, centrally triggered hard component.

- **Lag hardening (X→UV/optical):** energy-resolved lags increase *monotonically* with photon energy within an epoch, i.e., $d\text{lag}/d\log E > 0$. This pattern is naturally produced when variability is initiated in a hotter inner region and propagates through reprocessing and scattering into lower-energy bands.

- **Equator-aligned X-ray polarization (2–10 keV):** the electric vector position angle is aligned with the equatorial plane ($\text{EVPA} \parallel \text{equator}$ within $\sim 15^\circ$), and the polarization degree increases toward higher spin and Eddington ratio. Such a configuration is more naturally explained by strong equatorial illumination and scattering than by a polar, jet-dominated geometry.

Null criteria (falsification): The SSPE framework is constructed to be explicitly falsifiable. In vetted high-spin, high-Eddington-ratio quasar samples that pass the screens above, a systematic failure to detect at least two of the three hooks (hard X-ray/MeV shoulder, X-to-UV/optical lag hardening, and equator-aligned X-ray polarization) would refute this scenario.

4. SEPARATION FROM POLAR POWER

The proposed equatorial, radiative mechanism (SSPE) is distinct from the polar, Poynting-dominated

Blandford–Znajek (BZ) channel. We model the total extracted spin power as a partition $P_{\text{ext}} = P_{\text{BZ}} + P_{\text{eq}}$, where the fractions satisfy $f_{\text{BZ}} + f_{\text{eq}} = 1$. This framework naturally predicts an anti-correlated “see-saw” between polar (jet) power and equatorial (radiative) signatures within the high-spin, high-Eddington-ratio subset. When f_{BZ} is large, jet power dominates and equatorially radiative hooks are comparatively weak. Conversely, large f_{eq} corresponds to radio-quiet, radiatively dominated states that require $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ and should preferentially exhibit the SSPE hooks outlined in Sec. 3.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We propose that self-sustained Penrose excitation (SSPE) near extremal Kerr SMBHs provides a viable channel to inject rotational energy into the inner accretion disk. This mechanism naturally sustains super-Eddington luminosities (e.g., $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 2\text{--}3$ or higher) while simultaneously enforcing a sub-unity spin ceiling via the associated counter-torque. The framework is explicitly falsifiable: in vetted quasars with near-extremal spins and high Eddington ratios that satisfy the screening criteria above, a systematic absence of at least two of the three proposed observational hooks (a 20–120 keV shoulder, X-to-UV/optical lag hardening, and equator-aligned polarization) would refute this scenario.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work stands on decades of insight into black-hole accretion, spin, and energy extraction. I am indebted to the community that built the modern framework of quasar physics—from classical Penrose energy extraction and disk theory to spin-jet coupling and polarimetry—and to teams who made public data and tools available. The manuscript and source codes are registered at OSF ([J. Wakabayashi 2025](#)). Interactive visual summaries of the SSPE mechanism and the three observational hooks are available at https://waka132009.github.io/self_penrose_demo/interactive_paper.html and https://waka132009.github.io/SSPE_infographic/infographic.html.

Use of large language models. Large language model assistants (Google Gemini and OpenAI ChatGPT) were used for drafting support (editing for clarity, formatting suggestions). All analysis, derivations, and conclusions are by the author, who takes full responsibility for the content.

REFERENCES

- ¹⁷¹ Lightman, A. P., & Zdziarski, A. A. 1987, ApJ, 319, 643
¹⁷² Penrose, R. 1969, Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie, 1, 252
¹⁷³ Penrose, R. 2002, General Relativity and Gravitation, 34,
¹⁷⁴ 1141, doi: [10.1023/A:1016578408204](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016578408204)
- ¹⁷⁵ Svensson, R. 1984, MNRAS, 209, 175,
¹⁷⁶ doi: [10.1093/mnras/209.2.175](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/209.2.175)
¹⁷⁷ Wakabayashi, J. 2025, Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation of
¹⁷⁸ Accretion Disks,, OSF Registration (Version 1.1)
¹⁷⁹ doi: [10.17605/osf.io/Q7DTH](https://doi.org/10.17605/osf.io/Q7DTH)