

Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation of Accretion Disks: A Spin-Regulated Mechanism for Super-Eddington Quasar Luminosities

JUN WAKABAYASHI¹

¹Independent Researcher, Japan

ABSTRACT

Quasars often radiate at several to ten times the Eddington limit of their central SMBHs. We propose a self-sustained, equatorial Penrose-like excitation that operates at the ISCO–ergoregion interface just outside the horizon as the spin approaches unity ($a_* \rightarrow 1$). Even modest effective coupling ($\epsilon_{\text{coup}} \sim 10^{-2}\text{--}10^{-1}$) yields on average $2\text{--}3 \times L_{\text{Edd}}$, with occasional $5\text{--}10 \times$ episodes when the dissipation footprint is extended and transparent ($R_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^2\text{--}10^3 r_g$). We adopt a phenomenological framework and do not fix the microphysics; the results depend on a small set of effective parameters (plotted luminosities are *isotropic-equivalent* unless noted). Crucially, the mechanism is confined to the ergoregion and equatorial disk—i.e., horizon-exterior and therefore empirically testable.


Keywords: accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — quasars: general

1. INTRODUCTION

Observations of super-Eddington quasars ($L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$) and sub-unity spin ceilings ($a_* < 1$) present a challenge to standard accretion models. Persistent tensions, such as large continuum-emitting sizes and complex wavelength-dependent lags, motivate the need for additional inner-disk heating mechanisms beyond local viscous dissipation. (Fig. 1) We propose that these phenomena are consequences of a *spin-regulated equatorial channel*, activated as $a_* \rightarrow 1$. Near-extremal spin brings the ISCO into close contact with the ergoregion, forcing an equatorial current sheet where plasmoid-dominated reconnection can occur. We posit that these ejecta provide the necessary “projectiles” for a magnetically-assisted Penrose-like energy split (cf. R. Penrose 1969; R. Penrose 2002). This process extracts the black hole’s rotational energy, depositing it back into the disk to sustain $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ while the associated counter-torque simultaneously imposes a natural sub-unity spin ceiling. This Letter outlines the minimal framework for this mechanism, its spin-regulation dynamics (Sec. 2), and a set of explicit, falsifiable observational hooks derived from it (Sec. 3).


The Quasar Conundrum

① Luminosity problem



Luminosity : quasars exist with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$.
Super-Eddington phases likely required
for hyper-luminosity.
Population tail shows $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \gtrsim 2$ (illustrative).

② Spin ceiling problem



Spin ceiling : sustained accretion
should push $a_* \rightarrow 1$, yet observations
cluster below the Thorne limit $a_* \approx 0.9985$.
Counter-torque or dissipation
is implied (unspecified here).

See p.2 for the proposed mechanism →

Figure 1. The Quasar Conundrum. Left: quasars exist with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$; a super-Eddington tail with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \gtrsim 2$ is implied. Right: observed spins cluster below the Thorne limit $a_* \approx 0.9985$ despite sustained accretion (spin ceiling).

2. MINIMAL FRAMEWORK

We posit a phenomenological framework where a fraction of the black hole's rotational energy is extracted and deposited into the inner disk via an equatorial channel. This coupling activates steeply only near extremal spin, following a threshold law (Fig. 3)

$$\epsilon_{\text{coup}}(a_*) = \begin{cases} 0, & a_* \leq a_{\text{th}}, \\ \epsilon_{\text{max}} \left(\frac{a_* - a_{\text{th}}}{1 - a_{\text{th}}} \right)^n, & a_* > a_{\text{th}}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where a_{th} is the threshold spin (fiducial $a_{\text{th}} \simeq 0.97$), ϵ_{max} is the saturation cap (fiducial ~ 0.1), and n controls the sharpness (fiducial $n = 2$). This process has two simultaneous consequences: (i) luminosity enhancement and (ii) spin regulation.

(i) Luminosity. The total luminosity becomes the sum of standard viscous accretion (L_{acc}) and the self-sustained power (L_{self}) from this mechanism:

$$L_{\text{tot}} = L_{\text{acc}} + L_{\text{self}}(a_*), \quad (2)$$

where $L_{\text{self}} = \epsilon_{\text{coup}}(a_*) P_{\text{ext}}$ and P_{ext} is the power extracted from the spin reservoir. This naturally sustains $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ when $a_* > a_{\text{th}}$.

(ii) Spin Regulation. The energy extraction applies a counter-torque to the hole (cf. $dJ/dt \propto -P_{\text{ext}}/\Omega_H$), forcing the spin to drift downward toward a sub-unity equilibrium value ($a_{\text{eq}} < 1$). This provides a self-limiting ceiling.

Observability Gate. For the extracted power L_{self} to escape, the dissipation region must remain transparent to pair production. This requires the pair compactness ℓ to remain low:

$$\ell = \frac{L_{\text{self}} \sigma_T}{4\pi R_{\text{eff}} m_e c^3} \lesssim 30, \quad (3)$$

which implies an extended dissipation footprint ($R_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^2 - 10^3 r_g$). This compactness limit acts as a physical gate on the observable luminosity.




Figure 2. Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation (SSPE): mechanism sketch. Rotational energy is tapped at the ISCO–ergoregion interface and thermally reinjected into the inner disk via equatorially collimated quasi-beams, sustaining $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$ while regulating a_* .




Figure 3. Activation turns on steeply above the threshold a_{th} . Peak $L_{\text{tot}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (from Eq. 2) rises rapidly once $a_* > a_{\text{th}}$ (fiducial $a_{\text{th}} = 0.97$), setting the lever for both luminosity and spin regulation.




Figure 4. The Three Observational Hooks. From left to right: (1) A hard X-ray/MeV shoulder (20–120 keV) favored over a standard continuum. (2) Lag hardening (X→UV/optical), where lag increases monotonically with energy. (3) X-ray polarization aligned with the equator, with a rising degree.

3. OBSERVATIONAL HOOKS AND NULL CRITERIA

We highlight three testable signatures (Fig. 4) expected to co-occur at high spin ($a_* \rightarrow 1$) and high accretion rate ($L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$) under the transparency condition ($\ell \lesssim 30$).

Screens (apply first): Exclude heavy absorption (Compton-thick etc.), extreme inclination, and high-compactness cases ($\ell \gtrsim 30$).

Hooks (apply jointly under screens):

- **Hard-X/MeV shoulder (20–120 keV, obs):** model comparison favors a shoulder over a featureless baseline by ΔAICc or $\Delta\text{BIC} \geq 6$ (rest $\sim 0.3\text{--}1$ MeV at $z \gtrsim 6$).
- **Lag hardening (X→UV/optical):** energy-resolved lags increase *monotonically* with photon energy within an epoch.
- **Equator-aligned X-ray polarization (2–10 keV):** EVPA \parallel equator; polarization degree increases toward high spin/high λ .

Null Criteria (Falsification): In vetted high-spin, high- λ quasar samples, *failure to recover at least two hooks* after applying the screens *refutes* this framework.

4. SEPARATION FROM POLAR POWER

The proposed equatorial, radiative mechanism (SSPE) is distinct from the polar, Poynting-dominated Blandford-Znajek (BZ) channel. We model the total extracted spin power as a partition $P_{\text{ext}} = P_{\text{BZ}} + P_{\text{eq}}$, where the fractions $f_{\text{BZ}} + f_{\text{eq}} = 1$. This framework naturally predicts an anti-correlated "see-saw" between polar (jet) power and equatorial (radiative) signatures within the

high-spin, high- λ subset. While BZ (f_{BZ}) may dominate in radio-loud systems, we claim f_{eq} is statistically non-zero and often dominant in the radio-quiet, radiatively-dominated states that require $L/L_{\text{Edd}} > 1$.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We propose that self-sustained Penrose excitation (SSPE) near extremal Kerr SMBHs provides a viable channel to inject rotational energy into the inner accretion disk. This mechanism naturally sustains super-Eddington luminosities (e.g., $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 2\text{--}3$ or higher) while simultaneously enforcing a sub-unity spin ceiling via the associated counter-torque. The framework is explicitly falsifiable: in vetted high-spin, high- λ samples, the systematic absence of at least two of the three proposed observational hooks (a 20–120 keV shoulder, X-to-UV lag hardening, and equator-aligned polarization) would refute this scenario.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work stands on decades of insight into black-hole accretion, spin, and energy extraction. I am indebted to the community that built the modern framework of quasar physics—from classical Penrose energy extraction and disk theory to spin-jet coupling and polarimetry—and to teams who made public data and tools available.

Use of large language models. Large language model assistants (Google Gemini and OpenAI ChatGPT) were used for drafting support (editing for clarity, formatting suggestions). All analysis, derivations, and conclusions are by the author, who takes full responsibility for the content.

REFERENCES

- Abramowicz, M. A., Czerny, B., Lasota, J.-P., & Szuszkiewicz, E. 1988, The Astrophysical Journal, 332, 646, doi: [10.1086/166683](https://doi.org/10.1086/166683)
- Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 179, 433, doi: [10.1093/mnras/179.3.433](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/179.3.433)
- Bransgrove, A., Beloborodov, A. M., & Parfrey, K. 2021, Physical Review Letters, 127, 055101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.055101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.055101)
- Cackett, E. M., & Zoghbi, A. 2021, iScience, 24, 102557, doi: [10.1016/j.isci.2021.102557](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102557)
- Connor, T., Stern, D., Bañados, E., & Mazzucchelli, C. 2021, ApJL, 922, L24, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ac37b5](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac37b5)
- East, W. E., & Yang, H. 2018, Physical Review D, 98, 023008, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.023008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.023008)
- Gralla, S. E., & Jacobson, T. 2014, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 445, 2500, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1690](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1690)
- Komissarov, S. S. 2004, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 350, 427, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07672.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07672.x)
- Lai, S., Wolf, C., Onken, C. A., & Bian, F. 2023, MNRAS, 521, 3682, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad651](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad651)
- Lightman, A. P., & Zdziarski, A. A. 1987, ApJ, 319, 643
- Pan, Z. 2018, Physical Review D, 98, 043023, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.043023](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.043023)

- Parfrey, K., Philippov, A. A., & Cerutti, B. 2019, Physical Review Letters, 122, 035101,
doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.035101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.035101)
- Penrose, R. 1969, Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie, 1, 252
- Penrose, R. 2002, General Relativity and Gravitation, 34, 1141, doi: [10.1023/A:1016578408204](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016578408204)
- Reynolds, C. S. 2014, SSRv, 183, 277,
doi: [10.1007/s11214-013-0006-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-013-0006-6)
- Sun, M., et al. 2020, arXiv e-prints
- Svensson, R. 1984, MNRAS, 209, 175,
doi: [10.1093/mnras/209.2.175](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/209.2.175)
- Tchekhovskoy, A., Narayan, R., & McKinney, J. C. 2011, MNRAS, 418, L79, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01147.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01147.x)
- Wakabayashi, J. 2025, Self-Sustained Penrose Excitation of Accretion Disks,, OSF Registration (Version 1.1)
doi: [10.17605/osf.io/Q7DTH](https://doi.org/10.17605/osf.io/Q7DTH)
- Young, S., Axon, D., Robinson, A., Smith, J., & Hough, J. H. 2011, in ASP Conf. Ser., Vol. 449, Astronomical Polarimetry 2008: Science from Small to Large Telescopes, 436. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ASPC..449..436Y/abstract>