

Fundamentals of MCS (CS)

Grammar, Semantics, and Typing Relation

2014.11.26 and 2014.12.1 Ken Wakita

Outline

- ❖ Grammar – Structure of the Program
- ❖ Evaluation – Dynamic Semantics of the Program
- ❖ Type System and Typing – Static Semantics of the Program

A Lesson from Type Analysis

Types in Computer Science

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for **proving** the absence of certain **program** behaviors by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.
- ❖ The notion of types appear in broader field of study: logic, mathematics, and philosophy.

What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by **classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute**.
- ❖ a type system can be regarded as calculating a kind of **static approximation to the run-time** behaviors of the term in a program.

What is a type system?

- ❖ a **type system** can be regarded as calculating a kind of **static approximation to the run-time** behaviors of the term in a program.
- ❖ **Example (Fibonacci series)**

```
f1 = 1, f2 = 2;  
while f1 < 100 do  
  (f1, f2) := (f2, f1+f2)  
done
```

```
f1 = [1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55,  
      89]
```


What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for **proving the absence of certain program behaviors** by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.

function f() = a_complex_test_that_always_gives_true

if f() **then** 5 **else** $e^{-2i} ("apple" / \pi)$ \Rightarrow *type error*

What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a **tractable** syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.
- ❖ Type-checkers are typically built into compilers and linkers and do their job automatically.

What is a type system

❖ we come back to this topic next week

Grammar

Syntax of Arithmetic Expressions

$t ::=$	terms of arithmetic expressions
true	constant true
false	constant false
if t then t else t	conditional
0	constant zero
succ t	successor of t (i.e., $t + 1$)
pred t	predecessor of t (i.e., $t - 1$)
iszero t	if t is zero?

Examples

❖ `if false then 0 else succ 0`
 $\Rightarrow 1$

❖ `succ (succ (succ 0))`
 $\Rightarrow 3$

❖ `iszero 0`
 $\Rightarrow \text{true}$

❖ `iszero (succ 0)`
 $\Rightarrow \text{false}$

❖ `iszero (pred (succ 0))`
 $\Rightarrow \text{true}$

Inductive definition on terms

Constants in arithmetic expressions

$t ::=$	terms of arithmetic expressions
true	constant true
false	constant false
if t_1 then t_2 else t_3	conditional
0	constant zero
succ t	successor of t ($t + 1$)
pred t	predecessor of t ($t - 1$)
iszero t	if t is zero?

Inductive definition on terms

Constants in arithmetic expressions

Consts(t)

the set of constants in AEs

Consts(true)

= { true }

Consts(false)

= { false }

if t_1 then t_2 else t_3

conditional

Consts(0)

= { 0 }

succ t

successor of t ($t + 1$)

pred t

predecessor of t ($t - 1$)

iszero t

if t is zero?

Inductive definition on terms

Constants in arithmetic expressions

$\text{Consts}(t)$

the set of constants in AEs

$\text{Consts}(\text{true})$

$= \{ \text{true} \}$

$\text{Consts}(\text{false})$

$= \{ \text{false} \}$

$\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$

conditional

$\text{Consts}(0)$

$= \{ \text{zero} \}$

$\text{Consts}(\text{succ } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

$\text{Consts}(\text{pred } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

$\text{Consts}(\text{iszero } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

Inductive definition on terms

Constants in arithmetic expressions

$\text{Consts}(t)$

the set of constants in AEs

$\text{Consts}(\text{true})$

$= \{ \text{true} \}$

$\text{Consts}(\text{false})$

$= \{ \text{false} \}$

$\text{Consts}(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3) = \text{Consts}(t_1) \cup \text{Consts}(t_2) \cup \text{Consts}(t_3)$

$\text{Consts}(0)$

$= \{ \text{zero} \}$

$\text{Consts}(\text{succ } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

$\text{Consts}(\text{pred } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

$\text{Consts}(\text{iszero } t)$

$\text{Consts}(t)$

Inductive definition on terms

The **depth** of arithmetic expressions

$t ::=$	terms of arithmetic expressions
true	constant true
false	constant false
if t_1 then t_2 else t_3	conditional
0	constant zero
succ t	successor of t ($t + 1$)
pred t	predecessor of t ($t - 1$)
iszero t	if t is zero?

Inductive definition on terms

The **depth** of arithmetic expressions

$\text{depth}(\text{true}) = 1$

$\text{depth}(\text{false}) = 1$

$\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ conditional

$\text{depth}(0) = 1$

$\text{succ } t_1$ successor of t_1 ($t_1 + 1$)

$\text{pred } t_1$ predecessor of t_1 ($t_1 - 1$)

$\text{iszero } t_1$ if t_1 is zero?

Inductive definition on terms

The **depth** of arithmetic expressions

$$\text{depth}(\text{true}) = 1$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{false}) = 1$$

$$\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \quad \text{conditional}$$

$$\text{depth}(0) = 1$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{succ } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{pred } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{iszero } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

Inductive definition on terms

The **depth** of arithmetic expressions

$$\text{depth}(\text{true}) = 1$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{false}) = 1$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3) = 1 + \max(\text{depth}(t_1), \text{depth}(t_2), \text{depth}(t_3))$$

$$\text{depth}(0) = 1$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{succ } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{pred } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

$$\text{depth}(\text{iszero } t_1) = 1 + \text{depth}(t_1)$$

Inductive definition on terms

The **size** of arithmetic expressions

$$\text{size}(\text{true}) = 1$$

$$\text{size}(\text{false}) = 1$$

$$\text{size}(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3) = 1 + \text{size}(t_1) + \text{size}(t_2) + \text{size}(t_3)$$

$$\text{size}(0) = 1$$

$$\text{size}(\text{succ } t_1) = 1 + \text{size}(t_1)$$

$$\text{size}(\text{pred } t_1) = 1 + \text{size}(t_1)$$

$$\text{size}(\text{iszero } t_1) = 1 + \text{size}(t_1)$$

Evaluation

Semantics: meaning of programs

$t ::=$

true

false

if t_1 then t_2 else t_3

terms of Boolean expressions

constant true

constant false

conditional

$v ::=$

true

false

Boolean values

true value

false value

Semantics: meaning of programs

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFELSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

Semantics: meaning of programs

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$

(E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$

(E-IFELSE)

$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$

$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3}$

(E-IF)

$\frac{\text{if true then false else false} \rightarrow \text{false}}{\text{if true then false else false} \rightarrow \text{false}}$

E-IFTRUE

Semantics: meaning of programs

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFELSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

$\frac{}{\text{if true then false else false} \rightarrow \text{false}} \text{E-IFTRUE}$

Semantics: meaning of programs

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFELSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$
$$\frac{\text{if true then false else false} \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \text{E-IFTRUE}}{\text{if (if true then false else false) then true else true} \rightarrow \text{if false then true else true} \quad \text{E-IF}}$$

Semantics: meaning of programs

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFELSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\text{if true then false else false} \rightarrow \text{false}}{\text{if (if true then false else false) then true else true} \rightarrow \text{if false then true else true}} \quad \text{E-IFTRUE} \quad \text{E-IF}$$

On termination of evaluation of Boolean expressions

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFELSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

Each rule translates a larger expression to a smaller one.

$t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ and $\text{size}(t_1) > \text{size}(t_2)$
and also $\text{size}(t) \geq 0$ for all t .

Semantics: meaning of programs

$t ::= \dots$

0

succ t

pred t

iszero t

terms of Boolean expressions

constant zero

successor

predecessor

zero test

$v ::= \dots$

nv

Boolean values

numeric values

$nv ::=$

0

succ nv

numeric values

zero value

successor values

Additional evaluation rules

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

Example: Evaluation of a numerical expression

$\text{pred} (\text{succ} (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred} (\text{succ } 0)$

$$\text{pred} (\text{succ} (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred} (\text{succ } 0)$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$\text{pred} (\text{succ} (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred} (\text{succ } 0)$

$\overline{\text{pred } 0} \rightarrow \text{E-PREDZERO}$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$\text{pred} (\text{succ} (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred} (\text{succ } 0)$

$\overline{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0}$ E-PREDZERO

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$

$\text{pred} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$

$\text{iszero} (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$\text{pred } (\text{succ } (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred } (\text{succ } 0)$

$$\frac{\overline{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \quad \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ } (\text{pred } 0) \rightarrow} \quad \text{E-SUCC}$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$
$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$
$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$
$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$
$$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } (\text{pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred } (\text{succ } 0)$$

$$\frac{\frac{}{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ } (\text{pred } 0) \rightarrow \text{succ } 0} \text{E-SUCC}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

pred (succ (pred 0)) \rightarrow pred (succ 0)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ (pred } 0) \rightarrow \text{succ } 0} \text{E-SUCC}}{\text{pred (succ (pred 0))} \rightarrow} \text{E-PRED}$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$
$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$
$$\text{pred (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

Q: Can we use the E-PredSucc rule instead of E-Pred?

A: No (but why not?)

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROTRUE})$$
$$\text{iszero (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ (pred } 0) \rightarrow \text{succ } 0} \text{E-SUCC}}{\text{pred (succ (pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred (succ } 0)} \text{E-PRED}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow t_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROTRUE})$$

$$\text{iszero (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROFALSE})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ (pred } 0) \rightarrow \text{succ } 0} \text{E-SUCC}}{\text{pred (succ (pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred (succ } 0)} \text{E-PRED}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow nv_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROTRUE})$$

$$\text{iszero (succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

E-PredSucc の右辺の t_1 は nv_1 の誤り.

Q: $\text{pred (succ } 0)$ について E-Pred は適用できるか?

A: できない.

理由: $\text{succ } 0$ は値だから. ここまでは, 授業のなかでの説明のとおり. 値 v については $v \rightarrow v'$ とならない. これが値の定義. このため Pred の仮定が成立しないため Pred を適用できない.

Q: $\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0$ に 0 が二箇所に出現するがそれぞれ syntactic element か semantic domain か

at this

❖ Previous derivation tree (T_1)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDZERO}}{\text{succ (pred } 0) \rightarrow \text{succ } 0} \text{E-SUCC}}{\text{pred (succ (pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred (succ } 0)} \text{E-PRED}$$

❖ Another derivation tree (T_2)

$$\frac{}{\text{pred (succ } 0) \rightarrow 0} \text{E-PREDSUCC}$$

❖ Their combined meaning is:

$$\text{pred(succ (pred } 0)) \rightarrow \text{pred(succ } 0) \rightarrow 0$$

❖ $\text{pred(succ (pred } 0)) \rightarrow^* 0$

Type System

Types in Computer Science

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for **proving** the absence of certain **program** behaviors by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.
- ❖ The notion of types appear in broader field of study: logic, mathematics, and philosophy.

What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by **classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute**.
- ❖ a type system can be regarded as calculating a kind of **static approximation to the run-time** behaviors of the term in a program.

What is a type system?

- ❖ a **type system** can be regarded as calculating a kind of **static approximation to the run-time** behaviors of the term in a program.
- ❖ **Example (Fibonacci series)**

$f_1 = 1, f_2 = 2;$

while $f_1 < 100$ **do**

$(f_1, f_2) := (f_2, f_1 + f_2)$

done

$f_1 = 1, f_2 = 2, f_3 = 3, f_4 = 5,$

$f_5 = 8, f_6 = 13, f_7 = 21, f_8 = 34,$

$f_9 = 55, f_{10} = 89, \dots$

What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a tractable syntactic method for **proving the absence of certain program behaviors** by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.

function f() =

 a_complex_test_that_always_gives_true;

if f() **then** 5 **else** $e^{-2i} ("apple" / \pi)$ \Rightarrow *type error*

What is a type system?

- ❖ A **type system** is a **tractable** syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by classifying phrases accord to the kinds of values they compute.
- ❖ Type-checkers are typically built into compilers and linkers and do their job automatically.

Type annotations to assist type-checkers

- ❖ `f1 = 1, f2 = 2;`
`while f1 < 100 do (f1, f2) := (f2, f1+f2) done`
- ❖ `int f1 = 1, f2 = 2;`
`while f1 < 100 {`
 `int t = f1;`
 `f1 = f2; f2 = t + f2;`
`}`

Efficiency of type checking algorithms

- ❖ We are interested in type checking algorithms that are efficient, but ...
- ❖ The computational complexity of **System-F** (the type system of ML) is $O(e^{\text{depth of scopes}})$
- ❖ For **undecidable type systems**, there are type checking “heuristics” that halt quickly in most cases of practical interest.

What type systems are good for?

- ❖ Detecting errors
- ❖ Abstraction
- ❖ Documentation
- ❖ Language safety
- ❖ Efficiency

Types in Error Detection

- ❖ Programmers working in **richly typed languages** often remark: programs tend to “just work” once they pass the type checker.
- ❖ **Example:** Counting money with mixed currency
 - ❖ `double dollar = 5.50, euro = 7.30;`
`double sum = dollar + euro; // Bug: missing currency conversion`
 - ❖ `type currency = Yen(Int) | Dollar(Float) | Euro(Float)`
`val D2Y = 117.84 and E2Y = 146.97`
`val yen = Yen(1000), dollar = Dollar(5.50), euro = Euro(7.30);`
`function toYen Yen(y) ⇒ Yen(y)`
 `| toYen Dollar(d) ⇒ Yen(floor (D2Y * d))`
 `| toYen Euro(e) ⇒ Yen(floor (E2Y * e));`
`var sum = case toYen(dollar), toYen(euro): Yen(d), Yen(e) → Yen(d+e)`
`var sum2 = dollar + euro // Type error detected by the type system`

Types in Program Abstraction

- ❖ Type system enforces **disciplined programming**
 - ❖ Example: Currency conversion library

```
type currency = Yen(Int) | Dollar(Float) | Euro(Float)
function toYen: currency → currency
function toDollar: currency → currency
function toEuro: currency → currency
function total: currency List → currency
```
- ❖

```
import Currency;
var y = toYen(total [Yen(1000), Dollar(5.50), Euro(7.30)])
```

❖ Array module

❖ $\text{Array.make} : \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \text{ array}$

$\text{let } v = \text{Array.make } 3 \ 1.0 \Rightarrow [| 1.0; 1.0; 1.0 |]$

❖ $\text{Array.length} : \alpha \text{ array} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$\text{Array.length } v \Rightarrow 3$

❖ $\text{Array.append} : \alpha \text{ array} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ array} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ array}$

$\text{let } v2 = \text{Array.append } v \ v \Rightarrow [| 1.0; 1.0; 1.0; 1.0; 1.0; 1.0 |]$

❖ $\text{Array.fill} : \alpha \text{ array} \rightarrow \text{pos: int} \rightarrow \text{len: int} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$

$\text{Array.fill } v2 \ \sim\text{pos: } 2 \ \sim\text{len: } 2 \ 5.0 \Rightarrow [| 1.0; 1.0; 5.0; 5.0; 1.0; 1.0 |]$

Types in Language safety

- ❖ Safety: a safe language *protects its own abstractions*.
- ❖ **Example (Array)** The programmer expects the content of an array can change only by using the array update operation on the array.
- ❖

```
int a = 1, b[2];  
printf("a = %u\n", a);           ⇒ 1  
for (int i = 0; i <= 2; i++) b[i] = 100 - i;  
printf("a = %u\n", a);           ⇒ 2
```


Type system vs Safety

	Statically Checked	Dynamically Checked
Safe	ML, Haskell, Java, C#, ...	LISP, Basic, Scheme, Python, Ruby, JavaScript, ...
Unsafe	C, C++	

Types and Efficiency

C	1.12
Java	1.71
Fortran	1.95
Scala	2.07
LISP*	2.15
Haskell	2.15
C#	2.42
OCaml	3.15
JavaScript*	4.27
Smalltalk*	20.27
Python*	41.79
Ruby*	70.74

Typing

Types (kinds of values)

$T ::=$

Types

Bool

type of booleans

Nat

type of natural numbers

Typing Relation

true : **Bool** (T-TRUE)

false : **Bool** (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \textit{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

0 : **Nat** (T-ZERO)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-SUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-PRED})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

Example: a type of an arithmetic expression

Q: How can we assure ourselves that
 “if iszero 0 then 0 else pred 0”
evaluates to a natural number?

Q: the type of “if iszero 0 then 0 else pred 0”?

true : **Bool** (T-TRUE)

false : **Bool** (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \textit{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

0 : **Nat** (T-ZERO)

$$\frac{t_1 : \textbf{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \textbf{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-SUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \textbf{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \textbf{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-PRED})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \textbf{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \textbf{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{0 : \text{Nat}}}{\text{iszero } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \quad \frac{\overline{0 : \text{Nat}}}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \quad \frac{\overline{0 : \text{Nat}}}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-PRED}}{\text{if iszero } 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-IF}$$

型推論において $\text{iszero } 0 : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{iszero } 0 : \text{Bool}$

$$\text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-TRUE})$$

$$\text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-FALSE})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

$$0 : \text{Nat} \quad (\text{T-ZERO})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-SUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-PRED})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

Properties of the type system for arithmetic expressions

- ❖ **Uniqueness theorem:**

If $t : T_1$ and also $t : T_2$ then $T_1 = T_2$.

- ❖ **Progress theorem:**

Suppose t is a well-typed term ($t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

- ❖ **Preservation theorem:**

If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Properties of the type system for arithmetic expressions

- ❖ **Uniqueness theorem:**

If $t : T_1$ and also $t : T_2$ then $T_1 = T_2$.

- ❖ **Progress theorem:**

Suppose t is a well-typed term ($t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

- ❖ **Preservation theorem:**

If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Properties of the type system for arithmetic expressions

- ❖ **Uniqueness theorem:** A well-typed term is not stuck.

If $t : T_1$ and also $t : T_2$ then $T_1 = T_2$.
An expression is stuck if it is not a value and also it is not

- ❖ **Progress theorem:** irreducible by any evaluation rule.

Suppose t is a well-typed term ($t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

- ❖ **Preservation theorem:**

If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Properties of the type system for arithmetic expressions

- ❖ **Uniqueness theorem:**

If $t : T_1$ and also $t : T_2$ then $T_1 = T_2$.

- ❖ **Progress theorem:**

Suppose t is a well-typed term ($t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

- ❖ **Preservation theorem:**

If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Properties of the type system for arithmetic expressions

- ❖ **Uniqueness theorem:**

If $t : T_1$ and also $t : T_2$ then $T_1 = T_2$.

- ❖ **Progress theorem:**

Suppose t is a well-typed term ($t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

If a well-typed term takes a step of evaluation, then the resulting

- ❖ **Preservation theorem:** term is also well typed.

If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.