

PCB sub sampling simulation 2

Xuelong Wang

2019-09-13

Contents

1	Motivation and issues	1
2	Standardization	1
3	Simulation result for the main effect	2
3.1	PCB main effect	2
3.2	PCB total effect	3
4	Simulation study on the small small values	4
4.1	Normal	4
4.2	Chi	5

1 Motivation and issues

Based on the PCB data simulation results, if we don't do the standardization then there tends to have a larger bias for the estimated total effect than the standardized covariates. So for the following section, we try to figure out what are the exact reasons.

The one feature and issues is that the values of each PCB is very small, which lead to small values in its covariance matrix. However, the correlation coefficients among those PCBs are very large. When I fit the model with those small values of PCBs we have found that the total variance estimation tends to have a bigger bias than I use the stanardized covariates.

I suspected that the small values of the PCBs and their covariance matrix may affect the performance of the main and interaction variance estimation

2 Standardization

- $Var(X^T a) = 8$, rescale a based on the $a^T \Sigma_{emp} a$
- Σ_{emp} is calculated by all the PCBs from 1999 with $n = 4 * 10^3$ In here the standardization means to normalization:

$$\tilde{Z} = D(X - \mu_x),$$

Where D is the scaling matrix, $d_{11} = SD(X_1)$. So after the standardization, the variance explained by \tilde{Z} should be same as X , as following shows

$$Y = a_0 + X^T a + \epsilon \Rightarrow Y = b_0 + \tilde{Z}^T b + \epsilon$$

$$Y = b_0 + \tilde{Z}^T b + \epsilon = b_0 + (X^T D b - \mu_x^T D b) + \epsilon$$

In order to have the same model, we only need take linear tranformation of the previous parameters

$$a = D b \text{ and } a_0 = b_0 - \mu_x^T D b.$$

After the reparameterization, we found that the $Var(\tilde{Z}^T b) = Var(X^T a)$, so that it should not affect performance of variance estimation as following shows.

3 Simulation result for the main effect

3.1 PCB main effect

3.1.1 original scale without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	decor	x_dist	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	method
1:	8	FALSE	1999	100	18	15.7	6.5	0	GCTA
2:	8	FALSE	1999	150	22	20.7	6.7	0	GCTA
3:	8	FALSE	1999	231	11	6.6	5.8	0	GCTA
4:	8	FALSE	1999	500	11	4.3	5.4	0	GCTA
5:	8	FALSE	1999	1000	10	2.0	5.1	0	GCTA

3.1.2 original scale decorrelation

	var_main_effect	decor	x_dist	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	method
1:	8	TRUE	1999	100	17.0	13.4	6.1	0	GCTA
2:	8	TRUE	1999	150	17.9	16.7	6.8	0	GCTA
3:	8	TRUE	1999	231	8.7	7.5	6.9	0	GCTA
4:	8	TRUE	1999	500	5.7	5.3	7.4	0	GCTA
5:	8	TRUE	1999	1000	2.9	2.9	7.8	0	GCTA

3.1.3 std covariate without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	decor	x_dist	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	method
1:	8	FALSE	1999	100	67	46.1	13	0	GCTA
2:	8	FALSE	1999	150	76	52.8	13	0	GCTA
3:	8	FALSE	1999	231	33	22.5	11	0	GCTA
4:	8	FALSE	1999	500	22	12.6	11	0	GCTA
5:	8	FALSE	1999	1000	11	5.5	10	0	GCTA

3.1.4 std covariate decorrelation

	var_main_effect	decor	x_dist	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	method
1:	8	TRUE	1999	100	15.3	15.2	7.5	0	GCTA
2:	8	TRUE	1999	150	16.2	16.3	8.1	0	GCTA
3:	8	TRUE	1999	231	7.2	7.1	7.7	0	GCTA
4:	8	TRUE	1999	500	4.3	4.3	7.7	0	GCTA
5:	8	TRUE	1999	1000	2.3	2.3	8.0	0	GCTA

3.2 PCB total effect

After adding the interaction terms, the difference between original and standardized scores are different.

3.2.1 original scale without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect		
1:	8	2	2.4	15		
	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	166	149	10.5	1	EigenPrism
2:	150	247	246	13.0	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	150	138	11.1	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	95	68	9.6	0	GCTA
7:	150	222	209	10.9	0	GCTA
8:	231	95	70	9.7	0	GCTA
9:	500	117	105	11.2	0	GCTA
10:	1000	65	60	12.4	0	GCTA

3.2.2 original scale decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect		
1:	8	2	2.4	15		
	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	105	92	11.2	0	EigenPrism
2:	150	121	107	10.9	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	64	31	9.0	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	141	130	11.4	0	GCTA
7:	150	138	127	11.3	0	GCTA
8:	231	64	37	9.6	0	GCTA
9:	500	53	30	10.0	0	GCTA
10:	1000	32	16	10.7	0	GCTA

3.2.3 std without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect		
1:	8	2	0.62	11		
	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	201	143	19	4	EigenPrism
2:	150	500	348	24	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	324	182	23	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	150	135	15	0	GCTA
7:	150	710	614	21	0	GCTA
8:	231	281	193	21	0	GCTA
9:	500	665	380	28	0	GCTA

10:	1000	576	211	30	0	GCTA
-----	------	-----	-----	----	---	------

3.2.4 original scale decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect
1:	8	2	0.62	11

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	49	49.6	11.0	0	EigenPrism
2:	150	38	38.5	10.7	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	19	16.2	9.5	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	53	52.4	10.2	0	GCTA
7:	150	42	41.6	10.3	0	GCTA
8:	231	19	16.7	9.5	0	GCTA
9:	500	12	9.9	9.8	0	GCTA
10:	1000	7	5.3	9.9	1	GCTA

4 Simulation study on the small small values

4.1 Normal

4.1.1 original scale without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect
1:	8	2	0.046	10

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	21.9	20.1	11.5	0	EigenPrism
2:	150	16.3	16.1	9.5	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	13.0	11.2	8.7	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	25.5	15.8	13.2	0	GCTA
7:	150	10.9	8.8	11.6	0	GCTA
8:	231	6.0	5.3	11.0	0	GCTA
9:	500	3.5	2.3	9.0	0	GCTA
10:	1000	4.8	1.0	8.1	0	GCTA

4.1.2 original scale with decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect
1:	8	2	0.046	10

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	20.8	20.98	10.1	0	EigenPrism
2:	150	13.3	13.45	10.2	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	9.4	8.30	11.2	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	26.2	25.03	8.9	0	GCTA

7:	150	17.6	16.81	9.1	0	GCTA
8:	231	8.7	6.47	8.6	0	GCTA
9:	500	2.4	2.33	10.4	0	GCTA
10:	1000	2.3	0.97	11.2	0	GCTA

4.2 Chi

4.2.1 original scale without decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect	
1:		8	2	1.9	14

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	139	88	21	1	EigenPrism
2:	150	157	75	23	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	194	67	25	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	116	102	18	0	GCTA
7:	150	131	88	20	0	GCTA
8:	231	146	76	22	0	GCTA
9:	500	172	39	25	0	GCTA
10:	1000	151	23	25	0	GCTA

4.2.2 original scale with decorrelation

	var_main_effect	var_inter_effect	cov_main_inter_effect	var_total_effect	
1:		8	2	1.9	14

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_total	method
1:	100	22.4	21.2	13	0	EigenPrism
2:	150	17.3	16.6	13	0	EigenPrism
3:	231	12.5	11.4	13	0	EigenPrism
4:	500	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
5:	1000	NaN	NA	NaN	100	EigenPrism
6:	100	16.5	15.5	13	0	GCTA
7:	150	14.6	14.6	13	0	GCTA
8:	231	7.1	6.8	13	0	GCTA
9:	500	4.0	2.9	13	0	GCTA
10:	1000	2.9	1.3	12	1	GCTA