

# Jackknife variance estimation corrections

*Xuelong Wang*

*2019-12-06*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Jackknife variance correction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Simulation study compare two GCTA and GCTA_rr</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	compare the performance of delete 1 and delete d in variance estimation . . . . .	4

## 1 Jackknife variance correction

If we assume the  $S$  is a smooth functions of empirical CDF, especially a quadratic functions, then it can be shown the leading terms of  $E(\tilde{Var}(S(X_1, \dots, S_{n-1}))) \geq Var(S(X_1, \dots, S_{n-1}))$  is a quadratic term in expectation. Therefore we could try to estimate the quadratic term and correct the bias for the jackknife variance estimation.

Define  $Q_{ii'} \equiv nS - (n-1)(S_i + S_{i'}) + (n-2)S_{(ii')}$ , then the correction will be

$$\hat{Var}^{corr}(S(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \hat{Var}(S(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i < i'} (Q_{ii'} - \bar{Q})^2$$

where  $\bar{Q} = \sum_{i < i'} (Q_{ii'}) / (n(n-1)/2)$

## 2 Simulation study compare two GCTA and GCTA\_rr

GCTA\_rr is the `mixed.solve` function from `rrBLUP` r package.

Based on the following simulation results,

1. when  $n < p$  case, those two methods' results are very closed to each other.
2. when  $n > p$  case, in terms of effect estimation and jackknife variance estimation those two methods's results are similar to each other. But for the variance corrections are quite different. That is the statistics  $Q$  of our method has a very large variance which leads to negative correction result.

### 2.0.1 setup

- Independent
- Normal
- $p = 100$
- $n = \{50, 75, 100, 150, 200\}$
- with interaction terms
- main effect:  $Var(X^T \beta) = \{0, 8, 100\}$

## 2.0.2 Simulation result

### 2.0.3 $Var(X^T\beta) = \{0\}$

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_main_jack	GCTA_v_jack_1
1:	50	3.40	1.83	1.32	0	0.59	9.55
2:	75	1.19	0.98	0.56	0	0.46	2.73
3:	100	1.08	0.84	0.57	0	0.44	1.35
4:	150	0.28	0.19	0.32	0	-1.09	0.86
5:	200	0.21	0.12	0.32	0	-1.60	0.78
			GCTA_v_jack_2	GCTA_v_corr			
1:			9.62	-9.28			
2:			2.68	-5.64			
3:			1.35	-0.77			
4:			0.67	-64.08			
5:			0.69	-46.14			

  

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_1
1:	50	3.40	1.83	1.32	0	0.60	9.55
2:	75	1.19	0.98	0.56	0	0.46	2.73
3:	100	1.08	0.84	0.57	0	0.44	1.35
4:	150	0.28	0.19	0.33	0	-0.17	0.62
5:	200	0.21	0.12	0.33	0	0.28	0.61
			GCTA_rr_v_jack_2	GCTA_rr_v_corr			
1:			9.47	-3.560			
2:			2.68	-5.643			
3:			1.35	-0.770			
4:			0.61	-1.204			
5:			0.61	-0.041			

### 2.0.4 $Var(X^T\beta) = \{100\}$

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_main_jack	GCTA_v_jack_1
1:	50	9247	1784	87	0	66	8795
2:	75	10077	1863	92	0	103	5170
3:	100	11839	2142	100	0	84	2072
4:	150	10953	443	103	0	31	1280
5:	200	9778	245	98	0	30	725
			GCTA_v_jack_2	GCTA_v_corr			
1:			8793	-3687			
2:			5109	-3122			
3:			2081	194			
4:			1148	-80475			
5:			673	-32124			

  

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_1
1:	50	9247	1784	87	0	66	8795
2:	75	10077	1863	92	0	103	5170
3:	100	11839	2142	100	0	84	2072
4:	150	11194	414	104	0	103	969
5:	200	9854	238	98	0	98	616
			GCTA_rr_v_jack_2	GCTA_rr_v_corr			
1:			8787	-3492			
2:			5109	-3124			

3:	2081	194
4:	970	158
5:	616	220

## 2.0.5 $Var(X^T\beta) = \{8\}$

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_main_jack	GCTA_v_jack_1
1:	50	90	25.8	8.0	0	8.5	74.1
2:	75	70	13.1	7.5	0	7.5	32.1
3:	100	68	6.3	7.8	0	7.5	13.7
4:	150	70	4.0	8.1	0	8.4	9.2
5:	200	65	2.5	7.9	0	7.6	4.6

	GCTA_v_jack_2	GCTA_v_corr
1:	73.8	-190.67
2:	31.9	-25.67
3:	13.8	-0.97
4:	8.1	-502.59
5:	4.3	-214.51

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main
1:	50	24.0	24.0	8.0	0
2:	75	13.8	13.8	7.9	0
3:	100	8.6	8.6	8.1	0
4:	150	3.7	3.7	8.0	0
5:	200	2.7	2.7	8.0	0

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_1
1:	50	90	25.8	8.0	0	8.5	74.1
2:	75	70	13.1	7.5	0	7.5	32.1
3:	100	68	6.3	7.8	0	7.5	13.7
4:	150	70	4.1	8.1	0	8.1	6.9
5:	200	65	2.5	7.9	0	7.9	3.9

	GCTA_rr_v_jack_2	GCTA_rr_v_corr
1:	73.6	-177.35
2:	31.8	-16.78
3:	13.8	-0.97
4:	6.9	1.49
5:	3.9	1.38

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main
1:	50	23.8	23.9	8.0	0
2:	75	13.7	13.7	7.9	0
3:	100	8.6	8.6	8.1	0
4:	150	3.8	3.8	8.0	0
5:	200	2.7	2.7	8.1	0

## 2.0.6 correlation test \$

	n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	cor_main_jack	cor_v_jack_1
1:	50	0.0131	0.0130	0.49	0	0.49	0.0127
2:	75	0.0083	0.0083	0.50	0	0.50	0.0079
3:	100	0.0057	0.0057	0.50	0	0.50	0.0059
4:	150	0.0038	0.0038	0.50	0	0.50	0.0039
5:	200	0.0030	0.0030	0.50	0	0.50	0.0029

	cor_v_jack_2	cor_v_corr
1:	0.0128	0.0120
2:	0.0079	0.0076
3:	0.0059	0.0057
4:	0.0039	0.0038
5:	0.0029	0.0029

## 2.1 compare the performance of delete 1 and delete d in variance estimation

The delete-d jackknife variance estimator is

$$\hat{\Xi}_{J(d)} = \frac{n-d}{d} \cdot \frac{1}{S} \sum_S (\hat{\theta}_s - \hat{\theta}_{s.})$$

, where  $S = \binom{n}{d}$ . Note that S could a very large value, so in the following simulation, only  $S = 1000$  is used. In Jun Shao's another paper, he proposed an approximation of the delete-d variance estimation. That is just select  $m$  from  $S = \binom{n}{d}$  sub-samples and in that paper it recommended  $m = n^{1.5}$ .

### 2.1.1 setup

- Independent
- Normal
- $p = \{100, 1000\}$
- $n = \{50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 500, 750, 1000, 1500, 2000\}$
- $d = 0.5 \times n$
- $n_{repeat} = 1000$  for delete d jackknife
- main effect:  $Var(X^T \beta) = 8$

### 2.1.2 GCTA with $p = 100$

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_main_jack	GCTA_v_jack	GCTA_v_jack_var	d	n_sub
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	8.5	74.1	8383.8	1.0	NA
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	7.5	32.1	685.1	1.0	NA
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	7.5	13.7	102.2	1.0	NA
150	4.0	4.0	8.1	0	8.4	9.2	16.4	1.0	NA
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	7.6	4.6	2.1	1.0	NA
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	45.5	41.2	365.2	0.5	NA
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	-177.5	27.1	99.7	0.5	NA
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	-237.3	18.5	38.1	0.5	NA
150	4.0	4.0	8.1	0	-13.8	9.4	7.5	0.5	NA
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	17.3	5.0	1.4	0.5	NA
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	35.1	41.1	366.6	0.5	354
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	-107.6	27.0	100.1	0.5	650
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	-237.3	18.5	38.1	0.5	1000
150	4.0	4.0	8.1	0	-20.2	9.3	7.0	0.5	1837
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	53.4	5.1	1.3	0.5	2828

### 2.1.3 GCTA with $p = 1000$

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_main_jack	GCTA_v_jack	GCTA_v_jack_var	d
500	2.88	2.91	8.0	0	7.8	4.65	1.08	1.0
750	1.29	1.30	8.0	0	8.0	2.26	0.15	1.0
1000	0.77	0.78	8.0	0	8.0	1.28	0.04	1.0
1500	0.47	0.48	7.9	0	6.7	0.80	0.01	1.0
500	2.88	2.91	8.0	0	-79.1	6.56	1.17	0.5
750	1.29	1.30	8.0	0	-5.9	3.04	0.13	0.5
1000	0.77	0.78	8.0	0	40.8	1.71	0.05	0.5
1500	0.41	0.41	8.0	0	9.9	0.80	0.01	0.5
2000	0.31	0.31	8.0	0	25.6	0.48	0.00	0.5

### 2.1.4 GCTA\_rr\_rr with $p = 100$

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_var	d	n_sub
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	8.5	74.1	8378.6	1.0	NA
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	7.5	32.1	685.3	1.0	NA
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	7.5	13.7	102.2	1.0	NA
150	4.1	4.1	8.1	0	8.1	6.9	8.5	1.0	NA
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	7.9	3.9	1.3	1.0	NA
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	52.5	40.6	363.1	0.5	NA
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	-198.0	26.6	100.2	0.5	NA
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	-257.6	18.1	38.6	0.5	NA
150	4.1	4.1	8.1	0	-11.9	9.3	7.5	0.5	NA
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	25.4	5.0	1.4	0.5	NA
50	25.6	25.8	8.0	0	35.2	40.5	363.4	0.5	354
75	13.2	13.1	7.5	0	-120.5	26.6	100.8	0.5	650
100	6.2	6.3	7.8	0	-257.6	18.1	38.6	0.5	1000
150	4.1	4.1	8.1	0	-17.0	9.3	7.1	0.5	1837
200	2.5	2.5	7.9	0	76.2	5.1	1.3	0.5	2828

### 2.1.5 GCTA\_rr with $p = 1000$

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_var	d
500	2.88	2.91	8.0	0	7.8	4.65	1.08	1.0

(continued)

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	GCTA_rr_main_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack	GCTA_rr_v_jack_var	d
750	1.29	1.30	8.0	0	8.0	2.26	0.15	1.0
1000	0.77	0.78	8.0	0	8.0	1.28	0.04	1.0
1500	0.48	0.48	7.9	0	8.0	0.62	0.00	1.0
500	2.88	2.91	8.0	0	-79.1	6.56	1.17	0.5
750	1.29	1.30	8.0	0	-5.9	3.04	0.13	0.5
1000	0.77	0.78	8.0	0	40.8	1.71	0.05	0.5
1500	0.41	0.41	8.0	0	11.8	0.80	0.01	0.5
2000	0.31	0.31	8.0	0	24.4	0.48	0.00	0.5

### 2.1.6 cor with n = 200

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	cor_main_jack	cor_v_jack	d
50	0.01252	0.01265	0.50001	0	0.50432	0.01229	1.0
75	0.00774	0.00782	0.50050	0	0.50323	0.00815	1.0
100	0.00607	0.00613	0.50148	0	0.50334	0.00582	1.0
150	0.00383	0.00385	0.49584	0	0.49709	0.00391	1.0
200	0.00281	0.00284	0.49930	0	0.50027	0.00288	1.0
50	0.01252	0.01265	0.50001	0	5.32154	0.01282	0.5
75	0.00774	0.00782	0.50050	0	3.26213	0.00844	0.5
100	0.00607	0.00613	0.50148	0	2.50378	0.00595	0.5
150	0.00383	0.00385	0.49584	0	1.59064	0.00396	0.5
200	0.00281	0.00284	0.49930	0	1.46439	0.00293	0.5

### 2.1.7 median with n = 200

n	MSE	est_var	est_mean	NA_main	median_main_jack	median_v_jack	d
50	0.03138	0.03135	-0.00775	0	-0.00775	0.06818	1.0
75	0.02211	0.02212	-0.00212	0	0.05228	0.03113	1.0
100	0.01523	0.01523	-0.00378	0	-0.00378	0.02720	1.0
150	0.01072	0.01072	-0.00279	0	-0.00279	0.01885	1.0
200	0.00804	0.00804	-0.00051	0	-0.00051	0.01614	1.0
50	0.03138	0.03135	-0.00775	0	5.04459	0.03477	0.5
75	0.02211	0.02212	-0.00212	0	8.44376	0.02248	0.5
100	0.01523	0.01523	-0.00378	0	2.68868	0.01587	0.5
150	0.01072	0.01072	-0.00279	0	-1.47581	0.01110	0.5
200	0.00804	0.00804	-0.00051	0	3.96797	0.00827	0.5