Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Session 3: Regression coefficients and model matrices

Levi Waldron

CUNY SPH Biostatistics 2

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Learning objectives and outline

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review
Interpretation

of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Learning objectives

- 1 Interpret main effect coefficients in logistic regression
- 2 Interpret interaction terms in logistic regression
- 3 Define and interpret model matrices for (generalized) linear models

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Outline

- Review of GLM
- 2 Interpretation of logistic regression coefficients
- 3 Introduction to model matrices

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

GLM review

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Components of GLM

- Random component specifies the conditional distribution for the response variable
 - doesn't have to be normal
 - can be any distribution in the "exponential" family of distributions
- Systematic component specifies linear function of predictors (linear predictor)
- **Link** [denoted by g(.)] specifies the relationship between the expected value of the random component and the systematic component
 - can be linear or nonlinear

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Logistic Regression as GLM

• The model:

$$Logit(P(x)) = log\left(\frac{P(x)}{1 - P(x)}\right)$$
$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi}$$

- Random component: y_i follows a Binomial distribution (outcome is a binary variable)
- Systematic component: linear predictor

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi}$$

• **Link function**: *logit* (log of the odds that the event occurs)

$$g(P(x)) = logit(P(x)) = log\left(\frac{P(x)}{1 - P(x)}\right)$$

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design

Additive vs. multiplicative models

- 1 Linear regression is an *additive* model
 - e.g. for two binary variables $\beta_1 = 1.5$, $\beta_2 = 1.5$.
 - If $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1$, this adds 3.0 to E(y|x)
- 2 Logistic regression is a multiplicative model
 - It is additive on log-odds scale
 - If $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1$, this adds 3.0 to $log(\frac{P}{1-P})$
 - Odds-ratio $\frac{P}{1-P}$ increases 20-fold: exp(1.5+1.5) or exp(1.5)*exp(1.5)

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Motivating example: contraceptive use data

From http://data.princeton.edu/wws509/datasets/#cuse

```
cuse <- read.table("cuse.dat", header=TRUE)
summary(cuse)
```

Median :29.00 Mean

:31.69 3rd Qu.:49.00 Max.

:80.00

```
education
                                             wantsMore
                                                                   notUsing
        age
   Length:16
                       Length:16
                                            Length:16
                                                                       : 8.00
   Class :character
                        Class :character
                                            Class :character
                                                                1st On . . 31 .00
                       Mode :character
                                            Mode :character
   Mode
         :character
                                                                Median: 56.50
                                                                Mean
                                                                       : 68.75
##
                                                                3rd Qu.: 85.75
##
                                                               Max.
                                                                       .212.00
        using
   Min.
           · 4 00
   1st Qu.: 9.50
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Univariate regression on "wants more children"

```
fit <- glm(cbind(using, notUsing) ~ wantsMore,
          data=cuse, family=binomial("logit"))
summary(fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(using, notUsing) ~ wantsMore, family = binomial("logit"),
##
      data = cuse)
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -0.18636 0.07971 -2.338 0.0194 *
## wantsMoreyes -1.04863 0.11067 -9.475 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 165.772 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 74.098 on 14 degrees of freedom
## ATC: 149 61
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design

Interpretation of "wants more children" table

- Coefficients for (Intercept) and dummy variables
- Coefficients are normally distributed when assumptions are correct

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Interpretation of "wants more children" coefficients

Additive coefficient interpretation on log-odds scale




Figure 1: Diagram of the estimated coefficients in the GLM. The yellow arrow indicates the Intercept term, which goes from zero to the mean of the reference group (here the 'wantsMore = no' samples). The blue arrow indicates the difference in log-odds of the yes group minus the no group, which is negative in this example. The circles show the individual samples, jittered horizontally to avoid

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Regression on age

- Four age groups
 - three dummy variables age25-29, age30-39, age40-49
 - how to interpret them?

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Regression on age

```
fit <- glm(cbind(using, notUsing) ~ age,
          data=cuse, family=binomial("logit"))
summary(fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(using, notUsing) ~ age, family = binomial("logit").
      data = cuse)
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.5072 0.1303 -11.571 < 2e-16 ***
## age25-29 0.4607 0.1727 2.667 0.00765 **
## age30-39 1.0483 0.1544 6.788 1.14e-11 ***
## age40-49 1.4246 0.1940 7.345 2.06e-13 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 165.772 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 86.581 on 12 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 166.09
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Recall model formulae

example	meaning
+ x	include this variable
- X	delete this variable
x : z	include the interaction
x * z	include these variables and their
	interactions
$(u + v + w)^3$	include these variables and all
	interactions up to three way
-1	intercept: delete the intercept
	+ x - x x: z x * z (u + v + w)^3

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Regression on age and wantsMore

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-0.87	0.16	-5.54	0.00
age25-29	0.37	0.18	2.10	0.04
age30-39	0.81	0.16	5.06	0.00
age40-49	1.02	0.20	5.01	0.00
wantsMoreyes	-0.82	0.12	-7.04	0.00

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Interaction / Effect Modification

 What if we want to know whether the effect of age is modified by whether the woman wants more children or not?

Interaction is modeled as the product of two covariates:

$$E[y|x] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_{12} x_1 * x_2$$

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Interaction / Effect Modification (fit)

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-1.46	0.30	-4.90	0.00
age25-29	0.64	0.36	1.78	0.07
age30-39	1.54	0.32	4.84	0.00
age40-49	1.76	0.34	5.14	0.00
wantsMoreyes	-0.06	0.33	-0.19	0.85
age25-29:wantsMoreyes	-0.27	0.41	-0.65	0.51
age30-39:wantsMoreyes	-1.09	0.37	-2.92	0.00
age40-49:wantsMoreyes	-1.37	0.48	-2.83	0.00

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

The Design Matrix

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

What is the design matrix, and why?

- 1 What? The design matrix is the most generic, flexible way to specify them
- **2** Why? There are multiple possible and reasonable regression models for a given study design.

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Matrix notation for the multiple linear regression model

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & & \\ 1 & x_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix}$$

or simply:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{eta} + \boldsymbol{arepsilon}$$

- The design matrix is X
- the computer will take X as a given when solving for β by minimizing the sum of squares of residuals ε , or maximizing likelihood.

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Choice of design matrix

The model formula encodes a default model matrix, e.g.:

```
group <- factor( c(1, 1, 2, 2) )
model.matrix(~ group)</pre>
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Choice of design matrix (cont'd)

What if we forgot to code group as a factor?

```
group <- c(1, 1, 2, 2)
model.matrix(~ group)</pre>
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

4

5

6

The Design Matrix

More groups, still one variable

```
## attr(,"assign")
## [1] 0 1 1
## attr(,"contrasts")
## attr(,"contrasts")$group
```

[1] "contr.treatment"

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Changing the baseline group

```
group <- factor(c(1,1,2,2,3,3))
group <- relevel(x=group, ref=3)
model.matrix(~ group)</pre>
```

```
##
     (Intercept) group1 group2
## 1
## 2
## 5
## 6
## attr(,"assign")
  [1] 0 1 1
## attr(,"contrasts")
## attr(,"contrasts")$group
   [1] "contr.treatment"
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

More than one variable

```
agegroup <- factor(c(1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2))
wantsMore <- factor(c("y","y","n","n","y","y","n","n"))
model.matrix(- agegroup + wantsMore)

## (Intercept) agegroup2 wantsMorey</pre>
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

With an interaction term

```
model.matrix(~ agegroup + wantsMore + agegroup:wantsMore)
     (Intercept) agegroup2 wantsMorey agegroup2:wantsMorey
## 1
## 2
                                                           0
## 8
## attr(,"assign")
## [1] 0 1 2 3
## attr(,"contrasts")
## attr(,"contrasts")$agegroup
## [1] "contr.treatment"
##
## attr(,"contrasts")$wantsMore
## [1] "contr.treatment"
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Design matrix to contrast what we want

- Contraceptive use example
 - The effect of wanting more children different for 40-49 year-olds than for <25 year-olds is answered by the term age40-49:wantsMoreyes in this default model with interaction terms

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-1.46	0.30	-4.90	0.00
age25-29	0.64	0.36	1.78	0.07
age30-39	1.54	0.32	4.84	0.00
age40-49	1.76	0.34	5.14	0.00
wantsMoreyes	-0.06	0.33	-0.19	0.85
age25-29:wantsMoreyes	-0.27	0.41	-0.65	0.51
age30-39:wantsMoreyes	-1.09	0.37	-2.92	0.00
age40-49:wantsMoreyes	-1.37	0.48	-2.83	0.00

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design

Design matrix to contrast what we want (cont'd)

• What if we want to ask this question for 40-49 year-olds vs. 30-39 year-olds?

The desired contrast is:

age40-49:wantsMoreyes - age30-39:wantsMoreyes

There are many ways to construct this design, one is with library(multcomp)

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Design matrix constructed with library(multcomp)

```
coef(fit)
             (Intercept)
                                       age25-29
                                                              age30-39
             -1.45528723
                                     0.63538835
                                                            1.54114852
                age40-49
                                   wantsMoreyes age25-29:wantsMoreyes
##
              1.76429207
                                    -0.06399958
                                                           -0.26723185
## age30-39:wantsMoreyes age40-49:wantsMoreyes
             -1.09049316
                                    -1.36714805
##
contmat \leftarrow matrix(c(0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,1), 1)
contmat
        [.1] [.2] [.3] [.4] [.5] [.6] [.7] [.8]
## [1.]
new.interaction <- multcomp::glht(fit, linfct=contmat)
summary(new.interaction)
##
```

```
##
## Simultaneous Tests for General Linear Hypotheses
##
## Fit: glm(formula = cbind(using, notUsing) - age * wantsMore, family = binomial("logit"),
## data = cuse)
##
## Linear Hypotheses:
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## 1 == 0 -0.2767  0.3935 -0.703  0.482
## (Adjusted p values reported -- single-step method)
```

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

GLM review

Interpretation of main effects and interactions in logistic regression

The Design Matrix

Summary

- Logistic regression coefficients are linear in log-odds, multiplicative in probability
- 2 model formulae for easy setup of multiple regression
- 3 design matrix for completely flexible setup of multiple regression