

# Errata

## for *A Logic of Finite Syntactic Epistemic States* (submitted version)

Thomas Ågotnes  
agotnes@ii.uib.no

June 4, 2004

The following are the most significant corrections made in the final print version. The corrections are either of misprints or, in one case, relocation of a definition which was used before introduced. In addition, the text is slightly some places in order to make it clearer and/or grammatically correct.

The only *addition* is two figures on p. 132, representing the systems  $S$  and  $S'$  described in the text. There is no information in the figures compared to the text.

Of course, the content of the thesis has not changed.

Legend:  $tn$  ( $bn$ ) means line number  $n$  from the top (bottom). “ $A \mapsto B$ ” means that  $A$  should be replaced with  $B$ .

### Chapter 1

p. 8 b14: ..model from Part III  $\mapsto$  ..model from Part II

### Chapter 2

p. 10 PC: ..is a valid propositional formula  $\mapsto$  ..is a substitution instance of a propositional tautology

p. 13 b13: most general  $\mapsto$  strongest condition

p. 20 t14:  $d_a(q) \leq 1 \mapsto d_a(q) \geq 1$

p. 21 b13:  $\pi(q) \mapsto \pi'(q)$

### Chapter 3

p. 28 After “.. finite states.”, insert the sentence: “The resulting logic is called *Static Syntactic Epistemic Logic* (SSEL).”.

p. 36 b15,b16:  $\mathcal{M}^{T_i} \mapsto \text{mod}(\mathbf{T}_i)$

p. 37 b3:  $\mathcal{M}^{T_i} \mapsto \text{mod}(\mathbf{T}_i)$

### Chapter 4

p. 39 t9:  $\rightarrow \mapsto \Rightarrow$

p. 47 t6: *Lemma*4.1.2  $\mapsto$  *Corollary*4.1.2

p. 48 Proof of Th. 4.1: The case for E5 is missing. It is trivial.

p. 49 t5–t9:  $\nabla_i S[T]$  and  $\nabla_i S[U] \mapsto \nabla_i S[U]$  and  $\nabla_i S[T]$

p. 49 t1:  $\phi \in \Gamma' \mapsto \Gamma' \vdash \phi$

p. 49 t1:  $\neg\phi \in \Gamma' \mapsto \Gamma' \vdash \neg\phi$

### Chapter 5

p. 54 b12: Insert the sentence “The model class of  $\Gamma \subseteq EL$ , w.r.t.  $\mathcal{M}_{fin}$ , is  $\text{mod}^f(\Gamma) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_{fin} : M \models_f \Gamma\}$ .”

p. 54 b20:  $\wp^{fin}(OL) \mapsto \mathcal{S}^f = \wp^{fin}(OL)$

p. 57 b15,b18:  $\mathcal{M}^\Phi \mapsto \text{mod}(\Phi)$

p. 57 b13,b17:  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,f} \mapsto \text{mod}^f(\Phi)$

## Chapter 6

**p. 61** End of Sec. 6.1: Replace the last sentence with: “Agents are still assumed to be finite, as described by KSSs  $\mathcal{M}_{fin}$  with possible epistemic states  $\mathcal{S}^f$  (Def 5.1). However, the more general semantics, GKSSs  $\mathcal{M}$  with possible epistemic states  $\mathcal{S}$  (Def. 3.8), will be very useful in this chapter. Henceforth the superscript  $f$  will be used to denote the finite restriction of a set  $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  or a set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ :  $\mathcal{M}'^f = \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}_{fin}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^f = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}^f$ .

**p. 62** b2:  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi f}: \mathcal{M}^{\Phi f} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{fin} \mapsto \text{mod}^f(\Phi)$

**p. 64** t2: Def. 6.3  $\mapsto$  Def. 6.1

**p. 64** b1:  $\wp(OL) \mapsto \mathcal{S}$

**p. 69** t2:  $\wp^{fin}(s \cup \{\alpha_{i,S}^{\Phi,s}\}) \mapsto \wp^{fin}(\hat{s} \cup \{\alpha_{i,S}^{\Phi,s}\})$

**p. 69** t3:  $AL \mapsto OL$

**p. 72** b11:  $s_i \mapsto s_i^\Phi$

**p. 73** Proof of Lemma 6.9: The case for Def. 6.8.2c) is missing. It is trivial.

**p. 73** b2:  $\beta \mapsto \{\beta\}$

**p. 73** b1:  $S \cap \wp^{fin}(s) \mapsto \bigcup(S \cap \wp^{fin}(s))$

**p. 74** t2:  $\beta \mapsto \{\beta\}$

**p. 74** t3,t8:  $S \cap \wp^{fin}(s \cup \{\alpha\}) \mapsto \bigcup(S \cap \wp^{fin}(s \cup \{\alpha\}))$

**p. 74** b4:  $\wp^{fin}(s) \mapsto \bigcup \wp^{fin}(s)$

**p. 76** b12,b6:  $AL \mapsto OL$

**p. 77** t1:  $\mathbf{K} \mapsto \mathbf{D}$

**p. 77** t8,t14:  $AL \mapsto OL$

## Chapter 7

**p. 89** b10:  $\pi \mapsto \pi'$

**p. 93** t12:  $\phi \in \pi \mapsto \pi(p) = \mathbf{true}$

**p. 96** t21:  $R_i \mapsto R_j$

**p. 98** b21:  $\llbracket T^R \rrbracket \mapsto \llbracket T_j^R \rrbracket$

**p.99/100** Example 7.3: the validity proof contains some confusing notation and has been cleaned up a bit.

**p. 101** t6:  $pFin \mapsto \wp^{fin}(OL)$

**p. 102** b23: the whole line  $\mapsto$  then  $s \subseteq r$  and  $s' \in \llbracket T^R \rrbracket(s)$  implies that there is a  $r' \in \llbracket T^R \rrbracket(r)$  such that  $s' \subseteq r'$

**p. 104** t8:  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij} \mapsto \tilde{\nabla}_{ii}$

**p. 107** b9: **Prop2**  $\mapsto$  **Prop3**

## Chapter 9

**p. 129** t4: Part III  $\mapsto$  Part II

**p. 129** t6:  $\Delta_i X \mapsto \nabla_i X$

**p. 129** b7:  $\delta(q, a) \mapsto \delta(q_k, a)$

**p. 131** eq. (9.9):  $q \mapsto q_1$

**p. 132** t4:  $q_4 \mapsto \pi(q_4)$

**p. 132** eq. (9.12):  $S \mapsto S'$

**p. 134** t8:  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij} \mapsto \tilde{\Delta}_{ii}$