

CSE 40622 Cryptography
Writing Assignment 09 (Lecture 18-20)

Name: Walker Bagley (wbagley)

- (10 pts) In the somewhat homomorphic symmetric-key encryption, suppose we have the following parameters:

- sk 's bitwidth is $bit(sk)$ bits.
- r 's bitwidth is $bit(r)$ bits.
- q 's bitwidth is $bit(q)$ bits.

What is the multiplicative depth of the scheme with these parameters?

Answer:

Recall that the ciphertext $c = pq + 2r + m$ where $bit(p) = bit(sk)$. We know that the bitwidth of the noise $bit(2r)$ must be less than $bit(p)$ in order for decryption to work. We also know that $bit(2r)$ doubles in the worst case each time we multiply. Also, $bit(2r) = 1 + bit(r)$. So $\log_2(bit(sk))$ would give the multiplicative depth if our noise has a bit length of 1. However, our noise has a bit length of $bit(r) + 1$, and thus there are $\log_2(bit(r) + 1)$ multiplications we cannot do due to our noise already being a certain size. So, subtracting gives us a multiplicative depth of $\log_2(bit(sk)) - \log_2(bit(r) + 1) = \log_2(\frac{bit(sk)}{bit(r)+1})$. This may not be an integer, so we floor this result as we cannot have a partial multiplication. Thus, the multiplicative depth of this scheme would be $\lfloor \log_2(\frac{bit(sk)}{bit(r)+1}) \rfloor$.

- (20 pts) Suppose there are two valid ciphertexts $ct_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1(-\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e}_{1,0} + \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{1,1})$ and $ct_2 = (\mathbf{v}_2(-\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e}_{2,0} + \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{v}_2\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})$, which are the ciphertexts of \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 respectively. We have learned that the outcome of homomorphic addition on these two ciphertexts is $c_{add} = (ct_1[0] + ct_2[0], ct_1[1] + ct_2[1])$. Apply the decryption algorithm on c_{add} , simplify the terms (i.e., show all the equations), and show that the outcome of the decryption will be approximately $\mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2$.

Answer:

The decryption algorithm on c_{add} would be equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{add}[0] + c_{add}[1]s &= ct_1[0] + ct_2[0] + (ct_1[1] + ct_2[1])s \\
 &= \mathbf{v}_1(-\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e}_{1,0} + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2(-\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e}_{2,0} + \mathbf{m}_2 + (\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{1,1} + \mathbf{v}_2\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})s \\
 &= (\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)(-\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{e}) + (\mathbf{e}_{1,0} + \mathbf{e}_{2,0}) + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2 + (\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{1,1} + \mathbf{v}_2\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})s \\
 &= (\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)\mathbf{e} + (\mathbf{e}_{1,0} + \mathbf{e}_{2,0}) + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2 + (\mathbf{e}_{1,1} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})s \\
 &\approx (\mathbf{e}_{1,0} + \mathbf{e}_{2,0}) + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2 + (\mathbf{e}_{1,1} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})s \\
 &\approx \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2 + (\mathbf{e}_{1,1} + \mathbf{e}_{2,1})s \\
 &\approx \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_1, v_2, e, e_{1,0}, e_{2,0}, e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}, s$ are all very small in comparison to m_1, m_2 .

- (20 pts) At the end of a homomorphic multiplication of a cipher of \mathbf{m}_1 and a cipher of \mathbf{m}_2 , why do we wish to have a cipher of $\left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{m}_2}{\Delta} \right\rfloor$ instead of $\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{m}_2$?

Answer:

Remember that we multiply m by Δ during encoding before encrypting so that the coefficients of m dominate the scheme. We also divide the decryption by Δ when we decode. Let m_1, m_2 designate the original messages, so we encrypt and decrypt using $\Delta m_1, \Delta m_2$. Then $\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{m}_2 = \Delta^2 m_1 m_2$. We just want $m_1 m_2$ by the end and will divide the decryption by Δ during decoding, so we must get rid of the other Δ . We do this by dividing by Δ once again in the cipher, so that upon decryption, we are left with $\Delta m_1 m_2$ which will reduce to $m_1 m_2$ on decoding, which is exactly what we want.

4. Let's turn the somewhat homomorphic symmetric-key encryption in Section 2.1.1 to a fully homomorphic symmetric-key encryption.

* Recall that $Enc_{sk}(x)$ is a ciphertext that can be used for homomorphic operations even though x is not binary. In other words, $Enc_{sk}(x) + Enc_{sk}(y) = Enc_{sk}(x + y)$ and $Enc_{sk}(x) \cdot Enc_{sk}(y) = Enc_{sk}(x \cdot y)$ even though x, y are not binary.

- 4.1. (10 pts) Describe the decryption function with arithmetic operations (i.e., \pm, \times, \div) and the floor function only.

Answer:

Recall that the decryption scheme is $m = c \bmod p \bmod 2$, and we can write $a \bmod b$ as $a - b \times \lfloor a \div b \rfloor$. So we can rewrite the decryption scheme as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c \bmod p &= a = c - p \times \lfloor c \div p \rfloor \\ m &= a \bmod 2 = a - 2 \times \lfloor a \div 2 \rfloor \\ m &= c - p \times \lfloor c \div p \rfloor - 2 \times \lfloor c - p \times \lfloor c \div p \rfloor \div 2 \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

- 4.2. (30 pts) Let $Enc_{sk}(x)$ be the encryption of x with the secret key sk . Suppose we have a fresh ciphertext of sk (i.e., $Enc_{sk}(sk)$), and we also have an encryption oracle \mathcal{O}_{enc} which returns a fresh ciphertext of x given the input x . In other words, $\mathcal{O}_{enc}(x) = Enc_{sk}(x)$. Finally, suppose we have another oracle \mathcal{O}_{floor} which returns a fresh ciphertext of $\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ given a ciphertext of x and a ciphertext of y . In other words, $\mathcal{O}_{floor}(Enc_{sk}(x), Enc_{sk}(y)) = Enc_{sk}(\lfloor x/y \rfloor)$.

- The ciphertexts of x, y may contain large noises, but the noise should not be too large and the ciphertexts of x, y should be decryptable.

Describe the homomorphic operations needed for bootstrapping a ciphertext c with the encrypted secret key and the two oracles above.

Answer:

Use \mathcal{O}_{enc} to calculate $\mathcal{O}_{enc}(c) = Enc_{sk}(c)$, $\mathcal{O}_{enc}(p) = Enc_{sk}(p)$, $\mathcal{O}_{enc}(2) = Enc_{sk}(2)$ and remember that $c = Enc_{sk}(m)$. Then use \mathcal{O}_{floor} to calculate $\mathcal{O}_{floor}(Enc_{sk}(c), Enc_{sk}(p)) = Enc_{sk}(\lfloor c/p \rfloor)$.

Lets start with $\alpha = c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor$, which will look like this after applying the homomorphisms given by Enc_{sk} :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= Enc_{sk}(c) - Enc_{sk}(p) \times Enc_{sk}(\lfloor c/p \rfloor) \\ &= Enc_{sk}(c) - Enc_{sk}(p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) \\ &= Enc_{sk}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

Then, to finish the decryption, we convert $m = \alpha - 2 \times \lfloor \alpha/2 \rfloor$:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \alpha - Enc_{sk}(2) \times \lfloor \alpha/Enc_{sk}(2) \rfloor \\ &= Enc_{sk}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) - Enc_{sk}(2) \times \lfloor Enc_{sk}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) / Enc_{sk}(2) \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

Use \mathcal{O}_{floor} to calculate $\mathcal{O}_{floor}(Enc_{sk}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor), Enc_{sk}(2)) = Enc_{sk}(\lfloor c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor / 2 \rfloor)$, so our encryption will now look like this after once again applying the homomorphism properties of Enc_{sk} :

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) - \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(2) \times \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(\lfloor c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor / 2 \rfloor) \\
&= \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor) - \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(2 \times \lfloor c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor / 2 \rfloor) \\
m &= \text{Enc}_{\text{sk}}(c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor - 2 \times \lfloor c - p \times \lfloor c/p \rfloor / 2 \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

Which we can then decrypt to find m .

- 4.3. (10 pts) How much multiplicative depth do we need for the bootstrapping above?

Answer:

Since we use the oracles to generate fresh encryptions for everything, any operations can be performed without significantly increasing the noise. As a result, we only need a multiplicative depth of 1 to perform the bootstrapping method above.