

CSE 40622 Cryptography
Writing Assignment 03 (Lecture 03-05)

Name & netID: Walker Bagley (wbagley)

1. (15 pts, page 6) State the converse of the Euler's Theorem and prove it.

- Note that, when $x^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, $x^{\varphi(n)} = kn + 1$ for some integer k .

Answer:

Converse: If $x^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then $\gcd(x, n) = 1$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n} &\Rightarrow x^{\varphi(n)} = kn + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 1 = x^{\varphi(n)} - kn \\ &\Rightarrow 1 = x^{\varphi(n)-1} \cdot x - kn \end{aligned}$$

Considering this mod n , $x^{\varphi(n)-1} \equiv x^{\varphi(n)}x^{-1} \equiv x^{-1} \pmod{n}$. Since x^{-1} and k are both integers, then there exists a linear combination of x, n that equals 1, so applying Bézout's Identity, $\gcd(x, n) = 1$. \square

2. (20 pts, page 6) x^n is usually not congruent to 1 modulo n . Therefore, there should not be a correct proof showing that $x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. However, Taeho made a mistake and shows a proof that looks like this.

Let's list all distinct numbers in \mathbb{Z}_n as follows:

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n$$

Then, we choose an arbitrary positive number $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and multiply it using modular multiplication:

$$a \times_n x_1, a \times_n x_2, \dots, a \times_n x_{n-1}, a \times_n x_n$$

Then, all these numbers are distinct since we multiplied a to distinct numbers. Furthermore, it also follows that all $ax_i \pmod{n}$ belongs to \mathbb{Z}_n .

Then, both sequences have distinct numbers in \mathbb{Z}_n , and it follows that two sequences of numbers are the same numbers in different orders. This means:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i) \pmod{n} \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \equiv a^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \pmod{n} \Rightarrow a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

What mistake did Taeho make and why is the proof above incorrect?

Answer:

When Taeho says "Then, all these numbers are distinct since we multiplied a to distinct numbers," he is in fact incorrect. If a and n are not coprime, then some of these multiplied numbers are the same. Consider the case where $n = 6$ and $a = 3$. Then for elements $2, 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_6$, $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 4 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Clearly these products are not distinct and therefore the rest of the proof falls apart.

3. (15 pts, page 6) Prove that, if $\gcd(x, n) = 1$, then we have $x^k \pmod{n} = (x \pmod{n})^{(k \pmod{\varphi(n)})} \pmod{n}$ for any integer k .

- Note that $k \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ can be represented using the equation " $k \pmod{\varphi(n)} = k - q\varphi(n)$ " for some integer q (i.e., quotient) according to the definition of the modular reduction $\pmod{\varphi(n)}$.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \bmod n)^{k \bmod \varphi(n)} \bmod n &= (x \bmod n)^{k - q_1 \varphi(n)} \bmod n \\
 &= (x \bmod n)^k (x \bmod n)^{-q_1 \varphi(n)} \bmod n \\
 &= (x + q_2 n)^k (x + q_3 n)^{-q_1 \varphi(n)} \bmod n \\
 &= x^k x^{-q_1 \varphi(n)} \bmod n \\
 &= x^k (x^{\varphi(n)})^{(-q_1)} \bmod n \\
 &= x^k 1^{(-q_1)} \bmod n \\
 &= x^k \bmod n
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Suppose we have strong attackers as follows. Describe how he/she can universally break the RSA encryption.

** Anyone has access to the public key by default.

- 4.1. (5 pts) The attacker can do the factoring of $n = pq$. That is, he/she can figure out p and q from $n = pq$.

Answer:

If the attacker can figure out the factoring of $n = pq$, then they can easily calculate the totient function $\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ and find the private key d of the public key e by calculating e 's inverse mod $\varphi(n)$. From here they just need the ciphertext and since they know n , can calculate $c^d \bmod n$ to get the original message.

- 4.2. (5 pts) The attacker cannot factor $n = pq$, but s/he can somehow calculate $\varphi(n)$ from n .

Answer:

This process involves the exact same calculations as above, p, q are just not known. At the end of the day, p, q are relevant only to their product n and the totient $\varphi(n)$, both of which are known.

5. (10 pts) Assuming that the factoring of $n = pq$ is hard. Explain why it is hard to infer m in RSA by performing the e -th root modulo n as follows, given that e is a public parameter.

$$\sqrt[e]{c} \bmod n = c^{\frac{1}{e}} \bmod n = (m^e)^{e^{-1}} \bmod n = m^{e \cdot e^{-1}} \bmod n = m^1 \bmod n = m$$

- Note that $x^k \bmod n = x^{k \bmod \varphi(n)} \bmod n$.
- Try with example parameters $n = 15, e = 4, c = 10$.

** This is why \sqrt{x} or $\sqrt[3]{x}$ may not be calculated efficiently in integer domains.

Answer:

Since finding $m = \sqrt[e]{c}$ is the same as finding some m s.t. $m^e = c$ and we are in a modulo group, this is very difficult. In the real group we could find this by some kind of binary search for m that would close in on the correct value with increasing accuracy. However, in the current domain, m^e can be all over the place for different values of m . Thus in a large enough domain, which we generally have in RSA encryption, one would have to loop over and calculate every possible value of m for this to be easy.