

# Homework 4

Walker Bagley

February 11, 2022

1. (a) True -  $a \in \{a, b, c\}$   
 (b) False -  $\{a\} \notin \{a, b, c\}$  because  $a \notin b \wedge a \notin c$  and  $a \notin a$   
 (c) False -  $a, b, c \notin a$  so it cannot be a subset of the set containing a, b, and c by the definition of a subset  
 (d) True - both a and b are elements of both sets, and there are no other elements in either set, so each of them is a subset of the other  
 (e) True -  $a = a$   
 (f) True - by applying the Axiom of Union several times, you end up with a as a subset of a, which is always true  
 (g) True - the set on the right just evaluates to  $S = \{a, b\}$ , so therefore  $a \in S$   
 (h) False - the set on the right evaluates to  $S = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\{a, b, c\}$  cannot be an element  
 (i) False -  $a \notin a$ , so  $a \notin \{\{a\}\}$   
 (j) False - none of the elements in either set are contained by the other, which violates the definition of a subset

2. *Proof.*  $\forall x(x \subseteq x)$

Let  $x, y$  be sets according to Axiom 0

Consider  $y = \{a | a \in x\}$

By Axiom 1,  $x = y$ , but also,  $x = x$

So, by the theorem of subsets,  $x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq x$

Substituting  $x$  in for  $y$ , we are left with  $x \subseteq x \wedge x \subseteq x$

Therefore, with simplification  $\forall x(x \subseteq x)$

□

3. *Proof.*  $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq z) \rightarrow x \subseteq z)$

$\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq z)$  from the statement

Let  $U, V, W$  be sets such that  $U \subseteq V \wedge V \subseteq W$

This means that  $\forall s(s \in U \rightarrow s \in V) \wedge \forall t(t \in V \rightarrow t \in W)$

Consider the set  $a$  such that  $a \in U \rightarrow a \in V \wedge a \in V \rightarrow a \in W$

By the first order logic,  $a \in U \rightarrow a \in W$

Thus,  $\forall b(b \in U \rightarrow b \in W)$

By the definition of a subset,  $U \subseteq W$

Therefore,  $\forall x \forall z (x \subseteq z)$

□

4. (a) X does not necessarily contain any elements, although it can. X can be an empty set that still satisfies the condition of the Axiom of Existence that  $X = X$   
 (b) Yes, because the Axiom of Extensionality guarantees that for any set X, there is another set that is equal and contains the same elements.

5. *Proof.*  $\forall x \forall z (x \subseteq z \rightarrow \exists y (x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq z))$

$\forall x \forall z (x \subseteq z)$  is given

Consider sets  $U, V$  such that  $U \subseteq V$

By the definition of a subset,  $\forall a(a \in U \rightarrow a \in V)$

Let  $Q$  be a set that exists such that  $Q = U$

Thus as we have proved,  $U \subseteq Q$

So,  $(a \in U \rightarrow a \in Q) \wedge (a \in Q \rightarrow a \in V)$

By the definition of a subset,  $U \subseteq Q \wedge Q \subseteq V$

Therefore,  $\exists y (x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq z)$

□