

# Homework 1

Walker Bagley

January 27, 2023

1. (a) Conversion to statement-reason proof.

*Proof.*

$y$ is a substring of $s$	Given	(1)
$v$ is a substring of $y$	Given	(2)
$s = xyz$ for some $x, z$	Definition of substring on (1)	(3)
$v = uvw$ for some $u, w$	Definition of substring on (2)	(4)
$s = xuvwz$	Substring combination on (3) and (4)	(5)
$v$ is a substring of $s$	Definition of substring on (5)	(6)

□

- (b) Conversion to paragraph proof.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a suffix of  $w$ , that is,  $w = xv$  such for some  $x$ ; and let  $y$  be a prefix of  $v$ , that is,  $v = yz$  for some  $z$ . Then  $w = xyz$ , making  $xy$  a prefix of  $w$  and thus  $y$  must be a suffix of  $xy$ . □

2. Prove that if  $\varphi$  is a string homomorphism, then for any  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  (where  $n \geq 0$  and  $w_j \in \Sigma$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), we have  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_n)$ .

*Proof.*

Base case:  $n = 0$ .  $w = \varepsilon$  so  $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$

Assume that for  $n = i$ ,  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_i)$

WTS this holds for  $n = i + 1$

Lets split  $w$  into two substrings:  $w = vw_{i+1}$  s.t.  $v = w_1 \dots w_i$

By the definition of a homomorphism,  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(vw_{i+1}) = \varphi(w_1 \dots w_i)\varphi(w_{i+1})$

Substituting the IH yields  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_i)\varphi(w_{i+1})$

□

3. (a) There are no languages over  $\Sigma$  in  $\text{FINITE} \cap \text{coFINITE}$

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary finite language  $L \in \text{FINITE}$ . Take a word  $w \notin L$  ( $w \in \bar{L}$ ) s.t.  $w$  is the concatenation of every string in  $L$ . We know that  $w \notin L$  since it is a concatenation of every element and if it was in  $L$ , it would be part of the concatenation. Using this, we know that  $\{w\}^*$  is infinite and also a subset of  $\bar{L}$ . Because of this, we know that  $\bar{L}$  is infinite and thus cannot exist in  $\text{FINITE}$ . Since we took an arbitrary finite language  $L$ , we can apply this to all elements of  $\text{FINITE}$  and  $\text{coFINITE}$ . This means that there are no infinite languages contained in  $\text{FINITE}$ , but also no finite languages contained in  $\text{coFINITE}$ . As a result, there cannot be any languages that exist in both  $\text{FINITE}$  and  $\text{coFINITE}$ . □

- (b) Yes, there exists a language over  $\Sigma$  that is not in  $\text{FINITE} \cup \text{coFINITE}$

*Proof.* Consider the language  $L = \{a\}^*$ .  $L$  by definition must then have infinite elements since an  $a$  can always be appended to the longest string. Then  $L \notin \text{FINITE}$ . Towards a contradiction, assume  $L \in \text{coFINITE}$ . To be in  $\text{coFINITE}$ ,  $\bar{L} \in \text{FINITE}$  where  $\bar{L} = \Sigma^* \setminus L$ . Because both  $\{a\}^*$  and  $\{b\}^*$  are subsets of  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $\{b\}^* \in \bar{L}$ . We know that  $\{b\}^*$  is infinite, so  $\bar{L} \notin \text{FINITE}$  and we have our contradiction.  $\square$