

**OOP and Design Patterns (CSCI 375)
Student Showcase (Final Project) Rubric**

Game rules?

Edge cases:

①

- Castling (thr/cheek)
- En passant
- Pawn first move astwo
- Stalemate - 50 move
- 3 state

1. Project Title: *The Game of Chess*
2. Team Members: *Ellene Schmitt, Bryleigh Koci, Walker Edwards*
3. Evaluator: *Clayton Johnson*

Grading Rubric:

Instructions:

1. There are 9 technical requirements to grade the project and the team presentation.
2. For each requirement, use 0 - 5 scale in the Score column (0 - F, 1 - Needs improvement, 2 - Poor, 3 - Fair, 4 - Good, 5 - Excellent)
3. Use the *Notes* section to jot down any observations that may help in grading and justification.

Team and Technical Project Requirement	Score
1. Use of fundamental OOD concepts , e.g.: Inheritance, Abstraction, Attributes, Getters, Setters, Methods, Modularity, Overloading, etc. Notes:	5/5
2. Use of at least 3 Design Patterns -- presentation clearly stated and briefly explained design patterns use. Common design patterns are Iterator, Decorator, Observer, Strategy, Command, State, Singleton, Adapter, Façade, Flyweight, Abstract Factory, Composite, Template, MVC, etc. Notes:	5/5
3. Testing for correctness -- automatically generates test data using hypothesis, usage of mocking/patching, provides code coverage and Python type check (mypy) reports, etc. Notes:	5/5
4. Documentation -- clear, easy to follow documentation, UML diagrams are complete, and notations are correct; explanation of objects interaction is clear and complete.	5/5

Notes:	
<p>5. Software management – good usage of management, communication and tracking tools e.g., Gant chart, Kanban board, GitHub Project, Clickup, Discord, Slack, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>6. Teamwork – clear division of labor and progress tracking; helping each other, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>7. Project requirements and execution -- clearly stated functional and technical requirements, project adequately challenging for sophomore-junior students; project demo was clear and concise, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>8. Team presentation -- all members participated in presentation, used the visual and oral presentation techniques and tools to engage audience, etc.</p> <p>Notes: Could be more exciting, but they demo'd well.</p>	3/5
<p>9. Use 4+1 Views to explain the project to the audience.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>10. BONUS: Above and beyond – Team went beyond the above list e.g., great User Interface, use of Database, real-world application, client delight and interaction, CI/CD, deployment, etc.</p> <p>Notes: Allows user to change starting game state.</p>	5/10
<p>Total Score</p> <p>Note: Max score can be 50 due to 10 BONUS points.</p>	38/45

OOP and Design Patterns (CSCI 375)
Student Showcase (Final Project) Rubric

1. Project Title: *The game of Chess*
2. Team Members: *Ellena Schmitt, Bryleigh Koci, Walker Edwards*
3. Evaluator: *Jeremy Bergen*

Grading Rubric:

Instructions:

1. There are 9 technical requirements to grade the project and the team presentation.
2. For each requirement, use 0 - 5 scale in the Score column (0 - F, 1 - Needs improvement, 2 - Poor, 3 - Fair, 4 - Good, 5 - Excellent)
3. Use the *Notes* section to jot down any observations that may help in grading and justification.

Team and Technical Project Requirement	Score
<p>1. Use of fundamental OOD concepts, e.g.: Inheritance, Abstraction, Attributes, Getters, Setters, Methods, Modularity, Overloading, etc.</p> <p>Notes: <i>Implements all required concepts correctly</i></p>	<i>5 / 5</i>
<p>2. Use of at least 3 Design Patterns -- presentation clearly stated and briefly explained design patterns use. Common design patterns are Iterator, Decorator, <u>Observer</u>, <u>Strategy</u>, <u>Command</u>, <u>State</u>, Singleton, Adapter, Façade, Flyweight, Abstract Factory, Composite, Template, MVC, etc.</p> <p>Notes: <i>described patterns but could go into more detail about how implemented</i></p>	<i>3 / 5</i>
<p>3. Testing for correctness -- automatically generates test data using hypothesis, usage of mocking/patching, provides code coverage and Python type check (mypy) reports, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	<i>3 / 5</i>
<p>4. Documentation -- clear, easy to follow documentation, UML diagrams are complete, and notations are correct; explanation of objects interaction is clear and complete.</p>	<i>4 / 5</i>

Notes:	
<p>5. Software management – good usage of management, communication and tracking tools e.g., Gant chart, Kanban board, <u>GitHub</u> Project, Clickup, Discord, Slack, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>6. Teamwork – clear division of labor and progress tracking; helping each other, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>7. Project requirements and execution -- clearly stated functional and technical requirements, project adequately challenging for sophomore-junior students; project demo was clear and concise, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	5/5
<p>8. Team presentation -- all members participated in presentation, used the visual and oral presentation techniques and tools to engage audience, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	3/5
<p>9. Use 4+1 Views to explain the project to the audience.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	4/5
<p>10. BONUS: Above and beyond – Team went beyond the above list e.g., great User Interface, use of Database, real-world application, client delight and interaction, CI/CD, deployment, etc.</p> <p>Notes:</p>	/10
<p>Total Score</p> <p>Note: Max score can be 50 due to 10 BONUS points.</p>	37/45