# Fibonacci Heaps



These lecture slides are adapted from CLRS, Chapter 20.

Princeton University • COS 423 • Theory of Algorithms • Spring 2002 • Kevin Wayne

# Fibonacci Heaps

### Fibonacci heap history. Fredman and Tarjan (1986)

- . Ingenious data structure and analysis.
- Original motivation: O(m + n log n) shortest path algorithm.
  - also led to faster algorithms for MST, weighted bipartite matching
- . Still ahead of its time.

### Fibonacci heap intuition.

- . Similar to binomial heaps, but less structured.
- Decrease-key and union run in O(1) time.
- "Lazy" unions.

# **Priority Queues**

		Heaps			
Operation	Linked List	Binary	Binomial	Fibonacci †	Relaxed
make-heap	1	1	1	1	1
insert	1	log N	log N	1	1
find-min	N	1	log N	1	1
delete-min	N	log N	log N	log N	log N
union	1	N	log N	1	1
decrease-key	1	log N	log N	1	1
delete	N	log N	log N	log N	log N
is-empty	1	1	1	1	1


† amortized



# Fibonacci Heaps: Structure

### Fibonacci heap.


. Set of min-heap ordered trees.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Implementation

### Implementation.


- Represent trees using left-child, right sibling pointers and circular, doubly linked list.
  - can quickly splice off subtrees
- . Roots of trees connected with circular doubly linked list.
  - fast union
- Pointer to root of tree with min element.
  - fast find-min



# **Fibonacci Heaps: Potential Function**

### Key quantities.

- Degree[x] = degree of node x.
- Mark[x] = mark of node x (black or gray).
- . t(H) = # trees.
- m(H) = # marked nodes.
- $\Phi(H) = t(H) + 2m(H) = potential function.$



# Fibonacci Heaps: Insert

### Insert.

- . Create a new singleton tree.
- . Add to left of min pointer.
- Update min pointer.

Insert 21

21

min

30

26

46

H

35


H

# Fibonacci Heaps: Insert


### Insert.


- Create a new singleton tree.
- . Add to left of min pointer.
- Update min pointer.


Insert 21



# Fibonacci Heaps: Insert Insert. Create a new singleton tree. Add to left of min pointer. Update min pointer. Running time. O(1) amortized Actual cost = O(1). Change in potential = +1. Amortized cost = O(1). Insert 21 min 17 24 23 7 21 33 44 44









# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min


### Delete min.

- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.







# Pelete min. Delete min. Delete min and concatenate its children into root list. Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min Delete min. Delete min and concatenate its children into root list. Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree. min 7 24 23 17 18 52 41 current Merge 17 and 23 trees.




# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min Delete min. Delete min and concatenate its children into root list. Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree. Delete min. Current To 1 2 3 To 1 2 3 To 1 3 3 52 41 To 3 35 Merge 7 and 24 trees.




# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min

### Delete min.

- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.






# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min

### Delete min.


- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- . Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min

### Delete min.


- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min

### Delete min.


- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min

### Delete min.

- Delete min and concatenate its children into root list.
- Consolidate trees so that no two roots have same degree.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min Analysis

### Notation.

- D(n) = max degree of any node in Fibonacci heap with n nodes.
- t(H) = # trees in heap H.
- $\Phi(H) = t(H) + 2m(H)$ .

### Actual cost. O(D(n) + t(H))

- O(D(n)) work adding min's children into root list and updating min.
  - at most D(n) children of min node
- O(D(n) + t(H)) work consolidating trees.
  - work is proportional to size of root list since number of roots decreases by one after each merging
  - ≤ D(n) + t(H) 1 root nodes at beginning of consolidation

### Amortized cost. O(D(n))

- $t(H') \le D(n) + 1$  since no two trees have same degree.
- $\Delta\Phi(H) \leq D(n) + 1 t(H)$ .

# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete Min Analysis

### Is amortized cost of O(D(n)) good?


- Yes, if only Insert, Delete-min, and Union operations supported.
  - in this case, Fibonacci heap contains only binomial trees since we only merge trees of equal root degree
  - this implies D(n) ≤ Llog<sub>2</sub> N
- . Yes, if we support Decrease-key in clever way.
  - we'll show that  $D(n) \leq \lfloor \log_{\phi} N \rfloor$ , where  $\phi$  is golden ratio
  - $\phi^2 = 1 + \phi$
  - $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2 = 1.618...$
  - limiting ratio between successive Fibonacci numbers!

26

# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- Case 0: min-heap property not violated.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - change heap min pointer if necessary



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- . Case 1: parent of x is unmarked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent
  - mark parent
  - add tree rooted at x to root list, updating heap min pointer



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- . Case 1: parent of x is unmarked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent
  - mark parent
  - add tree rooted at x to root list, updating heap min pointer



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- Case 1: parent of x is unmarked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent
  - mark parent
  - add tree rooted at x to root list, updating heap min pointer



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- Case 2: parent of x is marked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent p[x], and add x to root list
  - cut off link between p[x] and p[p[x]], add p[x] to root list
    - If p[p[x]] unmarked, then mark it.
    - ✓ If p[p[x]] marked, cut off p[p[x]], unmark, and repeat.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- Case 2: parent of x is marked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent p[x], and add x to root list
  - cut off link between p[x] and p[p[x]], add p[x] to root list
    - If p[p[x]] unmarked, then mark it.
    - If p[p[x]] marked, cut off p[p[x]], unmark, and repeat.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.


- Case 2: parent of x is marked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent p[x], and add x to root list
  - cut off link between p[x] and p[p[x]], add p[x] to root list
    - If p[p[x]] unmarked, then mark it.
    - If p[p[x]] marked, cut off p[p[x]], unmark, and repeat.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key

### Decrease key of element x to k.

- Case 2: parent of x is marked.
  - decrease key of x to k
  - cut off link between x and its parent p[x], and add x to root list
  - cut off link between p[x] and p[p[x]], add p[x] to root list
    - If p[p[x]] unmarked, then mark it.
    - If p[p[x]] marked, cut off p[p[x]], unmark, and repeat.



# Fibonacci Heaps: Decrease Key Analysis

### Notation.

- t(H) = # trees in heap H.
- m(H) = # marked nodes in heap H.
- $\Phi(H) = t(H) + 2m(H)$ .

### Actual cost. O(c)

- O(1) time for decrease key.
- O(1) time for each of c cascading cuts, plus reinserting in root list.

### Amortized cost. O(1)

- **t**(H') = t(H) + c
- $m(H') \le m(H) c + 2$ 
  - each cascading cut unmarks a node
  - last cascading cut could potentially mark a node
- $\Delta\Phi \leq c + 2(-c + 2) = 4 c$ .

# Fibonacci Heaps: Delete

### Delete node x.

- Decrease key of x to -∞.
- . Delete min element in heap.

### Amortized cost. O(D(n))

- O(1) for decrease-key.
- O(D(n)) for delete-min.
- D(n) = max degree of any node in Fibonacci heap.

-

# Fibonacci Heaps: Bounding Max Degree

**Definition.** D(N) = max degree in Fibonacci heap with N nodes. Key lemma. D(N)  $\leq \log_{\phi} N$ , where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ .

Corollary. Delete and Delete-min take O(log N) amortized time.

**Lemma.** Let x be a node with degree k, and let  $y_1, \ldots, y_k$  denote the children of x in the order in which they were linked to x. Then:

$$degree (y_i) \ge \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1\\ i - 2 & \text{if } i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

### Proof.

- When  $y_i$  is linked to  $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}$  already linked to x,
  - $\Rightarrow$  degree(x) = i 1
  - ⇒ degree(y<sub>i</sub>) = i 1 since we only link nodes of equal degree
- . Since then, y, has lost at most one child
  - otherwise it would have been cut from x
- Thus, degree $(y_i) = i 1$  or i 2

# Fibonacci Heaps: Bounding Max Degree

Key lemma. In a Fibonacci heap with N nodes, the maximum degree of any node is at most  $\log_{\phi}$  N, where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ .

### Proof of key lemma.

- For any node x, we show that  $size(x) \ge \phi^{degree(x)}$ .
  - size(x) = # node in subtree rooted at x
  - taking base  $\phi$  logs, degree(x) ≤ log $_{\phi}$  (size(x)) ≤ log $_{\phi}$  N.
- Let s<sub>k</sub> be min size of tree rooted at any degree k node.
  - trivial to see that  $s_0 = 1$ ,  $s_1 = 2$
  - s<sub>k</sub> monotonically increases with k
- Let x\* be a degree k node of size s<sub>k</sub>, and let y<sub>1</sub>, . . . , y<sub>k</sub> be children in order that they were linked to x\*.

Assume k ≥ 2

 $s_{k} = \text{size}(x^{*})$   $= 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} size(y_{i})$   $\geq 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} s_{\deg[y_{i}]}$   $\geq 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} s_{i-2}$   $= 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} s_{i}$ 

## **Fibonacci Facts**

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Definition. The Fibonacci sequence is:} & F_k \end{array} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if} \quad k=0 \\ 2 & \text{if} \quad k=1 \\ F_{k\cdot 1} + F_{k\cdot 2} & \text{if} \quad k \geq 2 \end{array} \right.$ 

· Slightly nonstandard definition.

Fact F1.  $F_k \ge \phi^k$ , where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.618...$ 

Fact F2. For 
$$k \ge 2$$
,  $F_k = 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} F_i$ 

Consequence.  $s_k \ge F_k \ge \phi^k$ .

. This implies that size(x)  $\geq \phi^{\text{degree}(x)}$  for all nodes x.

$$s_k = \operatorname{size}(x^*)$$

$$= 2 + \sum_{i=2}^k \operatorname{size}(y_i)$$

$$\geq 2 + \sum_{i=2}^k \operatorname{s_{deg}[y_i]}$$

$$\geq 2 + \sum_{i=2}^k \operatorname{s_{i-2}}$$

$$= 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} s_i$$

## **Golden Ratio**

Definition. The Fibonacci sequence is: 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ... Definition. The golden ratio  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.618...$ 


 Divide a rectangle into a square and smaller rectangle such that the smaller rectangle has the same ratio as original one.



Parthenon, Athens Greece

# SRIAN RNOTT '96

# **Fibonacci Numbers and Nature**



**Pinecone** 



Cauliflower

## **Fibonacci Proofs**

Fact F1. 
$$F_k \ge \phi^k$$
.  
Proof. (by induction on k)

Base cases:

$$-F_0 = 1, F_1 = 2 \ge \phi.$$

Inductive hypotheses:

$$-\; \boldsymbol{F}_{k} \; \geq \; \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{k} \; \; \text{and} \; \boldsymbol{F}_{k+1} \; \geq \; \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{k+1}$$

$$F_{k+2} = F_k + F_{k+1}$$

$$\geq \varphi^k + \varphi^{k+1}$$

$$= \varphi^k (1+\varphi)$$

$$= \varphi^k (\varphi^2)$$

$$= \varphi^{k+2}$$

$$\varphi^2 = \varphi + 1$$

Fact F2. For 
$$k \ge 2$$
,  $F_k = 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} F_i$   
Proof. (by induction on k)

Base cases:

$$-F_2 = 3, F_3 = 5$$

Inductive hypotheses:

$$F_k = 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} F_i$$

$$F_{k+2} = F_k + F_{k+1}$$

$$= 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} F_i + F_{k+1}$$

$$= 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_k$$

**On Complicated Algorithms** 

"Once you succeed in writing the programs for [these] complicated algorithms, they usually run extremely fast. The computer doesn't need to understand the algorithm, its task is only to run the programs."



R. E. Tarjan

---