

Concurrent Data Structures



DEVELOPMENTOR

DEVELOPING PEOPLE WHO DEVELOP SOFTWARE

Agenda

- **Concurrent data structures**



Managing concurrency in the .NET 3.5 World

- **All collections non-threadsafe**
 - Developer must select right granularity for synchronization
 - Developer must write synchronization code
 - Lock free algorithms an “arcane art”
- **Limited synchronization primitives available**
 - Basic primitives available: Monitor, Interlocked
 - Higher order primitives often implemented as wrapper over kernel
 - Higher order primitives could be built from basic ones using Monitor.Wait / Monitor.Pulse
- **PFx has changed the requirements**
 - Needs concurrent data structures and primitives internally
 - Has made them public for everyone to use



Lazy<T>

- Common requirement for lazy initialization
- New type **System.Lazy<T>** performs lazy initialization
 - Type created on first access of **Value** property
 - Uses default constructor to create type

```
class Person
{
    public string Name { get; set; }
    public int Age { get; set; }
}
```

```
Lazy<Person> myvar = new Lazy<Person>();

myvar.Value.Name = "Rich";
myvar.Value.Age = 44;
```



Lazy<T> and Thread Safety

- **By default Lazy<T> is not thread safe**
 - Could result in more than one instance of the contained object being created
 - Not necessarily an issue if creation is cheap and has no side-effects
- **Can pass a **flag** to the constructor to ensure thread safety**
 - Only affects the thread safety of instantiation, not of subsequent access
 - Only worthwhile if object expensive to create or has side-effects in creation and eager creation is inappropriate

```
Lazy<Person> myvar = new Lazy<Person>(true);  
  
myvar.Value.Name = "Rich";  
myvar.Value.Age = 44;
```



Overriding Default Initialization

- Can use a **delegate** in place of the default constructor for initializing the contained type

```
class Company {  
    Lazy<List<Person>> employeeHolder;  
    public Company() {  
        employeeHolder = new Lazy<List<Person>>(GetEmployees);  
    }  
  
    public IEnumerable<Person> Employees {  
        get { return employeeHolder.Value; }  
    }  
  
    List<Person> GetEmployees () {  
        return new List<Person>() { //... };  
    }  
}
```



Concurrent Collections

- **System.Collections.Generic** supports non-thread-safe general purpose collections
 - Ideal for many uses
 - Sub-optimal for concurrent code as thread-safety is heavyweight
- **System.Collections.Concurrent** introduces a group of collection designed for concurrent use
 - `ConcurrentQueue<T>`
 - `ConcurrentStack<T>`
 - `ConcurrentDictionary<T>`
 - `ConcurrentBag<T>`
- **Collections internally thread-safe preferring lock free algorithms**



Concurrent Collection Pattern

- **All collections implement deterministic “Add”**
 - `ConcurrentQueue<T>.Enqueue`
 - `ConcurrentStack<T>.Push`
- **All Collections implement non-deterministic “Get”**
 - `ConcurrentQueue<T>.TryDequeue`
 - Allows non-blocking attempt at retrieval
- **Some implement atomic complex operations**
 - `ConcurrentDictionary<K,V>.GetOrAdd`



IProducerConsumerCollection<T>

- **Concurrent collections all implement IProducerConsumerCollection<T>**
 - **TryAdd** and **TryTake** model non-blocking add and remove
 - All collections add more specialized methods

```
public interface IProducerConsumerCollection<T> :  
    IEnumerable<T>, ICollection, IEnumerable  
{  
    void CopyTo(T[] array, int index);  
    T[] ToArray();  
    bool TryAdd(T item);  
    bool TryTake(out T item);  
}
```



Producer / Consumer Issues

- Non blocking “Take” requires spinning or polling

Spinning

```
while (!terminate)
{
    int val;
    if (queue.TryDequeue(out val))
    {
        ProcessData(val);
    }
}
```

Polling

```
while (!terminate)
{
    int val;
    if (queue.TryDequeue(out val))
    {
        ProcessData(val);
    }
    Thread.Sleep(200);
}
```



BlockingCollection<T>

- Simpler programming model if “Take” blocks
- BlockingCollection<T> used as “decorator”

```
ConcurrentQueue<int> queue = new ConcurrentQueue<int>();  
BlockingCollection<int> col =  
    new BlockingCollection<int>(queue);  
bool terminate = false;  
while (!terminate)  
{  
    int i = col.Take(); ← Blocks  
    ProcessData(i);  
}
```



Producer / Consumer with BlockingCollection

- Producer / Consumer requires **simple consumer model** and **mechanism for producer to say “production complete”**

```
ConcurrentQueue<int> q = new ConcurrentQueue<int>();  
BlockingCollection<int> col = new BlockingCollection<int>(q);
```

Producer

```
Random r = new Random();  
  
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)  
{  
    col.Add(r.Next(100));  
    Thread.Sleep(500);  
}  
  
col.CompleteAdding();
```

Consumer

```
foreach (var item in  
    col.GetConsumingEnumerable())  
{  
    Console.WriteLine(item);  
}
```

Consuming enumeration completes when `CompleteAdding` is called (note: `Take()` throws an exception when `CompleteAdding` is called)



Summary

- **New concurrent collections**
 - Written to be as lock free as possible
 - Utilise best practice
 - Removes need for all developers to have this knowledge

