Mocking and IoC



Agenda

- Understand the need for mocking
- Understand the need for IoC containers
- Using Rhino
- Using Unity

Code to abstractions

- Using concrete types is often a bad idea
 - Better to code to interfaces
 - Can replace real objects with test objects
 - Can use dependency injection

Creating instances

- Using 'new' means we are creating objects
 - Tied to that implementation
 - Use of 'new' considered evil!

```
IEnumerable<Users> GetUsers() {
    UsersDAO dao = new UsersDAO();
    dao.GetUsers();
}
```

This is hard to test

- Using a concrete DAO
 - Tied to a specific data access mechanism
- Impossible to mock

Code to abstractions

Extract the methods into an interface

- Then need a way to construct the concrete instance
- Also need a way to get a reference to the concrete instance
- Can use "dependency injection"

Use 'dependency injection'

Three ways to do

- Parameter injection
- Setter injection
- Constructor injection

A problem with injection

- Concrete class still coded somewhere
 - Changing implementation means changing code
- Can solve by putting concrete type in configuration file
 - Use a factory
 - Use IoC

Inversion Of Control (IoC) to the Rescue

IoC containers

- Manage creation and disposal of application objects
- Manage dependencies

IoC is a principle

- Framework knows about and makes invocations on application objects
- This is the opposite (inverse) of standard APIs

Dependency injection

Is a consequence of IoC

Microsoft container

- Unity
- Part of Enterprise Patterns Lib

Using a Container

- Container is typically a single instance
 - Essentially a dictionary of interface => concrete implementation
- Types are registered with the container
 - In configuration file
 - or code
- Container resolves types when needed

Registering objects in code

Call container's Register method

```
IUnityContainer unityContainer = new UnityContainer();
unityContainer.RegisterType<IToDoListDaoFactory, TestToDoRepository>();
```

Registering objects through configuration

- Unity configuration section
 - Register type mappings and aliases

```
<configuration>
  <configSections>
    <section name="unity"</pre>
             type="Microsoft.Practices.Unity.Configuration.UnityConfigurationSection,
                   Microsoft.Practices.Unity.Configuration" />
  </configSections>
  <unity xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/practices/2010/unity">
    <alias alias="IToDoListRepository"</pre>
                            type="ToDoRepository.IToDoListRepository, ToDoRepository" />
    <alias alias="ToDoListRepository"</pre>
                            type="ToDoRepository.ToDoListRepository, ToDoRepository" />
    <container>
      <register type="IToDoListRepository" mapTo="ToDoListRepository" >
        <constructor>
          <param name="connectionString" value="..." />
        </constructor>
      </register>
    </container>
  </unity>
</configuration>
```

Accessing objects in code

- Use an instance of the container
 - Call it's Resolve method
 - Must load configuration first

```
IUnityContainer unityContainer = new UnityContainer();
_repository = IoC.Container.Instance.Resolve<IToDoListRepository>();
```

Why Doubles?

- Return values of components may not be repeatable
 - Date/time values
- Calls may be 'risky' or may be charged for
 - Calling live web services during test
- Parts of the application are 'slow'
 - Database access
 - File access
- Unit tests should not rely on external resources
 - Databases
 - Web Services

Tools

- Typically created with a tool
 - RhinoMocks
 - Moq
 - TypeMock
 - NMock
- Usually used to create mocks and stubs

Rhino Mocks

Created by Ayende Rahien (Oren Eini)

- Open source
- Actively developed
- Widely used

Not standalone

- Creates mock objects
- Still need testing framework to run tests

Using Rhino

Imagine testing this

- Have to fake up the IToDoListRepository
- Maybe for different scenarios
- Rather than create multiple instances of IToDoListRepository
 - can use mocking library

```
public class SimpleBankFactory {
    public IEnumerable<ToDoList> GetToDoLists(IToDoListRepository repository){
        return repository.GetToDoLists();
    }

    public ToDoList GetToDoList(int id, IToDoListRepository repository){
        return repository.GetToDoList(id);
    }

    public bool SaveToDoList(ToDoList ToDoList, IToDoListRepository repository){
        return repository.SaveToDoList(toDoList);
    }
}
```

Rhino Basics

- Stubs return values and throw exceptions
 - Generated by Rhino's MockRepository class
 - Then tell the stub what to do

```
[TestMethod]
public void ToDoListFactory_GetToDoList_Succeeds()
{
    IToDoListRepository repo = MockRepository.GenerateStub<IToDoListRepository>();
    repo.Stub(r => r.GetToDoList(1)).Return(new ToDoList());

    ToDoList toDoList = bankFactory.GetToDoList(1, repo);
    Assert.IsNotNull(toDoList);
}
```

Rhino Expectations

Ask Rhino to create a Mock

- Create a MockRepository instance
- Ask it to create the mocks
- Replay the calls
 - This puts the stub into the 'replay' state
- Verify the calls have happened

```
[TestMethod]
public void ToDoListFactory_GetToDoList_Succeeds() {
    mocks = new MockRepository();
    repo = mocks.DynamicMock<IToDoListRepository>();
    mocks.ReplayAll();

    // Use the mock here

    mocks.VerifyAll();
}
```

Different mocks with Rhino

Rhino provides mocks with different 'replay semantics'

Strict

- Only recorded methods will be replayed
- Any other methods called on mock are invalid
- Not calling recorded methods is invalid

Dynamic

- All method calls accepted
- Non recorded calls return null or zero

Partial

- Available for classes only
- Any non-abstract call uses actual class

Set expectations on the mocks

Use Expect to set expectation

- What methods will be called
- What parameters will be passed

```
[TestMethod]
public void ToDoListFactory_GetToDoList_Succeeds()
{
    MockRepository mocks = new MockRepository();
    SimpleBankFactory bankFactory = new SimpleBankFactory();
    IToDoListRepository repo;
    ToDoList mockToDoList = mocks.DynamicMock<ToDoList>(200);

    Expect.Call(repo.GetToDoList(1)).Return(mockToDoList);
    mocks.ReplayAll();

    ToDoList toDoList = bankFactory.GetToDoList(1, repo);

    mocks.VerifyAll();
}
```

Rhino 'using' syntax

```
[TestMethod]
public void ToDoListFactory_GetToDoList_Succeeds()
   MockRepository mocks = new MockRepository();
    SimpleBankFactory bankFactory = new SimpleBankFactory();
    IToDoListRepository repo;
   using (mocks.Record())
        repo = mocks.DynamicMock<IToDoListRepository>();
        ToDoList mockToDoList = mocks.DynamicMock<ToDoList>(200);
        Expect.Call(repo.GetToDoList(1)).Return(mockToDoList);
    using (mocks.Playback())
        ToDoList toDoList = bankFactory.GetToDoList(1, repo);
```

When to use expectations

- Checking certain code paths have been executed
 - Different paths depend of data in class
- Check that specific method is called
 - May have 'indirect' output only
 - e.g. logging or auditing

Summary

- Inversion of control allows the framework to control type creation
- Allows for greater flexibility
- Types can be injected into your code
- Containers make this easier through configuration
- Doubles allow for awkward parts of the SUT to be tested
- There is a continuum of doubles
- Can create our own
- Can use a mocking framework