



ISO/IEC 27017



☒ Search this site

[Home](#)
[ISO27k standards](#)
[FREE ISO27k Forum](#)
[FREE ISO27k Toolkit](#)
[FREE ISO27k FAQ](#)
[DONATE!](#)
[ISO/IEC 27000](#)
[ISO/IEC 27001](#)
[ISO/IEC 27002](#)
[ISO/IEC 27003](#)
[ISO/IEC 27004](#)
[ISO/IEC 27005](#)
[ISO/IEC 27006](#)
[ISO/IEC 27007](#)
[ISO/IEC TS 27008](#)
[ISO/IEC 27010](#)
[ISO/IEC 27011](#)
[ISO/IEC 27013](#)
[ISO/IEC 27014](#)
[ISO/IEC TR 27016](#)
[ISO/IEC 27017](#)
[ISO/IEC 27018](#)
[ISO/IEC 27019](#)
[ISO/IEC 27021](#)
[ISO/IEC TS 27022](#)
[ISO/IEC TR 27024](#)
[ISO/IEC TS 27028](#)
[ISO/IEC TR 27029](#)
[ISO/IEC 27031](#)
[ISO/IEC 27032](#)
[ISO/IEC 27033](#)
[ISO/IEC 27034](#)
[ISO/IEC 27035](#)

< [Previous standard](#) ^ [Up a level](#) ^ [Next standard](#) >

[ISO/IEC 27017:2015 / ITU-T X.1631](#) — Information technology — Security techniques — **Code of practice for information security controls based on ISO/IEC 27002 for cloud services (first edition)**

Abstract

"ISO/IEC 27017:2015 gives guidelines for information security controls applicable to the provision and use of cloud services by providing: additional implementation guidance for relevant controls specified in ISO/IEC 27002; additional controls with implementation guidance that specifically relate to cloud services. This Recommendation | International Standard provides controls and implementation guidance for both cloud service providers and cloud service customers."

[Source: ISO/IEC 27017:2015/ITU-T X.1631]

Introduction

This standard provides guidance on the information security aspects of cloud computing, recommending and assisting with the implementation of **cloud-specific information security controls** supplementing the guidance in [ISO/IEC 27002:2013](#) and other [ISO27k standards](#).

Scope and purpose

The code of practice provides additional information security controls implementation advice beyond that provided in [ISO/IEC 27002:2013](#), in the cloud computing context.

The standard advises *both* cloud service customers *and* cloud service providers, with the primary guidance laid out side-by-side in each section. For instance, section 6.1.1 on information security roles and responsibilities says, in addition to section 6.1.1 of ISO/IEC 27002:2013:

Cloud service customer	Cloud service provider
The cloud service customer should agree with the cloud service provider on an appropriate allocation of information security roles and responsibilities, and confirm that it can fulfil its allocated roles and responsibilities. The information security roles and responsibilities of both parties should be stated in an agreement. The cloud service customer should identify and manage its relationship with the customer support and care function of the cloud service	The cloud service provider should agree and document an appropriate allocation of information security roles and responsibilities with its cloud service customers, its cloud service providers, and its suppliers.

ISO/IEC 27036

ISO/IEC 27037

ISO/IEC 27038

ISO/IEC 27039

ISO/IEC 27040

ISO/IEC 27041

ISO/IEC 27042

ISO/IEC 27043

ISO/IEC 27045

ISO/IEC 27046

ISO/IEC 27050

ISO/IEC 27070

ISO/IEC 27071

ISO/IEC 27090

ISO/IEC 27091

ISO/IEC 27099

ISO/IEC TS 27100

ISO/IEC 27102

ISO/IEC TR 27103

ISO/IEC TR 27109

ISO/IEC TS 27110

ISO/IEC TS 27115

ISO/IEC 27116

ISO/IEC 27400

ISO/IEC 27402

ISO/IEC 27403

ISO/IEC 27404

ISO/IEC TR 27550

ISO/IEC 27551

ISO/IEC 27553

ISO/IEC 27554

ISO/IEC 27555

ISO/IEC 27556

ISO/IEC 27557

ISO/IEC 27559

ISO/IEC TS 27560

provider.

Other information for cloud computing

Even when responsibilities are determined within and between the parties, the cloud service customer is accountable for the decision to use the service. That decision should be made according to the roles and responsibilities determined within the cloud service customer's organisation. The cloud service provider is accountable for the information security stated as part of the cloud service agreement. The information security implementation and provisioning ... [read the standard for the full text!]

Normative standards

The standard cites [ISO/IEC 27000](#) and [ISO/IEC 27002:2013](#), of course, plus [ISO/IEC 17788](#) (Cloud computing - Overview and vocabulary) and [ISO/IEC 17789](#) (Cloud computing - Reference architecture). Curiously, although [ISO/IEC 27001](#) is noted in the bibliography, it is not considered 'normative' i.e. essential reading: although unusual, it is possible to adopt the information security controls recommended by ISO/IEC 27002 without also having an ISMS.

Status of the standard

The current *first* edition was published in **2015**. Having been developed jointly by ISO/IEC and ITU-T, the standard is dual-numbered *ISO/IEC 27017* and *ITU-T X.1631* with identical content.

Work on a *second* edition started in 2022. It will be updated to "capture a full set of guidance for information security controls applicable to cloud services, both from the third [2022] edition of [ISO/IEC 27002](#) and any additional controls specific related specifically to cloud services."

ISO/IEC SC 27 and SC 38, ITU-T SG17 and the [Cloud Security Alliance](#) are collaborating on the revision, requiring careful scheduling to coordinate several parallel activities.

Substantial changes are coming in the second edition of this standard, not least a complete reorganisation of the controls as per ISO/IEC 27002:2022.

The title will become "*Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection - Information security controls based on ISO/IEC 27002 for cloud services*". It is at Draft International Standard stage, and will hopefully receive comments from ITU-T SG17 before completion and publication as ISO/IEC 27017 and X.1631 - maybe late 2025, possibly 2026.

Personal comments

In my opinion, ISO/IEC 27017:2015 takes an unrealistically simplistic view of cloud service provider and customer relationships as individual one-to-one interactions. In reality, cloud services are often provided by multiple suppliers to multiple clients in different organisations, and nothing remains static for long. In practice, inter-organisational business relationships often extend through complex cloud supply chains or supply networks, with multiple parties involved in collaborating to assemble, deliver and manage cloud services (e.g. network, data centre, physical servers, virtual servers, operating systems, DBMSs and other layered software, applications, and all the associated services). Consequently, there are *numerous* supplier-customer relationship risks to manage, such as organisational interdependence, contracting and subcontracting, complexity, dynamics and compliance. There are risk visibility and trust issues, resourcing challenges, commercial angles, technological challenges and more to contend with. Cloud-related information risks are cloudy!

Risk treatments for cloud and other information risks may include risk sharing, avoidance and acceptance - not just risk mitigation using security controls. Neither ISO/IEC 27017:2015 nor [ISO/IEC 27002](#) pay sufficient attention to risk treatments other than mitigation using security controls.

Particularly for small or immature organisations, cloud services providing email, file storage and office apps *etc.* may be treated as mere commodities, procured without adequate consideration of information risk, security, privacy *etc.* However, some cloud services may be critical for core business, and cloud generally increases the organisation's attack surface. [This issue may be more relevant to [ISO/IEC 27005](#) and [ISO/IEC 27036](#).]

Cloud services have widely proven their value for resilience and flexible working through COVID. Whereas the COVID crisis is nearly over, there are general principles and lessons here that can help organisations be better prepared to cope with future widespread/global challenges such as further pandemics, wars, Internet connectivity issues *etc.* Our challenge now is to draw them out, consider and embed them where appropriate - possibly in this standard.

The standard has widespread support from ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 27, ITU-T SG17, national standards bodies and CSA among others. However, aligning disparate perspectives and objectives while remaining within the defined scope of the current update project is tricky.

SC 27 decided *not* to progress a separate cloud information security management system specification standard, judging that [ISO/IEC 27001](#) is sufficient and given pressure from ISO not to proliferate Management Systems Standards 'unnecessarily'. Therefore, **SC 27 does not intend to develop a formal requirements specification standard against which to certify the security of cloud service providers** specifically. Providers can

ISO/IEC 27561
ISO/IEC 27562
ISO/IEC TR 27563
ISO/IEC 27564
ISO/IEC 27565
ISO/IEC 27566
ISO/IEC 27568
ISO/IEC 27569
ISO/IEC TS 27570
ISO/IEC 27573
ISO/IEC 27701
ISO/IEC 27706
ISO 27799

however be certified against [ISO/IEC 27001](#), [ISO/IEC 27701](#) and other standards in the usual way, while there are non-ISO cloud security assessment and certification, classification, benchmarking or assurance schemes such as [CSA STAR](#).

< [Previous standard](#) ^ [Up a level](#) ^ [Next standard](#) >