

DEIF

## High-Efficiency DEIF Savings



## ISO/IEC 27042

  
Search☒ Search this site

Home

ISO27k standards

FREE ISO27k Forum

FREE ISO27k Toolkit

FREE ISO27k FAQ

DONATE!

ISO/IEC 27000

ISO/IEC 27001

ISO/IEC 27002

ISO/IEC 27003

ISO/IEC 27004

ISO/IEC 27005

ISO/IEC 27006

ISO/IEC 27007

ISO/IEC TS 27008

ISO/IEC 27010

ISO/IEC 27011

ISO/IEC 27013

ISO/IEC 27014

ISO/IEC TR 27016

ISO/IEC 27017

ISO/IEC 27018

ISO/IEC 27019

ISO/IEC 27021

ISO/IEC TS 27022

ISO/IEC TR 27024

ISO/IEC TS 27028

ISO/IEC TR 27029

ISO/IEC 27031

ISO/IEC 27032

< [Previous standard](#) ^ [Up a level](#) ^ [Next standard](#) >

## [ISO/IEC 27042:2015](#) — Information technology — Security techniques — **Guidelines for the analysis and interpretation of digital evidence** (*first edition*)

### Abstract

*"ISO/IEC 27042:2015 provides guidance on the analysis and interpretation of digital evidence in a manner which addresses issues of continuity, validity, reproducibility, and repeatability. ..."*

[Source: ISO/IEC 27042:2015]

### Introduction

The fundamental purpose of the ISO27k digital forensics standards is to promote good practice methods and processes for forensic capture and investigation of digital evidence. While individual investigators, organisations and jurisdictions may well retain certain methods, processes and controls, it is hoped that standardisation will (eventually) lead to the adoption of similar if not identical approaches internationally, making it easier to compare, combine and contrast the results of such investigations even when performed by different people or organisations and potentially across different jurisdictions.

ISO

ISO

ISO

ISO/IEC 27036

ISO/IEC 27037

ISO/IEC 27038

ISO/IEC 27039

ISO/IEC 27040

ISO/IEC 27041

ISO/IEC 27042

ISO/IEC 27043

ISO/IEC 27045

ISO/IEC 27046

ISO/IEC 27050

ISO/IEC 27070

ISO/IEC 27071

ISO/IEC 27090

ISO/IEC 27091

ISO/IEC 27099

ISO/IEC TS 27100

ISO/IEC 27102

ISO/IEC TR 27103

ISO/IEC TR 27109

ISO/IEC TS 27110

ISO/IEC TS 27115

ISO/IEC 27116

ISO/IEC 27400

ISO/IEC 27402

ISO/IEC 27403

ISO/IEC 27404

ISO/IEC TR 27550

ISO/IEC 27551

ISO/IEC 27553

ISO/IEC 27554

ISO/IEC 27555

ISO/IEC 27556

Aside from the standard evidential control (maintaining the chain of custody, scrupulous documentation etc.), the standard emphasizes the integrity of analytical and interpretational processes such that different investigators working on the same digital evidence ought to come up with essentially the same results - or at least any differences should be traceable to choices they made along the way. Given the volume, variety and complexity of digital evidence these days, that's quite a challenge, hence the drive for standardization, good practices, common terminology and sound, rational approaches.

The standard touches on issues such as the selection and use of forensic tools, plus proficiency and competency of the investigators.

### Status of the standard

The *first* edition was published in **2015** and confirmed unchanged in 2021.

### Related standards

[ISO/IEC 27037](#) concerns the initial *capturing* of digital evidence.

[ISO/IEC 27041](#) offers guidance on the *assurance* aspects of digital forensics e.g. ensuring that the appropriate methods and tools are used properly.

This standard covers what happens *after* digital evidence has been collected *i.e.* its analysis and interpretation.

[ISO/IEC 27043](#) covers the broader *incident investigation* activities, within which forensics usually occur.

[ISO/IEC 27050](#) (in 4 parts) concerns *electronic discovery* ... which is pretty much what the other standards cover.

British Standard BS 10008 "[Evidential weight and legal admissibility of electronically stored information \(ESI\), Specification.](#)" may also be of interest.

### Personal comments

I am puzzled why SC 27 publishes and maintains several distinct forensics standards covering different aspects of forensics, when they are in reality complementary parts of the same process. I understand the decision not to integrate this content into [ISO/IEC 27037](#) but a multi-part standard would make more sense to me personally, with an overview part explaining how the jigsaw pieces fit together.

The editors have rejected such a proposal, claiming that it was considered and rejected when the forensics standards development projects were launched. So, sorry valued customers, it seems you will have to buy and correlate multiple standards to accumulate the complete forensics suite in ISO27k.

< [Previous standard](#)   ^ [Up a level](#) ^   [Next standard](#) >

