## **GloBox Hypothesis Test for the Difference in Conversion Rates** Two-sample z-test with pooled proportion Measures for Data Set Hypothesis Full Population Test Group A Test Group B Sample Size Null: 24343 24600 48943 There is no difference in the convertion rate between the control group and the Mean Sales 3.3827 3.3745 3.3910 treatment group Conversions 2095 955 1139 H0:Conversion rate of control group=Conversion rate of treatment group Conversion ratio 0.03923 0.04630 0.04280 Standard Deviation 25.6749 25.9364 25.4146 The treatment group has a higher sales convertion rate than the control group. Alternative: H1: Conversion rate of control group < Conversion of treatment group Calculations Notations Value Equation With the p-value<0.05, we reject the null hypothesis that stated H0=H1 in favor of the alternate Conclusion: Sample Size (control) n1 24343 hypothesis which stated Ho<H1. Sample Size (Treatmentl) n2 24600 Sample Proportion(Control) p1\_hat 0.03923 p1\_hat=Conversions/Sample Size Sample porportion (treatment) p2\_hat 0.04630 **Pooled Proportions** p\_hat 0.04280 Standard Error SE 0.00124 SE=SQRT(((.03923\*(1-.03923)/24343)+((.04630\*(1-.03630)) Test Statistic -3.86351 $T=(p1\_hat-p2\_hat)/(SQRT(p\_hat*(1-p\_hat))*((1/n1)+(1/n2)))$ p-value p-val 0.00011 p\_val=T.DIST.2T(3.86351, 24342) Globox - Group Conversion Rates 0.05000 Conversion ratio (%) 0.04000 0.03000 0.02000 Group A Group B

	Two-sample z	-interval with u	npooled propo	tions			
	TWO Sample 2	microar with a	inpooled propol	uono			
Measures for Data S	et						
	Full Populatio	Test Group A	Test Group B				
Sample Size	48943	24343	24600				
Mean Sales	3.3827	3.3745	3.3910				
Conversions	2095	955	1139				
Conversion ratio	0.04280	0.03923	0.04630				
Standard Deviation	25.6749						
Calculation	Notation	Value	Equation				
Sample size (control)	n1	24343					
Sample size (treatment)	n2	24600					
Sample proportion(control	p1_hat	0.03923	.=conversion/sa	ımple			
Sample proportion treatment)	p2_hat	0.04630					
Sample statistic	stat	0.00707	.=p1_hat-p2_ha	ıt			
Standard error	SE	0.00183	SQRT(((p1_hat*(1-p1_hat))/N1)+(p2_hat*(((1-p2_hat))/N2)))				
Z-score	z	-3.86652	z=(p-hatA-p-ha	z=(p-hatA-p-hatB)/SE			
Critical value	cv	-1.9816	.=Z(1-(a/2)				
Margin of error	ME	-0.0036	ME=SE*Z				
Lower bound		0.00345	.=stat=ME				
Upper bound		0.01069	.=stat+ME				
Conclution							
In our two-sample z-interval	I proportion tes	t, we aimed to	determine if th	ere is a signi	ficant difference	e in	
proportions between two inc	dependent gro	ups. Analysis o	f the 95% conf	dence interv	al revealed a r	ange	
from 0.00345 to 0.01069, w		-					

	GloBox H	<b>Hypothes</b>	is Test fo	r the Diffe	rence in	averag	e amoui	nt spe
	Two-sample T	-interval with u	npooled propo	rtions				
Hypothesis								
NULL	H0: mu1_bar = n	nu2_bar						
Alternate	H1: mu1_bar <m< td=""><td>u2_bar</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></m<>	u2_bar						
NATU								
With an alpha thershold of 0,05 mean1 is equal to sample mean								
the null hypothesis we will adopt			•					
is greater than sample mean 1.	tille alternative hy	potriesis wriere tri	e sample meanz					
<u> </u>								
Measures for Data S	Set					3.4000 ———		
	Full Population	Test Group A	Test Group B					
Sample Size	48943		24600		;	3.3800 ———		
Mean Sales	3.3827							
Conversions	2095					2.200		
Conversion ratio	0.04280				€ ′	3.3600 ———		
Standard Deviation	25.6749		25.4146		/ear			
					Sales Mean (\$)	3.3400 ———	_	_
					Sal			
Calculation	Notation	Value	Equation			3.3200 ———		
Sample size (control)	n1	24343	•		,	5.5200		
Sample size (treatment)	n2	24600						
Sample mean (control	x1 bar	3.3745			;	3.3000		
Sample mean (treatment)	x2_bar	3.3910					Control T	reatment
Sample STD (control)	s1	25.9364						
Sample STD (treatment)	s2	25.4146						
Standard error	SE	0.2321	.= √(s1^2/n1 +	s2^2/n2)				
Test statistic	Т		.=(x1_bar-x2_					
Degrees of freedom	df	24342	.=n1-1					
p-value	pval	0.9433	.=T.TEST.2T(1	r. df)				

_			
$\sim$	$\sim$	11.14	ion
Co	HU	ш	IOH

In our two-sample t-test with unpooled means, we investigated whether there is a statistically significant difference between the two groups. The test yielded a p-value of 0.9439, which is significantly higher than the alpha level of 0.05. As a result, we fail to reject the null hypothesis, suggesting that there is insufficient evidence to conclude that the means of the two groups are different. Therefore, we can reasonably assume that the two samples are not significantly distinct in their means.

	Two-sample T-in	wo-sample T-interval with unpooled proportions					
Measures for Data	a Set						
	Full Population	Test Group A	Test Group B				
Sample Size	48943	24343	24600				
Mean Sales	3.3827	3.3745	3.3910				
Conversions	2095	955	1139				
Conversion ratio	0.04280	0.03923	0.04630				
Standard Deviation	25.6749	25.9364	25.4146				
Calculation	Notation	Value	Equation				
Sample size (control)	n1	24343					
Sample Size (treatment)	n2	24600					
Sample Mean(control	x1_bar	3.3745					
Sample Mean (treatmen	x2_bar	3.3910					
Sample std dev (control)	s1	25.9364					
Sample std dev (treatme	s2	25.4146					
Sample statistic	stat	0.0165	.=x1_bar-x2_bar				
Standard Error	SE	0.2321	.= √(s1^2/n1 + s2^2/n2)				
Degrees of Freedom	df	24342	n1-1				
Critical Value	Т	1.9601	.=T.INV(probability, df)				
Margin of Error	ME	0.4550	.=T*SE				
lower bound		-0.4385	.=stat-ME				
upper bound		0.4715	.=stat+SE				
Conclusion							
We conducted a two-sai	mple t-test to ass	ess potential diffe	erences between two grou	os. The resultir	ng confidence	interval	
	•	•	kely range of the true differ		•		

level, the means of the two groups are consistent with being equal, highlighting the importance of reliable data and careful interpretation.