CaltechX: Learning From Data: Homework #2

Due on Monday, October 9, 2017

 $Yaser\ Abu ext{-}Mostafa$

Andrew Watson

Contents

Hoeffding Inequality	
Problem 1	3
Problem 2	3
Error and Noise	9
Problem 3	4
Problem 4	4
Linear Regression	4
Problem 5	4
Problem 6	F
Problem 7	
Nonlinear Transformation	6
Problem 8	6
Problem 9	6
Problem 10	7

Hoeffding Inequality

Run a computer simulation for flipping 1,000 virtual fair coins. Flip each coin independently 10 times. Focus on 3 coins as follows: c_1 is the first coin flipped, $c_{\rm rand}$ is a coin chosen randomly from the 1,000, and $c_{\rm min}$ is the coin which had the minimum frequency of heads (pick the earlier one in case of a tie). Let ν_1 , $\nu_{\rm rand}$, and $\nu_{\rm min}$ be the fraction of heads obtained for the 3 respective coins out of the 10 tosses.

Run the experiment 100,000 times in order to get a full distribution of ν_1 , $\nu_{\rm rand}$, and $\nu_{\rm min}$ (note that $c_{\rm rand}$ and $c_{\rm min}$ will change from run to run).

Problem 1

The average value of ν_{\min} is closest to:

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- [**b**] 0.01
- $[\mathbf{c}] 0.1$
- [d] 0.5
- [e] 0.67

See homework2.py for Python codes. The simulation returned $\nu_{\min} = 0.04$ and the answer is [b].

Problem 2

Which coin(s) has a distribution of ν that satisfies the (single-bin) Hoeffding Inequality?

- [a] c_1 only
- [b] $c_{\rm rand}$ only
- [c] c_{\min} only
- [d] c_1 and c_{rand}
- [e] c_{\min} and c_{rand}

The simulation returned $\nu_1 = 0.50$, $\nu_{\rm rand} = 0.50$, and $\nu_{\rm min} = 0.04$. Therefore the first coin and the randomly chosen coin satisfy the single-bin Hoeffding inequality, and the minimum does not. The answer is [d].

Error and Noise

Consider the bin model for a hypothesis h that makes an error with probability μ in approximating a deterministic target function f (both h and f are binary functions). If we use the same h to approximate a noisy version of f given by:

$$P(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \lambda & y = f(\mathbf{x}) \\ 1 - \lambda & y \neq f(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

Problem 3

What is the probability of error that h makes in approximating y? Hint: Two wrongs can make a right!

- [a] μ
- [b] λ
- [c] 1μ
- [d] $(1-\lambda)\mu + \lambda(1-\mu)$
- [e] $(1-\lambda)(1-\mu) + \lambda\mu$

There are two ways the hypothesis h can make an error in approximating the random variable y: $h(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})$ and $y = f(\mathbf{x})$, or $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ and $y \neq f(\mathbf{x})$. The probability of the first case is $\mu\lambda$ and the probability of the second case is $(1 - \mu)(1 - \lambda)$. Summing these probabilities together gives the answer $[\mathbf{e}]$.

Problem 4

At what value of λ will the performance of h be independent of μ ?

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- $[\mathbf{b}] 0.5$
- [c] $1/\sqrt{2}$
- [**d**] 1
- [e] No values of λ

If $\lambda = 0.5$, then in a sense there is nothing to learn, because $f(\mathbf{x})$ has no effect on on the distribution of y. Using the formula we derived in the previous problem $\mathbb{P}[h(\mathbf{x}) \neq y] = (1-\lambda)(1-\mu) + \lambda\mu = 0.5(1-\mu) + 0.5\mu = 0.5$, that is μ has no effect on the performance of h. Therefore the answer is $[\mathbf{b}]$.

Linear Regression

In these problems, we will explore how Linear Regression for classification works. As with the Perceptron Learning Algorithm in Homework # 1, you will create your own target function f and data set \mathcal{D} . Take d=2 so you can visualize the problem, and assume $\mathcal{X}=[-1,1]\times[-1,1]$ with uniform probability of picking each $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}$. In each run, choose a random line in the plane as your target function f (do this by taking two random, uniformly distributed points in $[-1,1]\times[-1,1]$ and taking the line passing through them), where one side of the line maps to +1 and the other maps to -1. Choose the inputs \mathbf{x}_n of the data set as random points (uniformly in \mathcal{X}), and evaluate the target function on each \mathbf{x}_n to get the corresponding output y_n .

Problem 5

Take N=100. Use Linear Regression to find g and evaluate $E_{\rm in}$, the fraction of in-sample points which got classified incorrectly. Repeat the experiment 1000 times and take the average (keep the f's and g's as they will be used again in Problem 6). Which of the following values is closest to the average $E_{\rm in}$? (Closest is the option that makes the expression |your answer – given option| closest to 0. Use this definition of closest here and throughout.)

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- [**b**] 0.001
- [c] 0.01
- [d] 0.1
- [e] 0.5

The Python simulation found an average $E_{\rm in}$ of 0.0392. The closest answer is [c].

Problem 6

Now, generate 1000 fresh points and use them to estimate the out-of-sample error E_{out} of the g's that you got in Problem 5 (number of misclassified out-of- sample points / total number of out-of-sample points). Again, run the experiment 1000 times and take the average. Which value is closest to the average E_{out} ?

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- [**b**] 0.001
- $[\mathbf{c}] 0.01$
- [d] 0.1
- [e] 0.5

The average E_{out} was 0.0484, which is closest to $[\mathbf{c}]$.

Problem 7

Now, take N=10. After finding the weights using Linear Regression, use them as a vector of initial weights for the Perceptron Learning Algorithm. Run PLA until it converges to a final vector of weights that completely separates all the in-sample points. Among the choices below, what is the closest value to the average number of iterations (over 1000 runs) that PLA takes to converge? (When implementing PLA, have the algorithm choose a point randomly from the set of misclassified points at each iteration)

- [a] 1
- [**b**] 15
- [c] 300
- [**d**] 5000
- [e] 10000

On average, the PLA converged in 6.78 iterations when started at the least-squares solution, which is closest to $[\mathbf{a}]$.

Nonlinear Transformation

In these problems, we again apply Linear Regression for classification. Consider the target function:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = sign(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 0.6)$$

Generate a training set of N=1000 points on $\mathcal{X}=[-1,1]\times[-1,1]$ with a uniform probability of picking each $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}$. Generate simulated noise by flipping the sign of the output in a randomly selected 10% subset of the generated training set.

Problem 8

Carry out Linear Regression without transformation, i.e., with feature vector:

$$(1, x_1, x_2),$$

to find the weight **w**. What is the closest value to the classification in-sample error $E_{\rm in}$? (Run the experiment 1000 times and take the average $E_{\rm in}$ to reduce variation in your results.)

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- [**b**] 0.1
- $[\mathbf{c}] 0.3$
- [d] 0.5
- [e] 0.8

Without transformation, the PLA hypothesis had an average $E_{\rm in}$ of 0.5045, which is closest to [d].

Problem 9

Now, transform the N = 1000 training data into the following nonlinear feature vector:

$$(1, x_1, x_2, x_1 x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2)$$

Find the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ that corresponds to the solution of Linear Regression. Which of the following hypotheses is closest to the one you find? Closest here means agrees the most with your hypothesis (has the highest probability of agreeing on a randomly selected point). Average over a few runs to make sure your answer is stable.

[a]
$$g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 0.05x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 0.13x_1x_2 + 1.5x_1^2 + 1.5x_2^2)$$

[b]
$$g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 0.05x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 0.13x_1x_2 + 1.5x_1^2 + 15x_2^2)$$

[c]
$$g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 0.05x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 0.13x_1x_2 + 15x_1^2 + 1.5x_2^2)$$

[d]
$$g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 1.5x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 0.13x_1x_2 + 0.05x_1^2 + 0.05x_2^2)$$

[e]
$$g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 0.05x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 1.5x_1x_2 + 0.15x_1^2 + 0.15x_2^2)$$

With the quadratic transformation averaged over 1000 trials, the average hypothesis of the PLA was $g(x_1, x_2) = \text{sign}(-1 - 0.0024x_1 + 0.0016x_2 + 0.0011x_1x_2 + 1.5707x_1^2 + 1.5683x_2^2)$, which is closest to [a].

Problem 10

What is the closest value to the classification out-of-sample error $E_{\rm out}$ of your hypothesis from Problem 9? (Estimate it by generating a new set of 1000 points and adding noise, as before. Average over 1000 runs to reduce the variation in your results.)

- $[\mathbf{a}] 0$
- $[\mathbf{b}] 0.1$
- $[\mathbf{c}] 0.3$
- $[\mathbf{d}] 0.5$
- [e] 0.8

The estimated E_{out} of this hypothesis was 0.1231, which is closest to [b].