

In recent years, young people in many countries choose to live by themselves. What are the reasons and is it positive or negative for the development of the society?

原因：

1. 为了出行方便，很多时候不一定有和别人合住的机会
2. 生活习惯不一致
3. 性格所致，适合独居

总的来说，独居有一定的负面影响

1. 和外界保持联系对一个人的发展和身心健康很重要
2. 整天独自对着电脑电视不如多和周围人互动

traditionally, young people often lived with other people. Now, more young people are choosing to live by themselves. Some people question whether this trend will have a negative or positive impact on the development of society.

Some people are choosing to live by themselves for convenience purposes. Maybe they have a lengthy commute back and forth to work and it is no longer reasonable to live such a distance away. They want to live close to where they work and a roommate or someone to live with is not an option, at least not an immediate option. Some people choose to live alone because they dislike the inconveniences of cohabiting with someone who has different living habits. Other people choose to live alone simply because they are introverts and they do not enjoy social contact.

Although a person should certainly have the right to determine whether they live alone or not, it is important to note that human contact and social interaction remain important to a person's development and well-being. Human beings are social creatures and studies have shown that we can all benefit from social interaction. Many of us think that we can enhance our lives with computer time, television time, or smartphone time, but face to face interaction can still be beneficial and enriching.

it remains to be seen if there will be any long-term effects from the current trend of people living alone, but there is a possibility that this trend may have a negative impact on people's ability or interest in social interaction.

Some people think it is more important to plant more trees in open area in towns and cities than provide more housing. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

立场：只有两者兼顾，真正留下更多人才，同时让现有居民更愿意长留。

正方：

1. 增加更多植物让生活环境更宜居

反方：

1. 增加住宅，为了新增劳动力/外来人口解决住宿问题，促进经济发展

Some people think the importance of planting more trees in the open areas of towns and cities outweighs offering more housing. I believe finding a balance point in urban planning is the key.

there is no doubt that the presence of trees and flowers near our living areas provides beauty that can add to the ambiance of the area. Trees, flowers, and plants can be enjoyed by many people.

That being said, many towns and cities also need additional housing. Without plentiful and reasonably-priced housing, it can be difficult for a city to attract new residents and new workers. The lifeblood of any city is its residents and its workers. Residents and workers are necessary for any city to maintain a healthy economy.

I think most cities will do best in striking a balance between ambiance and practicality. If all the open spaces in a city or town are used to plant trees and flowers, the area will look beautiful, but there will not be many people living there to enjoy the beauty. If all the open spaces in a city are used for new housing, then the area will be lacking the beauty or ambiance that may attract the potential residents who are needed to maintain a healthy economy. As a result, many cities now require developers to incorporate trees, flowers, and plants into the residential areas they design and build. This plan allows the "best of both worlds", as more housing is then available and those new residential areas continue to have the trees, plants, and flowers which create a healthy living environment.

As well as making money, businesses should also have social responsibilities. Do you agree or disagree?

立场：同意

正

1. 企业的主要目标是盈利
2. 提供就业机会和收入给员工

反：企业实现上述两项目标，不应该以牺牲周围的利益和环境为代价。

Although the primary objectives of most businesses are to make money and provide jobs, it is important for these businesses to fulfill their social responsibilities, contributing to the communities and to society.

Obviously, it is important for a business to be profitable. If a business cannot maintain profitability, it probably will not survive. Another primary objective for a business is to provide jobs with incomes that allow its employees to support themselves and their families financially.

On the other hand, it is also important for business to be good citizens in the community in which they reside and good stewards of the environment in which they do business. For example, if a manufacturing company is polluting the air or the water in the area where it is located, that business is not fulfilling its social responsibilities. If an oil company is spilling tons of oil into the ocean because they have not taken the proper environmental safety procedures, they are not being socially responsible. If a logging business is cutting down trees and then not replanting them for future generations, they are not being socially responsible. Businesses should do this automatically, without having to be told to do so by the government. If a business does not do this voluntarily, then the government should step in to make sure that they do.

Although it is important for businesses to be profitable and to provide jobs and a good living for their employees, it is also very important for those businesses to fulfill their social responsibilities.

The major cities in the world are growing fast and many problems occur. What are the problems that young people live in cities are faced with? What solutions do you suggest?

面临的问题：

房价高，生活成本高

缺乏工作机会

犯罪率高

解决方法：

向房地产商提供政策优惠建设满足年轻工薪阶层的住宅

通过税收优惠，引进更多企业

增加警力，维护社会安定

Many major cities in the world have grown to a point in which they now have some serious problems. Young people who are living in these cities are often left to solve these problems by themselves.

There are three difficult problems that some major cities are facing now. One of the biggest problems is the lack of affordable housing in these cities. Most young people are not yet established in their jobs or their careers. As a result, their income may not be sufficient in order to survive the city's cost of living. Some cities are also lacking job opportunities, although most major cities are still able to offer more jobs than smaller cities or towns. Also, crime and safety issues appear to be more prevalent in major cities as compared to smaller cities or towns.

There are a few solutions that can be considered. As for the lack of available reasonably-priced housing issue, the city might offer financial incentives for developers to build more affordable houses and apartments. In terms of the lack of job opportunities, the cities might offer tax breaks for larger employers to move into the city from other places. With crime and safety, the city might simply hire more police officers.

To sum up, although many cities have their problems, these problems can often be overcome with detailed planning. Cities still have many opportunities to offer, including jobs, careers, easy access to education, and medical facilities. If the resources are provided in time, the problems mentioned above will decrease.

其他观点

With some and more young people flooding into big cities for better life, they are facing more and more problems, such as unemployment, health problems and tense interpersonal relationships. Proper solutions should be taken to help them solve the problems.

Unemployment is undoubtedly the most common problem that most young people live in large cities are faced with. Being confronted with the fierce competition in the job market and lacking practical skills, a high percentage of young people find it hard to gain a decent job to support themselves. In addition, most young people are too busy to have outdoor activities, and many of them are used to overeating fast food. As a result, they end up with poor physical conditions and weak immune systems. What's more, some young employees have problems in handling with complex relationships. They may have difficulty in communicating with their senior managers, and cooperating with colleagues.

Therefore, effective measures ought to be taken to tackle the problems. Firstly, young people should improve their practical skills and set reasonable life goals that suit their abilities. Secondly, healthy lifestyles ought to be pursued. For example, they can reduce the intake of sugar and fat. In the meantime, they must reduce the frequency of using computers and mobile phones and regularly take part in sports. Thirdly, they should learn essential communication skills to deal with people at work.

To conclude, if young people can make changes in the above fields, I believe they will be able to survive in big cities.

Because of traffic and housing problems in the cities, the government encourages business to move to the rural area. Do you think the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?

立场：利弊相等

企业留在城市发展

利

1. 商机在城市多
2. 劳动力比较充足

弊

1. 交通问题
2. 房价高
3. 生活成本高
4. 企业资产成本高

企业搬到城郊

利

1. 生活成本和企业资产成本低
2. 员工忠诚度相对高

弊

1. 住在城里的员工不一定愿意去偏远的地方
2. 劳动力相对少

As many cities are experiencing traffic and housing problems, governments encourage businesses to relocate to rural areas. Relocating to the rural areas has both advantages and disadvantages.

The disadvantages for a business to be located in the city include the aforementioned heavier traffic and often the lack of available and reasonably-priced housing. Also, cost of living expenses is generally higher in the city than in rural areas. And, business property is almost always more expensive in the

cities. That being said, commerce in the city is usually more plentiful and the labor pool for potential employees is larger.

Among the disadvantages for a business that relocates to a rural areas, there is a big problem regarding what to do with the employees who are already living in the city. Some, if not many, of those employees may want to continue to work may eventually force them to look for employment closer to their residence in the city. Although the labor pool in the rural areas is much smaller than that in the city, the rural workers are generally very loyal employees, maybe because they have fewer options of other businesses to work for.

All in all, there are enough advantages for a business to move from the city to the rural areas, but businesses must consider such a move very carefully, as they will probably find that not all of their city employees will follow them to the rural areas and that may impact the success of the business.

Some people believe that the best way to build a happier society is to ensure that there are only small differences between the richest and the poorest members. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

立场：不同意

正方：缩小贫富差距，政府不再需要拨款救济穷困人

反方：缩小差距的结果挫伤人们的进取心，不再给自己定更高的要求在学习或者事业上取得成绩

Some people believe that the best way to build a happy society is to make sure that there are as few differences as possible between the richest and poorest members. I would say that an economic class system offers incentives for people to seek advancement in their educations and their professions.

On one hand if the differences between the richest and poorest members of a society were bridged, there would be a lot less emphasis on income and maybe poverty could be eradicated. Local, regional and federal governments would no longer have to spend as much to support those citizens at the poverty level.

On the other hand, if the gap between lower and upper income levels was completely bridged then there would no longer be incentive or motivation for members of society to achieve higher levels of achievement in careers and professions. If a medical doctor was to earn an income similar to that of a domestic helper, there would no longer be much of an incentive for people to spend multiple years in training to become a doctor. Perhaps some people would still want to become doctors so they could help people, but others would no longer pursue that as a career because the financial benefits of such a career would no longer exist and the educational costs of becoming a doctor are substantial.

Although it would be beneficial for many societies to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor, the economic class system still provides a means of motivation for people to seek advancement in their education and in their professions.

其他观点

In order to consider how to create a happier society, some people hold the view that we should narrow the gap between the richest and the poorest citizens. However, I cannot agree with the idea.

Narrowing the gap between the rich and the poor does help create a better society. It can reduce crime rate because some people commit crimes due to jealousy or lack of food and clothing. By narrowing the gap of wealth and guaranteeing basic living requirements, we can hopefully make the society a better place to live.

However, money is not the only criterion to judge whether a person is happy or not. If the wealth gap is too small, other social problems will occur. For example, if everyone earns the same amount of money however they contribute to their work, people' enthusiasm for work will decrease. In the long run, the sense of competition would be less valuable to young generations. Being equal in financial condition will not make them happier once their human rights cannot be preserved. For example, if people barely have the right to speak out their opinions, the dictatorship will create a depressing atmosphere. Consequently, they lose trust in the government. The relationship between the government and its people will be tense or even problematic, resulting constant social disorder.

To sum up, building a happy society is never an easy task, personal wealth is just one factor. Only with joint efforts from both citizens and the government, will people have a truly happier society.