# Final Report

Pierce Hunter, Nick Kuckuck, Haoran Wang

6-07-2020

### 1 1D Approach

We solved the 1D equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = -1; \quad 0 \le z \le 1 \tag{1}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(1) = 0; \quad u(0) = 0 \tag{2}$$

in the four following ways:

- Direct solve on the CPU
- CG on the CPU
- Direct solve on the GPU
- CG on the GPU.

# 2 2D Approach

We now seek to expand the problem into 2D and solve using the same techniques. The 2D version of the problem is

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = -1; \quad \begin{cases} 0 \le y \le 1\\ 0 \le z \le 1 \end{cases}$$
 (3)

and we expand upon the boundary conditions which become

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ at } y = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ at } z = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$u = 0 \text{ at } y = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$u = 0 \text{ at } z = 0. \tag{7}$$

#### Discretization

We discretize in space in both y and z using centered difference as

$$\frac{u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{u_{i,j-1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j+1}}{\Delta z^2} = -1$$
 (8)

which simplifies when  $\Delta y = \Delta z$  to

$$u_{i-1,j} + u_{i,j-1} - 4u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j} + u_{i,j+1} = -\Delta y^2.$$
 (9)

This discretization works when  $2 \le i \le N$  and  $2 \le j \le N$ , but we need to solve on the boundaries

• 
$$i=2$$

$$- \text{ AND } j=2$$

$$u_{1,2}+u_{2,1}-4u_{2,2}+u_{3,2}+u_{2,3}=-\Delta y^2$$

$$-4u_{2,2}+u_{3,2}+u_{2,3}=-\Delta y^2$$

$$- \text{ AND } j=N$$

$$u_{1,N}+u_{2,N-1}-4u_{2,N}+u_{3,N}+u_{2,N+1}=-\Delta y^2$$

$$u_{2,N-1}-3u_{2,N}+u_{3,N}=-\Delta y^2$$

#### - OTHERWISE

$$u_{1,j} + u_{2,j-1} - 4u_{2,j} + u_{3,j} + u_{2,j+1} = -\Delta y^2$$
  
$$u_{2,j-1} - 4u_{2,j} + u_{3,j} + u_{2,j+1} = -\Delta y^2$$

$$\bullet$$
  $i=N$ 

$$-$$
 AND  $j=2$ 

$$u_{N-1,2} + u_{2,1} - 4u_{N,2} + u_{N+1,2} + u_{N,3} = -\Delta y^{2}$$
  
$$u_{N-1,2} - 3u_{N,2} + u_{N,3} = -\Delta y^{2}$$

$$- \text{ AND } i = N$$

$$u_{N-1,N} + u_{N,N-1} - 4u_{N,N} + u_{N+1,N} + u_{N,N+1} = -\Delta y^{2}$$
  
$$u_{N-1,N} + u_{N,N-1} - 2u_{N,N} = -\Delta y^{2}$$

#### - OTHERWISE

$$u_{N-1,j} + u_{N,j-1} - 4u_{N,j} + u_{N+1,j} + u_{N,j+1} = -\Delta y^2$$
  
$$u_{N-1,j} + u_{N,j-1} - 3u_{N,j} + u_{N,j+1} = -\Delta y^2$$

• 
$$j = 2 \text{ AND } 3 \le i \le N - 1$$

$$u_{i-1,2} + u_{i,1} - 4u_{i,2} + u_{i+1,2} + u_{i,3} = -\Delta y^{2}$$
  
$$u_{i-1,2} - 4u_{i,2} + u_{i+1,2} + u_{i,3} = -\Delta y^{2}$$

• 
$$i = N \text{ AND } 3 < i < N - 1$$

$$u_{i-1,N} + u_{i,N-1} - 4u_{i,N} + u_{i+1,N} + u_{i,N+1} = -\Delta y^{2}$$
  
$$u_{i-1,N} + u_{i,N-1} - 3u_{i,N} + u_{i+1,N} = -\Delta y^{2}$$

### 3 Convert to a Matrix

In order to convert this discretization to a matrix that can be used for a direct solve we need to define a new indexing convention. For this we calculate a global index k as

$$k = (i-2)(N-1) + (j-1). (10)$$

We can then translate our discretization into this new system, starting with the corner (2,2):

• (2, 2)

$$-4u_1 + u_N + u_2 = -\Delta y^2$$

• (2, j) with  $3 \le j \le N - 1$ 

$$u_{j-2} - 4u_{j-1} + u_{N-2+j} + u_j = -\Delta y^2$$

• (2, N)

$$u_{N-2} - 3u_{N-1} + u_{2N-2} = -\Delta y^2$$

• (i,2) with  $3 \le i \le N-1$ 

$$u_{(i-3)(N-1)+1} - 4u_{(i-2)(N-1)+1} + u_{(i-1)(N-1)+1} + u_{(i-2)(N-1)+2} = -\Delta y^2$$

• (i,j) with  $3 \le i \le N-1$  and  $3 \le j \le N-1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(i-3)(N-1)+j-1} + u_{(i-2)(N-1)+j-2} - 4u_{(i-2)(N-1)+j-1} \\ + u_{(i-1)(N-1)+j-1} + u_{(i-2)(N-1)+j} &= -\Delta y^2 \end{aligned}$$

• (i, N) with  $3 \le i \le N - 1$ 

$$\begin{split} u_{(i-3)(N-1)+N-1} + u_{(i-2)(N-1)+N-2} - 3u_{(i-2)(N-1)+N-1} \\ + u_{(i-1)(N-1)+N-1} = -\Delta y^2 \end{split}$$

• (*N*, 2)

$$u_{(N-3)(N-1)+1} - 3u_{(N-2)(N-1)+1} + u_{(N-2)(N-1)+2} = -\Delta y^2$$

• (N, j) with  $3 \le j \le N - 1$ 

$$\begin{split} u_{(N-3)(N-1)+j-1} + u_{(N-2)(N-1)+j-2} - 3u_{(N-2)(N-1)+j-1} \\ + u_{(N-2)(N-1)+j} = -\Delta y^2 \end{split}$$

 $\bullet$  (N, N)

$$u_{(N-2)(N-1)} + u_{(N-2)N} - 2u_{(N-1)^2} = -\Delta y^2$$

So what we end up with is an  $(N-1)^2 \times (N-1)^2$  matrix A and a solution vector b with  $(N-1)^2$  entries. Moving across a row we start at (2,2), to increase j by one we move to the right 1 entry, to increase i by 1 we move the right (N-1) entries, such that we hit every value of j first, then move to the next i.

Along the diagonals of the matrix A we have -4 except in the following locations:

- rows  $\alpha(N-1)$  the diagonal entry is -3 for  $1 \le \alpha \le N-2$
- rows  $(N-2)(N-1)+\beta$  the diagonal is -3 for  $1 \le \beta \le N-2$
- row  $(N-1)^2$  the diagonal is -2

We also have 4 other sub-diagonals that will all contain ones except where noted. These represent the following location:

- j-1 which is directly below the diagonal In Julia these are the locations: [2:(N-1)<sup>2</sup>, 1:(N-1)<sup>2</sup>-1] Exception: the locations  $(\alpha(N-1)+1,\alpha(N-1))$  should be zero for  $1 \le \alpha \le N-2$
- j+1 which is directly above the diagonal In Julia these are the locations:  $[1:(N-1)^2-1, 2:(N-1)^2]$  Exception: the locations  $(\alpha(N-1),\alpha(N-1)+1)$  should be zero for  $1 \le \alpha \le N-2$
- i-1 which are exactly N-1 below the diagonal In Julia these are the locations: [N:(N-1)<sup>2</sup>, 1:(N-1)<sup>2</sup>-(N-1)]
- i+1 which are exactly N+1 above the diagonal In Julia these are the locations:  $[1:(N-1)^2-(N-1), N:(N-1)^2]$

Once A is created it is probably easier to create a column vector of length  $(N-1)^2$  in which every location contains  $-\Delta y^2$ . Once a solution is found via  $u=A\backslash b$  or CG, then u can be reshaped to the correct dimensions either manually—i=floor((k-1)/(N-1))+2; j=mod(k-1,N-1)+2—or via the reshape function transposed—U=reshape(u,N-1,N-1)'. Using reshape without the transpose puts the solution in meshgrid format (with y as the columns and z as the rows) similar to looking at a cross-section.

## 4 Example program run:

Direct solve on CPU 0.042633 seconds (8.16 k allocations: 11.199 MiB, 51.33norm between our solution and the exact solution = 1.443430e-05

```
Native Julia CG solve on CPU 15.070843 seconds (34 allocations: 1.985 MiB) norm between our solution and the exact solution = 1.443430e-05
```

Direct solve on GPU 1.129700 seconds (209 allocations: 9.750 KiB) norm between our solution and the exact solution = 1.443427e-05

CG solve on GPU 3.191565 seconds (4.40 M allocations: 172.127 MiB, 0.92norm between our solution and the exact solution = 1.443432e-05

### 5 Code Listing

Here is the code listing:

```
using Pkg
Pkg.add("LinearAlgebra")
Pkg.add("SparseArrays")
Pkg.add("IterativeSolvers")
Pkg.add("Printf")
Pkg.add("CuArrays")
Pkg.add("CUDAnative")
Pkg.add("CUDAdrv")
using LinearAlgebra
using SparseArrays
using IterativeSolvers
using Printf
using CuArrays
CuArrays.allowscalar(false)
using CUDAnative
using CUDAdrv
z = 5e-5
z = 0:z:1
N = length(z)
function exact(z)
    return -1/2 * z.^2 + z
end
```

```
# create sparse matrix A
Il = 2:N-1
Jl = 1:N-2
Iu = 1:N-2
Ju = 2:N-1
dl = ones(N-2)
du = ones(N-2)
d = -2*ones(N-1)
A = sparse(Il, Jl, dl, N-1, N-1) + sparse(Iu, Ju, du, N-1, N-1) + sparse(1:N-1, I)
A[N-1, N-1] = -1
A := A / (z^2)
b = -ones(N-1, 1)
    Perform LU solve
println("Direct solve on CPU")
u_dummy_DSCPU = A \setminus b
@time u_int_DSCPU = A \setminus b
u_DSCPU = [0; u_int_DSCPU]
# @show umod
@printf "norm between our solution and the exact solution = x1b[31m e]
println("----")
println()
     Perform CG solve
println("Native Julia CG solve on CPU")
u_dummy_CGCPU = cg(-A, -b)
@time u_int_CGCPU = cg(-A, -b)
u_CGCPU = [0; u_int_CGCPU]
Qprintf "norm between our solution and the exact solution = x1b[31m %e]
println("----")
println()
d_A = CuArray(A)
d_b = CuArray(b)
println("Direct solve on GPU")
u_dummy_DSGPU = d_A \setminus d_b
@time u_int_DSGPU = d_A \setminus d_b
u_int_DSGPU_reg = Array(u_int_DSGPU)
u_DSGPU = [0; u_int_DSGPU_reg]
@printf "norm between our solution and the exact solution = \xspace x1b[31m \%e]
println("----")
println()
```

```
# CG solve on GPU
d_A = CuArrays.CUSPARSE.CuSparseMatrixCSC(A)
d_b = CuArray(b)
u_cg = CuArray(zeros(size(d_b)))
u_dummy = CuArray(zeros(size(d_b)))

println("CG solve on GPU")
# u_dummy_CGCPU = cg(-d_A, -d_b)
cg!(u_dummy, d_A, d_b)
# @time u_int_CGGPU = cg(-d_A, -d_b)
@time cg!(u_cg, d_A, d_b)
u_int_CGGPU_reg = Array(u_cg)
u_CGGPU = [0; u_int_CGGPU_reg]
@printf "norm between our solution and the exact solution = \x1b[31m %e println("------")
println()
```