

# 1 February 24th, 2022

## 1.1 Relative Compactness and Tightness of $\{\mu_n\}$

**Definition 1.1 (relative compactness).** We say  $\{\mu_n\}$  is relatively compact if for any subsequence of  $\mu_n$ , say  $\mu_{n_k}$ , one can find a further subsequence  $\mu_{n_{k_i}}$  which converges weakly.

### Proposition 1.2

If  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$  in the finite-dimensional sense and if  $\{\mu_n\}$  is relatively compact, then  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$  ( $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly).

*Proof.* Finite-dimensional convergence means that  $\mu_n \circ \Pi_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^{-1} \Rightarrow \mu \circ \Pi_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^{-1}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and any given  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [0, 1]$ . Relative compactness means that for any  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_k$ , we have  $\mu_{n_{k_i}} \Rightarrow \nu_{k_i}$  which is a probability measure. But we already know that:

$$\mu_{n_{k_i}} \circ \Pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^{-1} \Rightarrow \mu \circ \Pi_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^{-1}$$

On the other hand, the finite-dimensional distribution determines the measure, meaning that:  $\nu_{k_i} = \mu$ . This further means that for any subsequence  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_k$  there exists a further  $\{\mu_{n_{k_i}}\}_i$ , s.t.  $\mu_{n_{k_i}} \Rightarrow \mu$ ,  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . meaning that  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.3 (tightness).** We say  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K = K_\epsilon \subset C$ , s.t.:

$$\mu_n(K) \geq 1 - \epsilon, \quad n \geq n_0$$

**Remark 1.4** — Roughly speaking  $\{\mu_m\}_n$  are almost supported on a compact set of  $C$ .

### Theorem 1.5 (Prokhorov's Theorem)

tightness  $\iff$  relative compactness.

The heuristic is that the space of a measure on a compact space is also compact. The proof is very lengthy and won't be introduced in this course.

**Remark 1.6** — If we are considering  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of  $C$ , the relation between relative compactness and tightness is easy to understand. Tightness means that it is supported by a bounded and closed set. For example if we consider  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{3}\delta_0 + \frac{2}{3}\delta_n$ . This is not tight, since the mass will escape to  $\infty$ , it is also not relatively compact, since its limit does not go to a probability measure.

Now, we will see how to show tightness. We will first use the

**Theorem 1.7 (Arzela-Ascoli Theorem)**

We consider a set  $A \subset C[0, 1]$  is relatively compact if and only if:

1.  $\sup_{x \in A} |x(0)| < \infty$  (uniform boundedness)
2.  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in A} \omega_x(\delta) = 0$ , where  $\omega_x(\delta) = \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |x(s) - x(t)|$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  which is known as the **modulus of continuity**. (uniform equicontinuous)

**Definition 1.8 (equicontinuous at a point  $t_0$ ).** For all  $x \in A, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta$  s.t.:

$$\sup_{x \in A} |x(t) - x(t_0)| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall |t - t_0| \leq \delta$$

is called equicontinuous.

**Definition 1.9 (uniformly equicontinuous).**  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta$  s.t.:

$$\sup_{x \in A} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} |x(t) - x(s)| \leq \epsilon.$$

**Theorem 1.10**

A sequence of probability measures  $\{\mu_n\}$  on  $C$  is tight if and only if:

1. For any  $\eta > 0$ , there exists an  $a$  and  $n_0$  s.t.:

$$\mu_n\{(x : |x(0)| \leq a)\} \geq 1 - \eta, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

2. For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta$  and  $n_0$  s.t. :

$$\mu_n(\{x : \omega_x(\delta) \leq \epsilon\}) \geq 1 - \eta, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

We can take the intersection and closure of 1 and 2 to get a compact set by Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Proof is omitted.

We can write 1 and 2 as:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n(0)| > a) \leq \eta \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\omega_{X_n}(\delta) > \epsilon) \leq \eta.$$

and this is how we can typically prove tightness, for example using Markov inequality. However, we will stop the discussion of tightness here.

**1.2 Examples of Convergence to Gaussian Process**

We will consider two examples, the first of the weak convergence of random walk to Brownian motion, and then briefly introduce a second example of the convergence of empirical processes.

**Definition 1.11 (Brownian Motion on  $[0, 1]$ ).** A 1D Brownian motion is a real valued random function  $X(t), t \in [0, 1]$  s.t.  $X(0) = 0$  and:

1. If  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$ , then  $X(t_1) - X(t_0), \dots, X(t_k) - X(t_{k-1})$  are independent.
2. If  $s, t \geq 0$ , then:  $X(s+t) - X(s) \sim N(0, t)$
3. With probability 1,  $X(t)$  is continuous.

Note that 1 and 2 give you the finite-dimensional distribution. Fixing on a rectangle, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}((X(t_1), \dots, X(t_k)) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) &= \mu_x \circ \Pi_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) \\ &= \int_{A_1} dx_1 \dots \int_{A_k} dx_k \prod_{m=1}^k \beta_{t_m - t_{m-1}}(x_{m-1}, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\beta_t(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(b-a)^2}{2t}\right)$$

### Example 1.12

If  $k = 2$ , then:

$$f_{X(t_1), X(t_2)}(x_1, x_2) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\text{with } \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_1 \\ t_1 & t_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad t_1 < t_2.$$

**Definition 1.13 (Gaussian process).** A random function whose finite-dimensional distributions are all multivariate Gaussian.

Note that Brownian motion is a Gaussian process with covariance. Also, once we identify the covariance, the process is determined.

### Theorem 1.14 (Donsker's invariance principle (functional CLT))

Let  $\xi, \dots, \xi_n$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbf{E}\xi_i = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{Var}\xi_i = 1$ . Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ ,  $S_0 = 0$ . Define a random function in  $C[0, 1]$ :

$$X_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{[nt]} + (nt - [nt]) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \xi_{n+1}$$

note that this is rescaling the trajectory. Under the above assumption:

$$X_n(t) \implies X(t) \leftarrow \text{Brownian motion.}$$

*Proof.* We need to prove the finite-dimensional convergence and tightness. Note that tightness will be omitted, since it is case by case basis. For the finite-dim convergence,

let us denote  $\varphi_{nt} = (nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor)\xi_{\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}/\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_n(s), X_n(t) - X_n(s)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(S_{\lfloor ns \rfloor, S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} - S_{\lfloor ns \rfloor}}) + (\varphi_{ns}, \varphi_{nt} - \varphi_{ns}) \\ &\implies (\underbrace{N_1}_{N(0,s)}, \underbrace{N_2}_{N(0,t-s)}) \\ &\implies (X_n(s), X_n(t)) \implies (N_1, N_1 + N_2) \end{aligned}$$

The extension to  $k$  components is straightforward. □