

# 1 February 23rd, 2021

## 1.1 Jacobi for 2D Discrete Laplacian

For the 2D Laplacian, we have:

$$\begin{cases} -u_{xx} - u_{yy} = f & (x, y) \in \Omega = (0, 1)^2 \\ u(x, y) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

By central difference:

$$A_2 x = b, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$$

with  $N = n^2$  and:

$$A_2 = A \otimes I + I \otimes A$$

, where  $A$  is the 1D discrete Laplacian.

**Definition 1.1 (Kronecker Product (Tensor Product)).**

$$B \otimes C = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}C & b_{12}C & \dots & b_{1q}C \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ b_{p1}C & b_{p2}C & \dots & b_{pq}C \end{bmatrix}$$

### Theorem 1.2

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$$

### Lemma 1.3

The eigenvalues of  $A_2$  are  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ , where  $\lambda_i, \lambda_j$  are eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda_i, u_i)$  be eigenpairs of  $A$ . Then:

$$A_2(u_i \otimes u_j) = (A \otimes I)(u_i \otimes u_j) + (I \otimes A)(u_i \otimes u_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(u_i \otimes u_j) &= (A \otimes I)(u_i \otimes u_j) + (I \otimes A)(u_i \otimes u_j) \\ &= Au_i \otimes u_j + u_i \otimes Au_j \\ &= \lambda_i u_i \otimes u_j + u_i \otimes (\lambda_j u_j) \\ &= (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(u_i \otimes u_j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= I - D_2^{-1} A_2 \\ &= I - \frac{1}{4} A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Meaning that the eigenvalues of  $G_2$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) &= 1 - \frac{1}{4} \left( 4 - 2 \cos \frac{i\pi}{n+1} - 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{n+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos \frac{i\pi}{n+1} + \cos \frac{j\pi}{n+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\rho(G_2) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos \frac{i\pi}{n+1} + \cos \frac{j\pi}{n+1} \right) \right| = \cos \frac{\pi}{n+1} < 1$$

Because  $G_2$  is symmetric,  $\|G_2\|_2 = \rho(G_2)$ :

$$\|x_k - x_*\|_2 \leq \rho(G_2) \cdot \|x_{k-1} - x_*\|_2$$

Similar to before, we have:

$$1 - O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

This gives us  $\alpha = 1$ , meaning that:

- number of iterations needed:  $O(N \log \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1})$
- number of FLOPs needed per iterations:  $O(N)$ , which is the number of non-zero entries

Thus the total computation cost is  $O(N^2 \cdot \log \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1})$ . This is the same order as Gaussian Elimination, since  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is usually a constant.

**Remark 1.4** — More examples of Jacobi Iteration include the strictly/irreducibly diagonally dominant matrix, which have been covered in MAT5311.

## 1.2 Jacobi for SPD Matrices

### Theorem 1.5

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be SPD. Then Jacobi converges to  $x_*$  for any  $x_0$  if and only if  $2D - A$  is SPD too.

*Proof.* Recall that Jacobi converges to  $x_*$  for any  $x_0$  if and only if  $\rho(G) < 1$ .

- Assume Jacobi converges, then:

$$\rho(I - D^{-1}A) < 1 \iff \rho(I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}) < 1$$

because  $D^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - D^{-1}A)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is similar, thus meaning they share the same eigenvalue and thus spectral radius. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then  $|\lambda| < 1$  and  $1 + \lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $2I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Since  $A$  is symmetric,  $\lambda$  is real, meaning that  $1 + \lambda$  is positive, thus meaning  $2I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is SPD.

Consider:

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}(2I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}})D^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2D - A$$

which is also SPD, since they are similar.

- The reverse is very similar, just in reverse. Assume  $2D - A$  is SPD. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2D - A \text{ is SPD} &\implies 2I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is SPD} \\ &\implies 1 + \lambda, \text{ where } \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is SPD} &\implies D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}} = I - (I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \text{ is SPD} \\ &\implies 1 - \lambda > 0 \\ &\implies \lambda > -1. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . As such, we have:

$$-1 < \lambda < 1 \implies |\lambda| < 1 \implies \rho(I - D^{-1}A) < 1$$

□

#### Example 1.6

Consider the 1D Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} A \text{ is SPD} \\ 2D - A = 4I - A \text{ is SPD} \end{cases} \implies \text{Jacobi converges}$$

### 1.3 Lower Bound of Jacobi Convergence Rate

We have:

$$\frac{\|x_k - x_*\|}{\|x_{k-1} - x_*\|} \leq \rho(G) + \epsilon$$

for an arbitrarily small  $\epsilon$ , or  $\epsilon = 0$  if  $G$  is symmetric. This is a worse-case, i.e. an upper bound. However, this factor is asymptotically optimal, meaning:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_k - x_*\|}{\|x_{k-1} - x_*\|} \geq \rho(G)$$

As such, convergence factor  $\rho$  is **tight**.

Let us demonstrate this when  $G$  is symmetric first.

**Remark 1.7** — For nonsymmetric matrices, this is also true, we will prove later.

$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2|$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the largest and 2nd largest eigenvalue of  $G$  in absolute value.

Let  $G = U \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U^T$  be the eigenvalue decomposition of  $G$ , where  $U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix}$  is unitary.

Let  $x_k - x_* = z_k$ . Then:

$$z_k = G^k z_0 = \left( U \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U^T \right)^k z_0 = U \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^k \end{bmatrix} U^T z_0.$$

Denote  $U^T z_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_k\|_2 &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{2k} \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\lambda_1|^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2k} \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|z_k\|_2}{\|z_{k-1}\|_2} &= |\lambda_1| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2k} \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2}}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2(k-1)} \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2}} \\ &= |\lambda_1| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2k} \alpha_2^2 + \dots}{\alpha_1^2 + \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2(k-1)} \alpha_2^2 + \dots} \\ &= |\lambda_1| \\ &= \rho(G). \end{aligned}$$