

1 March 30th, 2021

1.1 Advanced Iterative Methods II

In this chapter, we consider:

$$Ax = b$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is non-singular (no SPD constraint on A).

1.2 GMRES (Generalized Minimum Residual Method)

Recall that in the projection methods, we find:

$$\tilde{x} \in x_0 + K \text{ s.t. } b - A\tilde{x} \perp L$$

where K and L are two subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n with the same dimension (in order to have \tilde{x} be well-defined).

When A is SPD, we can choose $K = L$, so that:

$$\tilde{x} = \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + K} \|x - x_*\|_A^2$$

However, when A is just a general non-singular matrix, we cannot follow this framework, as $\|A\|_A^2 = x^T A x$ is not a norm on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, we will not choose $K = L$. Instead, we choose $L = AK$. Doing so, the projection method becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x} \in x_0 + K \\ b - A\tilde{x} \perp AK \end{cases} \iff \tilde{x} = \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + K} \|b - Ax\|_2^2$$

Proof. Let $Ax = y$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + K} \|b - Ax\|_2^2 \\ \iff A\tilde{x} &= \arg \min_{y \in Ax_0 + AK} \|b - y\|_2^2 \\ \iff \begin{cases} b - A\tilde{x} \perp AK \\ \tilde{x} &= x_0 + K \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

In GMRES, given x_0 , we generate x_k by projection with:

$$K = K_k = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, A^2r_0, \dots, A^{k-1}r_0\}$$

So:

$$x_k = \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + K_k} \|b - Ax\|_2^2$$

Let V_k be a basis of K_k . Then $W_k = AV_k$ is a basis of AK_k . Then the minimization becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in x_0 + K_k} \|b - Ax\|_2^2 &\iff \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|b - A(x_0 + V_k y)\|_2^2 \\ &\iff \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|r_0 - AV_k y\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.1 — If $\dim(K_k) \neq k$ then the residue is 0, meaning we have already obtained the solution.

This is a typical least squares problem whose solution is given by:

$$V_k^T A^T A V_k y = V_k^T A^T r_0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|r_0 - A V_k y\|_2^2 &\iff \begin{cases} \min_z \|r_0 - z\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t. } z \in \text{Ran}(A V_k) \end{cases} \\ &\iff r_0 - A V_k y \perp \text{Ran}(A V_k) \\ &\iff \langle r_0 - A V_k y, A V_k u \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^k \\ &\iff V_k^T A^T (r_0 - A V_k y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

However, we have two concerns if we solve $V_k^T A^T A V_k y = V_k^T A^T r_0$ directly to obtain $x_k = x_0 + V_k y$:

1. The condition number of $V_k^T A^T A V_k$ is the square of the condition number of $A V_k$. Thus, we lose some numerical stability.

Remark 1.2 — Originally, we just need to solve the overdetermined linear system in $\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|r_0 - A V_k y\|_2^2$. But if we want to solve $V_k^T A^T A V_k y = V_k^T A^T r_0$, then we are turning it into a square linear system.

2. The computation is not recursive, as we don't use x_{k-1} to obtain x_k . Therefore the computation per iteration is expensive (cost per step is $O(k^3)$ to solve).

As such, we need to consider how to solve a general least squares problem.

1.3 QR Decomposition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$ satisfying $\text{rank}(A) = n$, i.e. it is a tall, full rank matrix. Then we have the following decomposition $A = QR$:

Economic QR: where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ satisfying } Q^T Q = I \\ R &\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ is upper triangular with non-zero diagonals.} \end{aligned}$$

Full QR: where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \text{ satisfying } Q^T Q = I \\ R &\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ is upper triangular with non-zero diagonals.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.3 — Q in the economic QR is the first n columns of Q in the full QR. Similarly, R in the economic QR is the first n rows of R in the full QR.