March 16th, 2021 MATH5312 Notes

1 March 16th, 2021

1.1 CG as a Direct Method

As proved before, GC will get the exact solution after t most n steps. In addition, the complexity per step is:

1 matrix-vector product + operations of O(n)

Note that one matrix vector product is O(m+n) where m is the number of nonzero entries in A. This means that the total computational cost is $O(mn+n^2)$ in the worse case.

- If A is the 1D Discrete Laplacian matrix, this is no better than Cholesky decomposition, which is O(n).
- However if A is the 2D Discrete Laplacian, both are $O(n^2)$.

1.2 GC as an Iterative Method

CG can give a very accurate solution even if $k \ll n$.

Theorem 1.1

Assume A is SPD. Then $\{x_k\}$ generated by CG satisfies:

1. If A has only s distinct eigenvalues, then:

$$x_k = x_* \text{ for all } k \ge s.$$

2. For a genera A: Let $\gamma = \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A)}$ be the condition number, then we have:

$$||x_k - x_*||_A \le 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma} - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma} + 1}\right)^k ||x_0 - x_*||_A.$$

3. If eigenvalues of A satisfies:

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \ldots \le \lambda_s \le \alpha \le \lambda_{s+1} \le \ldots \le \lambda_{n-t} \le \beta \le \lambda_{n-t+1} \le \ldots \le \lambda_n$$

Where α is close to β , (i.e. most eigenvalues are close together barring s small and t large outlying eigenvalues), then:

$$||x_k - x_*||_A \le 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta/\alpha} - 1}{\sqrt{\beta/\alpha} + 1}\right)^{k-s-t} \left(\max_{\lambda \in [\alpha,\beta]} \prod_{\ell \in \{1,\dots,t\} \cup \{n-t+1,\dots,n\}} \left|\frac{\lambda - \lambda_\ell}{\lambda_\ell}\right|\right)$$

Note that the right factor is a constant.

Corollary 1.2

From Theorem 1.1 (2), we have that the convergence speed depends on $O(\sqrt{\gamma})$, where as for steepest descent, it is $O(\gamma)$, meaning that the CG is much faster than steepest descent.

Example 1.3

If $A = (I + vv^T)$, then there are only two distinct eigenvalues, meaning that CG will converge in only two steps.

Proof. By the optimality of CG, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - x_*\|_A &= \min_{x \in x_0 + K_k} \|x_* - x\|_A \\ &= \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^k} \left\| x_* - (x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j A^j r_0) \right\|_A \\ &= \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^k} \left\| (x_* - x_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j A^{j+1} (x_* - x_0) \right\|_A \\ &= \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^k} \left\| \left(I + \sum_{j=1}^k c_{j-1} A^j \right) (x_* - x_0) \right\|_A \\ &= \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0) = 1} \|p(A)(x_* - x_0)\|_A \\ &\leq \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0) = 1} \|p(A)\|_A \right) \|(x_* - x_0)\|_A \\ &= \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0) = 1} \|p(A)\|_2 \right) \|(x_* - x_0)\|_A . \end{aligned}$$

Where \mathbb{P}_k is the set of polynomial of degree k.

Since A is symmetric, p(A) is also symmetric. Thus, we have:

$$||x_k - x_*||_A \le \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0) = 1} ||p(A)||_2\right) ||(x_* - x_0)||_A$$

$$= \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0) = 1} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |p(\lambda_i)|\right) ||(x_* - x_0)||_A.$$

1. If A has only s distinct eigenvalues, say $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$, we have:

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0)=1} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |p(\lambda_i)| \le \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |q(\lambda_i)| \quad \forall q \begin{cases} q \in \mathbb{P}_k \\ q(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let us choose q by:

$$q(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda}{\lambda_i} \right)$$

We have check that $q \in \mathbb{P}_s \subset \mathbb{P}_k$ and that q(0) = 1. With this, we have:

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0)=1} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |p(\lambda_i)| \le \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |q(\lambda_i)|$$
$$= \max_{i \in \{i, \dots, s\}} |q(\lambda_i)| = 0.$$

2. We relax the estimation by:

$$||x_{k} - x_{*}||_{A} \leq \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, p(0) = 1} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |p(\lambda_{i})|\right) ||(x_{*} - x_{0})||_{A}$$

$$\leq \left(\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{k}, p(0) = 1} \max_{\lambda \in [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]} |p(\lambda)|\right) ||(x_{*} - x_{0})||_{A}.$$

Now we use a change of variable to estimate min max $|p(\lambda)|$. Define:

$$\mu = 2 \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}} - 1.$$

i.e. $\lambda = \lambda_{\min} \implies \mu = -1$, $\lambda = \lambda_{\max} \implies \mu = 1$. Thus, we estimate:

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(\mu_0) = 1} \max_{\mu \in [-1, 1]} |p(\mu)|$$

where
$$\mu_0 = 2 \frac{-\lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}} - 1 = -\frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}$$

The solution of the minimax is given by the **Chebychev polynomial**.

Lemma 1.4

If $\mu_0 \neq [-1, 1]$, then:

$$\frac{C_k(\mu)}{C_k(\mu_0)} = \underset{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(\mu_0) = 1}{\arg\min} \max_{\mu \in [-1, 1]} |p(\mu)|$$

where:

$$C_k(\mu) = \begin{cases} \cos(k \cdot \arccos(\mu)) & \mu \in [-1, 1] \\ \cosh(k \cdot \operatorname{arccosh}(\mu)) & \mu \ge 1 \\ (-1)^k \cosh(k \cdot \operatorname{arccosh}(-\mu)) & \mu \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. First we check that $C_k \in \mathbb{P}_k$. Indeed

$$C_0(\mu) = 1 \in \mathbb{P}_0$$

$$C_1(\mu) = \mu \in \mathbb{P}_1.$$

Also, by:

$$\begin{cases} \cos((k+1)\theta) + \cos((k-1)\theta) = 2\cos\theta\cos(k\theta) \\ \cosh((k+1)\theta) + \cosh((k-1)\theta) = 2\cosh\theta\cosh(k\theta) \end{cases}$$

Choosing $\theta = \arccos \mu$ if $|\mu| \le 1$ or $\arccos h|\mu|$ if $|\mu| \ge 1$ and k = k + 1, we have:

$$C_k(\mu) + C_{k-2}(\mu) = 2\mu C_{k-1}(\mu)$$

 $\implies C_k(\mu) = 2\mu C_{k-1}(\mu) - C_{k-2}(\mu) \in \mathbb{P}_k.$