1 September 17th, 2020

1.1 Implicit Scheme for $u_t = u_{xx}$

Recall that the implicit scheme is:

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2U_j^{n+1} + U_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}.$$

Note that when compared to the explicit scheme, the implicit scheme involves 3 unknown values of U on the new level n+1. This is in contrast to the explicit scheme, for which the values of U_j^{n+1} only depend on U^n . Thus there are N-1 unknowns: $U_1^{n+1}, U_2^{n+1}, \ldots, U_{N-1}^{n+1}$, and N-1 equations:

$$(1+2\gamma)U_i^{n+1} - \gamma U_{i-1}^{n+1} - \gamma U_{i-1}^{n+1} = U_i^n.$$

This can be expressed as a linear system AU = b, with A being tridiagonal.

The simplest way to solve this linear system is Gaussian elimination, which for a tridiagonal matrix is similar to Thomas algorithm which solves the equation:

$$-a_i U_{i-1} + b_i U_i - c_i U_{i+1} = d_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

While assuming diagonally dominance:

$$a_j > 0, b_j > 0, c_j > 0, \quad b_j > a_j + c_j.$$

Remark 1.1 — This diagonal dominance is to ensure there is a solution (not singular).

1.2 Stability Analysis for Implicit Scheme

Recall we are considering the equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

Assuming we can do separation of variables, we have:

$$u(x,t) = Z(x) \cdot T(t).$$

Taking the Fourier series of the original equation, we have

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) \sin k\pi x$$

$$\implies \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) \sin k\pi x = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) (k\pi)^2 \sin k\pi x.$$

1.3 The θ -Method MATH5311 Notes

Since $\sin k\pi x$ forms a basis, the coefficients must match, giving us:

$$a'_k(t) = -(k\pi)^2 a_k(t)$$

$$\implies a_k(t) = a_0 e^{-(k\pi)^2 t}.$$

Note that the evolution of a_k is independent of other values of k. Thus in order to study how amplitude evolves with time, we don't need to look at the whole series, only how the amplitude decays with k. Thus for an exact solution of $u_t = u_{xx}$, we know that the amplitude decays exponentially fast.

For the discretized case, we want to see how the numeric scheme propagates the Fourier mode. Thus we let:

$$U_j^n = \lambda^n e^{ik(j\Delta x)}.$$

Plugging into the numerical implicit scheme, we have:

$$(1+2\nu)\lambda^{n+1}e^{ik(j\Delta x)} - \nu\lambda^{n+1}e^{ik(k+1)\Delta x} - \nu\lambda^{n+1}e^{ik(j-1)\Delta x} = \lambda^n e^{ikj\Delta x}.$$

$$\implies \lambda \left[(1+2\nu) - \nu e^{ik\Delta x} - \nu e^{-ik\Delta x} \right] = 1.$$

$$\implies \lambda \left(1 + 2\nu - 2\nu \cos k\Delta x \right) = 1.$$

$$\implies \lambda \left(1 + 4\nu \sin^2 \frac{k\Delta x}{2} \right) = 1.$$

$$\implies \lambda = \frac{1}{1 + 4\nu \sin^2 \frac{k\Delta x}{2}} < 1.$$

Thus this implicit scheme unconditionally stable, meaning there is no condition on ν . Remember that for the explicit scheme, we needed the condition $\nu \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

1.3 The θ -Method

Recall we have learned two schemes:

• Explicit Scheme:

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2}.$$

• Implicit Scheme:

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2U_j^{n+1} + U_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}.$$

Both schemes have first order error in time t and second order in space. This can be seen the truncation error T_j^n using taylor expansion.

Definition 1.2. The θ -method is a weighted average of explicit and implicit scheme. For the heat equation this is:

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n}{\Delta t} = (1 - \theta) \frac{U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + \theta \frac{U_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2U_j^{n+1} + U_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad 0 \le \theta \le 1.$$

1.3 The θ -Method MATH5311 Notes

Remark 1.3 — If $\theta = 0$, we have a explicit scheme, and if $\theta = 1$ we have the implicit scheme, both with 1st order in time and 2nd order in space.

However, if use $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have 2nd order in time and space. This is because there is some cancellation when $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. For any other values of θ , this will not be true. To calculate the truncation error for the θ method, we expand terms at $(x_j, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})$:

$$u(x_{j}, t_{n}) = u(x_{j}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - u_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta t) + \frac{1}{2}u_{tt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6}u_{ttt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{3} + \dots$$

$$u(x_{j}, t_{n+1}) = u(x_{j}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + u_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta t) + \frac{1}{2}u_{tt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6}u_{ttt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{3} + \dots$$

$$u(x_{j\pm 1}, t_{n}) = u(x_{j}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - u_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta t) \pm u_{x}\Delta x + \frac{1}{2}u_{tt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}\left(\Delta x\right)^{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}u_{xt}\Delta x\Delta t + \dots$$

$$u(x_{j\pm 1}, t_{n+1}) = u(x_{j}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + u_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta t) \pm u_{x}\Delta x + \frac{1}{2}u_{tt}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}\left(\Delta x\right)^{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}u_{xt}\Delta x\Delta t + \dots$$

This gives truncation error:

$$T_{j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \underbrace{(u_{t} - u_{xx})}_{=0} + \left[(\frac{1}{2} - \theta) \Delta t u_{xxt} - \frac{1}{12} (\Delta x)^{2} u_{xxxx} \right] + \frac{1}{4!} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta \right) \Delta t u_{xxxt} (\Delta x)^{2} + O(\Delta t)^{2} + O((\Delta x)^{2})$$

Note that when $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, the truncation error is second order in both time and space. This is called the Czzrank-Nicolson scheme. Now the natural question is what is the stability of the this θ -method. We have:

- $0 \le \theta \le \frac{1}{2}$: stable $\iff \nu < \frac{1}{2}(1-2\theta)^{-1}$
- $\frac{1}{2} \le \theta \le 1$: stable for all ν

Thus the Crank-Nicolson scheme is unconditionally stable.