

1 March 23rd, 2021

1.1 Convergence of Preconditioned Steepest Descent

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+1} - x_*\|_A &= \min_{x \in x_k + \text{span}\{P^{-1}r_k\}} \|x - x_*\|_A \\
&= \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \|x_k + \alpha P^{-1}(b - Ax_k) - x_*\|_A \\
&= \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \|x_k - \alpha P^{-1}A(x_k - x_*) - x_*\|_A \\
&= \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I - \alpha P^{-1}A)(x_k - x_*)\|_A \\
&= \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_1, p(0)=1} \|p(P^{-1}A)(x_k - x_*)\|_A \\
&\leq \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_1, p(0)=1} \|p(P^{-1}A)\|_A \cdot \|x_k - x_*\|_A.
\end{aligned}$$

As we have proven before, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p(P^{-1}A)\|_A &= \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}p(P^{-1}A)A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \\
&= \|p(A^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}})\|_2 \\
&= \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |p(\lambda_i(A^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}))|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since:

$$\{\lambda_i(AB) : i \in 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{0\} = \{\lambda_i(BA) : i \in 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{0\}$$

i.e. the non-zero eigenvalues of AB are the same as BA , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p(P^{-1}A)\|_A &= \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |\lambda_i(A^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}})| \\
&= \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |p(\lambda_i(P^{-1}A))|.
\end{aligned}$$

As such, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+1} - x_*\|_A &\leq \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_1, p(0)=1} \|p(P^{-1}A)\|_A \cdot \|x_k - x_*\|_A \\
&\leq \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_1, p(0)=1} \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |p(\lambda_i(P^{-1}A))| \cdot \|x_k - x_*\|_A \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\gamma(P^{-1}A) - 1}{\gamma(P^{-1}A) + 1} \right) \|x_k - x_*\|_A.
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\gamma(P^{-1}A) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}A)}{\lambda_{\min}(P^{-1}A)}$

Remark 1.1 — The analysis is similar to the steepest descent, but just with $kP^{-1}A$ instead of A .

By a change of variable:

$$\tilde{r}_k = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k, \quad \tilde{x}_k = P^{\frac{1}{2}}x_k, \quad \tilde{A} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}AP^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{b} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}b$$

if we apply steepest descent to $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{r}_k &= \tilde{b} - \tilde{A}\tilde{r}_k = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}b - P^{-\frac{1}{2}}AP^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_k \\ &= P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(b - AP^{-1}x_k) \\ &= P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\alpha}_k &= \frac{\langle \tilde{r}_k, \tilde{r}_k \rangle}{\langle \tilde{A}\tilde{r}_k, \tilde{r}_k \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k, P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k \rangle}{\langle P^{-\frac{1}{2}}AP^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k, P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle P^{-1}r_k, r_k \rangle}{\langle AP^{-1}r_k, P^{-1}r_k \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle r_k, d_k \rangle}{\langle Ad_k, d_k \rangle} = \alpha_k.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \tilde{x}_k + \alpha_k \tilde{r}_k \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}}x_{k+1} &= P^{\frac{1}{2}}x_k + \alpha_k P^{-\frac{1}{2}}r_k \\ &= P^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_k + \alpha_k P^{-1}r_k) \\ \iff x_{k+1} &= x_k + \alpha_k P^{-1}r_k = x_k + \alpha_k d_k.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2 — This means that solving the steepest descent for $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$, we have the preconditioned steepest descent for $Ax = b$ with preconditioner P .

Thus the convergence of Preconditioned Steepest Descent depends on:

$$\gamma(\tilde{A}) = \gamma(P^{-1}A)$$

1.2 Finding a Good Preconditioner P

A good preconditioner should satisfy:

- P should be SPD (otherwise the norm constraint will not be satisfied)
- The solution of $Pd = r$ should be easy to compute.
- $P^{-1}A$ should have a small condition number (or, roughly, $P^{-1}A \approx I$ since I has the smallest condition number). This gives us $P \approx A$.

Remark 1.3 — If $P = A$, then $Pd = r$ is not easy to solve, thus we need to strike a balance.

Example 1.4

We can choose $P = D$, the diagonal of A .

1.3 Preconditioned CG (PCG)

PCG is the most popular algorithm for SPD matrix. In standard CG, we choose:

$$K = \text{span}\{r_k, d_{k-1}\}$$

similarly, in PCG, we choose:

$$K = \text{span}\{P^{-1}r_k, d_{k-1}\}.$$

Remark 1.5 — d_{k-1} is the momentum component $(x_k - x_{k-1})$, thus we still keep the same.

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{cases} d_k = P^{-1}r_k + \beta_k d_{k-1} & \text{where } \beta_k = -\frac{\langle P^{-1}r_k, Ad_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle Ad_{k-1}, d_{k-1} \rangle} \\ x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k & \text{where } \alpha_k = \frac{\langle d_k, r_k \rangle}{\langle Ad_k, d_k \rangle} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.6 — The analysis is the same, except r_k is replace by $P^{-1}r_k$.

This gives us Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient (PCG)

```

1: for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  do
2:    $r_k = b - Ax_k$ 
3:    $\beta_k = -\frac{\langle P^{-1}r_k, Ad_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle Ad_{k-1}, d_{k-1} \rangle}$ 
4:    $d_k = P^{-1}r_k + \beta_k d_{k-1}$ 
5:    $\alpha_k = \frac{\langle d_k, r_k \rangle}{\langle Ad_k, d_k \rangle}$ 
6:    $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$ 
7: end for
```

We can once again introduce intermediate variable to improve the computational cost, giving us Algorithm 2 by letting $p_k = Ad_k$, $s_k = P^{-1}r_k$. Thus, we have:

$$r_{k+1} = b - Ax_{k+1} = b - A(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) = r_k - \alpha_k p_k$$

This has computational cost:

- 1 mat-vec product of A
- Solve 1 linear equation of P
- Operations of $O(n)$

Algorithm 2 Improved Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient (PCG)

```

1:  $r_0 = b - Ax_0$ 
2:  $p_{-1} = 0$ 
3:  $d_{-1} = 0$ 
4: for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  do
5:   Solve  $s_k$  from  $Ps_k = r_k$ 
6:    $\beta_k = -\frac{\langle s_k, p_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle p_{k-1}, d_{k-1} \rangle}$ 
7:    $d_k = s_k + \beta_k d_{k-1}$ 
8:    $p_k = Ad_k$ 
9:    $\alpha_k = \frac{\langle d_k, r_k \rangle}{\langle p_k, d_k \rangle}$ 
10:   $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$ 
11:   $r_{k+1} = r_k - \alpha_k p_k$ 
12: end for

```

1.4 Convergence of PCG

By change of variable:

$$\tilde{r}_k = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} r_k, \quad \tilde{b} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} b, \quad \tilde{x}_k = P^{\frac{1}{2}} x_k, \quad \tilde{A} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} A P^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{d}_k = P^{\frac{1}{2}} d_k, \quad \tilde{x} = P^{\frac{1}{2}} x$$

we will see that:

$$\text{CG for solving } \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b} \iff \text{PCG for solving } Ax = b \text{ with preconditioner } P$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{k+1}^{\sim} &= \arg \min_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{x}_0 + K_k} \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_*\|_{\tilde{A}} \quad \text{where } K_k = \text{span}\{\tilde{r}_0, P^{-\frac{1}{2}} A P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{r}_0, \dots\} \\
\iff x_{k+1} &= \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + K_{P,k}} \|x - x_*\|_A \quad \text{where } K_{P,k} = \text{span}\{r_0, P^{-1} A r_0, \dots\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the convergence is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_* - x_k\|_A &\leq \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0)=1} \|p(P^{-1}A)\|_A \|x_* - x_0\|_A \\
&= \min_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k, p(0)=1} \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |p(\lambda_i(P^{-1}A))| \cdot \|x_* - x_0\|_A.
\end{aligned}$$