132 & Norton's Theorem For the same goal as that of Thevenin's Theorem, here we choose to attach a testing independent voltage source to a possibly complex circuit: The Viest and leverage superposition set internal sources = 0 Set Vtest = 0

Set Vtest = 0

Nest

Then $1t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_0 = -\lambda_{sc} + \frac{1}{Rt}$ Souther = 0

Nest

Then $1t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_0 = -\lambda_{sc} + \frac{1}{Rt}$ =) Nt + Nsc - Vtest = 0 Think of above in terms of KCL, then, equivalently the original circuit is like isc D FRE! + Vtest where kcl is applied. And Rt = RTH, since we applied some procedure of decomposition as we did in Thévenin's Theorem

Relation between P33 Norton's Equivalent Circuit orem, Thévenin's Equivalent Circuit: Ent t: 13C D ZR+=RTH VI D+ RTH V2=VTH Lion since Vi = Vz, we have isc·Rt = V2 = VTH (recall that VTH = Voc Page 28) $\exists Rt = \frac{V_{7H}}{J_{5c}} = \frac{V_{0c}}{J_{5c}}$ in other word, 等效電阻 - 開路電壓 Example: find V=? approach o: voltage divider 3V () 152 3252 V V= 3× 1/2 = 2 V approach (3): Norton's Theorem approach @ The venin's Theorem $\sqrt{TH} = 2V$ $\sqrt{S} = VTH = 2V$ = 3A $Rt = \frac{1}{1+2} = \frac{3}{3} = 2V$ lure v=3×3=2V orem ⇒ these three agree in one.

P34 Example: find Vxy = ? 3A D 312 (25) 3252 Using Norton's Theorem, we have: D. ZRt vxy Now, to calculate Rt: y $R_t = (|x|/|2x) + (4x|/|2x)$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{$ To calculate isc, we may use superposition: isc = isc, + isc2 isc = isc, + isc2 isc = isc + isc2 isc = isc2 isc = isc2 isAlternatively, we may compute Vxy directly, using the node analysis KCL at node A: $3 + \frac{0 - V_1}{1} + \frac{5 - V_1}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow V_1 = \frac{11}{3}$ KCL at node B: $\frac{5-v_2}{4} + \frac{0-v_2}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow v_2 = \frac{5}{3}$ $V_{xy} = V_1 - V_2 = \frac{17}{3} - \frac{5}{3} = 2V_{xy}$

P35 From the above example, we see that in order to use Norton's Theorem correctly, we need to be very coreful when determining the short-circuit current isc. KCL is a great tool here to help us. To be specific, when we were determining Ascz in the previous example: The above twe equalities must hold, and we can use one of them to calculate Ascz and then use the other one to verify our answer. equivalently Hence, 10 = 5 = 5 A + A and B $A_2 = \frac{5}{2} \times \frac{2}{1+1} = \frac{5}{3}A$ $A_4 = \frac{5}{2} \times \frac{1}{1+2} = \frac{5}{6}A$ 12 31 1325

P36 Interestingly, if we have the following circuit instead, the current flowing from node C to node D would be non-zero: You can verify, as at 25 that $icp = -\frac{3}{5}A$ You can verify, as an exercise, In essence, the condition to have no current flowing from node X to node Y is to have the node voltage at node X equal to that at node Y. Starting from ve here and using the symbolic computation, you might rediscover the Wheatstone Bridge circuit:) One final note on circuit transformation: When we are using either Thévenin's Theorem or Norton's Theorem to transform a circuit into its equivalence, it is possible that we might define the Voc or isc variable in the direction opposite to what's really happening in the circuit, since for a complex circuit we might not readily perceive the real direction of the voltage or current. But that's fine, because our computed result will have a negative sign if the direction was wrong. Example: determine Vxy in these two cases: case Θ is $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{$ (ans: Txy = -3 V) (ans: Vxy= 1V)