

# 1 Computational Complexity

$(\epsilon, \delta)$  differentially private *partition selection* is considerably more efficient and easier to implement than current state-of-the-art DP prefix-based query methods. To support large scale applications of our *TraVaS* framework, we will briefly discuss the most important complexity considerations of all associated algorithms (see our paper).

In the preprocessing phase of both the *TraVaS* core and the optimizer shell *uTraVaS*, an event log  $L$  needs to be transformed into its aggregated simple form, holding only variant-frequency pairs  $(\sigma, L(\sigma))$ . This operation can be described as a Group-By-Count query that consumes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  steps with  $n$  being the number of cases in  $L$ . Although large input logs may lead to runtime bottlenecks, the aggregation must only be executed once independent of further privatization processes. The *TraVaS* core then requires i.i.d  $k$ -TSGD random samples and variant deletion checks for all  $m$  unique variants of  $L$ . Hence, we face a separate maximum complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  with each *TraVaS* run. Eventually, our extension *uTraVaS* calls  $u$  *TraVaS* instances, implicating a worst-case complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(u^2 \cdot m)$  according to the *Gaussian* sum formula. In addition, the same number of steps are needed for all merging and mean operations to obtain the respective candidate logs. Together with  $u$  utility computations and the final argmax function, Algorithm 2 (*uTraVaS*) therefore runs with a cumulative complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(u^2 \cdot m + n)$ . Note, that the cost function might possess a larger complexity than the *TraVaS* body.

One compelling advantage of our algorithm design is that only the initial event log transformation represents a sequential task. All subsequent routines such as the sublog construction, the merging or the cost evaluation can be perfectly parallelized on multiple computing units. As a result, industrial applications may partially compensate large inputs by stronger technology backbones.

## 1.1 Algorithms

In the following, we list both *TraVaS* algorithms (*TraVaS* and *uTraVaS*) for the sake of completeness.

---

### Algorithm 1: Differentially Private Trace Variant Selection (TraVaS)

---

```

Input: Event log  $L$ , DP-Parameters  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ 
Output:  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP log  $L'$ 
1 function travas ( $L, \epsilon, \delta$ )
2    $p = 1 - e^{-\epsilon}$                                      // compute probability
3    $k = \lceil 1/\epsilon \times \ln((e^\epsilon + 2\delta - 1)/(\delta(e^\epsilon + 1))) \rceil$  // compute threshold
4   forall  $(\sigma, L(\sigma)) \in L$  do
5      $x_\sigma = \text{rTSGD}(p, k)$                              // generate i.i.d k-TSGD noise
6     if  $L(\sigma) + x_\sigma > k$  then
7       add  $(\sigma, L(\sigma) + x_\sigma)$  to  $L'$ 
8   return  $L'$ 

```

---

---

**Algorithm 2:** Utility-Aware TraVaS (uTraVaS)

---

**Input:** Event log  $L$ , DP-Parameters  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ , Subquery bound  $u$   
**Output:**  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP log  $L'$

```
1 function optimize ( $L, \epsilon, \delta, u$ )
2   forall  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  do
3     create  $i$  empty sublogs:  $\{sL_1, sL_2, \dots, sL_i\}$  // initialize
4     forall  $j \in \{1, \dots, i-1, i\}$  do
5        $\sqsubset$  add  $\text{travas}(L, \epsilon/i, \delta/i)$  to  $sL_j$  // run TraVaS
6     add all unique variants  $\sigma$  from sublogs to a simple log  $pL'$ 
7     forall  $\sigma \in pL'$  do
8       forall  $sL \in \text{sublogs}$  do
9         if  $\sigma \in sL$  then
10           $f_\sigma = sL(\sigma)$  // get frequency from sublog
11        else
12           $f_\sigma = \text{freqEstimate}(\epsilon, \delta)$  // estimate frequency
13         $\sqsubset$  update  $pL'(\sigma)$  to  $pL'(\sigma) + f_\sigma$  in  $pL'$ 
14      update  $pL'(\sigma)$  to  $pL'(\sigma) / i$  in  $pL'$  // compute mean
15    add  $pL'$  to the set pool. // optimization domain
16   $L' = \underset{pL' \in \text{pool}}{\text{argmax utility}(L, pL')}$  // optimize data utility
17  return  $L'$ 
```

---

## 1.2 Note of Authorship

This document is part of the supplementary material created for the paper "TraVaS: Differentially Private Trace Variant Selection for Process Mining", written by Majid Rafiei, Frederik Wangelik and Wil M.P. Van der Aalst. Please contact [frederik.wangelik@rwth-aachen.de](mailto:frederik.wangelik@rwth-aachen.de) for further information.