## Derivation of the Real-rootedness of Coordinator Polynomials from the Hermite–Biehler Theorem

Matthew H.Y. Xie<sup>1</sup> and Philip B. Zhang<sup>2</sup>

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Center for Applied Mathematics Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

<sup>1</sup>xiehongye@163.com, <sup>2</sup>zhangbiaonk@163.com

**Abstract.** By using the Hermite–Biehler theorem, we give a new proof of the real-rootedness of the coordinator polynomials of type D, which was recently established by Wang and Zhao. As a consequence, we also obtain the compatibility between the coordinator polynomials of type D and those of type C.

AMS Classification 2010: 26C10, 30C15, 05A15

*Keywords:* coordinator polynomials, real-rootedness, the Hermite–Biehler theorem, compatibility.

Corresponding author: Philip B. Zhang, zhangbiaonk@163.com

## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the real-rootedness of the following polynomials

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {2n \choose 2k} z^k + 2nz(1+z)^{n-2}, \tag{1}$$

which arose in the theory of coordinator polynomials of Weyl group lattices developed by Conway and Sloane [6]. These polynomials are known as the coordinator polynomials of type  $D_n$ , denoted  $h_{D_n}(z)$ . Wang and Zhao [13] proved that for any  $n \geq 2$  the polynomial  $h_{D_n}(z)$  has only real roots. Their proof uses a technique of trigonometric substitution. The main objective of this paper is to give a new proof of the real-rootedness of  $h_{D_n}(z)$  by using

the Hermite-Biehler theorem. Our proof is motivated by the Hermite-Biehler theorem approach to the real-rootedness of the coordinator polynomials of type  $C_n$  given by

$$h_{C_n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} z^k. \tag{2}$$

As a result of our approach, we get the compatibility between  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$  in the sense of Chudnovsky and Seymour [5].

Let us first review some background on the coordinator polynomials  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$ . For more information on the coordinator polynomials of root lattices, see [1, 2, 6] and references therein. Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers, and let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the field of real numbers. Let

$$M_{C_n} = \{ \pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \} \cup \{ \pm 2\mathbf{e}_i \mid 1 \le i \le n \},$$
  
$$M_{D_n} = \{ \pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  denotes the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the *i*th entry one and all other entries zero. It is clear that both  $M_{C_n}$  and  $M_{D_n}$  generate the same root lattice

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \, \big| \, \sum x_i \text{ is even} \right\}$$

as a monoid. For each  $u \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $w_{C_n}(u)$  denote the word length of u with respect to  $M_{C_n}$  given by

$$w_{C_n}(u) = \min \left\{ \sum c_i \mid u = \sum c_i \mathbf{a}_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{a}_i \in M_{C_n} \right\}.$$

In the same manner, we can define the word length of u with respect to  $M_{D_n}$ , denoted  $w_{D_n}(u)$ . The coordinator polynomials are related to the generating functions for word lengths over the root lattice  $\mathcal{L}$ . Baake and Grimm [2] conjectured that

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{L}} z^{w_{C_n}(u)} = \frac{h_{C_n}(z)}{(1-z)^n},$$

and Conway and Sloane [6] conjectured that

$$\sum_{u \in I} z^{w_{D_n}(u)} = \frac{h_{D_n}(z)}{(1-z)^n}.$$

Subsequently, Bacher et al. [3] confirmed these two conjectures. For other proofs, see Ardila et al. [1].

Recently, the real-rootedness of the coordinator polynomials  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$  has drawn attention. As pointed out by Wang and Zhao [13], there are at least two ways to prove that  $h_{C_n}(z)$  has only real roots, one using the theory of total positivity, and the other using the theory of Sturm sequences. This paper is motivated by another proof of the real-rootedness of  $h_{C_n}(z)$  by using the Hermite–Biehler theorem, which we shall recall below.

The Hermite–Biehler theorem is a basic result in the Routh–Hurwitz theory [11, 12], which provides a criterion for determining the Hurwitz stability of a polynomial. Recall that a polynomial P(z) is said to be Hurwitz stable (respectively, weakly Hurwitz stable) if  $P(z) \neq 0$  whenever  $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$  (respectively, Re(z) > 0), where Re(z) denotes the real part of z. Suppose that

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k.$$

Let

$$P^{E}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} a_{2k} z^{k}$$
 and  $P^{O}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} a_{2k+1} z^{k}$ . (3)

As will be shown in the Hermite-Biehler theorem, the stability of P(z) is closely related to the interlacing property between  $P^E(z)$  and  $P^O(z)$ . Given two real-rooted polynomials f(z) and g(z) with positive leading coefficients, let  $\{r_i\}$  be the set of zeros of f(z) and  $\{s_j\}$  the set of zeros of g(z). We say that g(z) interlaces f(z), denoted  $g(z) \leq f(z)$ , if either deg  $f(z) = \deg g(z) = n$  and

$$s_n \le r_n \le s_{n-1} \le \dots \le s_2 \le r_2 \le s_1 \le r_1,$$
 (4)

or  $\deg f(z) = \deg g(z) + 1 = n$  and

$$r_n \le s_{n-1} \le \dots \le s_2 \le r_2 \le s_1 \le r_1.$$
 (5)

If all inequalities in (4) or (5) are strict, then we say that g(z) strictly interlaces f(z), denoted  $g(z) \prec f(z)$ . The Hermite–Biehler theorem is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** ([4, Theorem 4.1]). Let P(z) be a polynomial with real coefficients, and let  $P^{E}(z)$  and  $P^{O}(z)$  be defined as in (3). Suppose that  $P^{E}(z)P^{O}(z) \not\equiv 0$ . Then P(z) is Hurwitz stable (respectively, weakly Hurwitz stable) if and only if  $P^{E}(z)$  and  $P^{O}(z)$  have only real and negative (respectively, non-positive) zeros, and  $P^{O}(z) \prec P^{E}(z)$  (respectively,  $P^{O}(z) \preceq P^{E}(z)$ ).

The Hermite–Biehler theorem has been widely used to study the real-rootedness of polynomials. Csordas et al. [8] utilized the Hermite–Biehler theorem to confirm a conjecture on the real-rootedness of some polynomials related to a class of Jacobi polynomials, which was proposed while developing a numerical solution for the Navier-Stokes equations. Craven and Csordas [7] applied stability analysis, in conjunction with the Hermite-Biehler theorem, to proving that certain Mittag-Leffler-type functions have only real zeros. By using the Hermite-Biehler theorem, Brändén [4] gave characterizations of two non-linear operators which send polynomials with only real and non-positive zeros to polynomials of the same kind.

To apply the Hermite-Biehler theorem to proving the real-rootedness of  $h_{C_n}(z)$ , in view of (2), we only need to take

$$P(z) = (1+z)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {2n \choose k} z^{k}.$$

It is clear that P(z) is Hurwitz stable and  $h_{C_n}(z) = P^E(z)$ .

Although the expression of  $h_{D_n}(z)$  looks very similar to that of and  $h_{C_n}(z)$ , it is not an easy task to prove that  $h_{D_n}(z)$  has only real zeros. By a technique of substituting the variable z by a trigonometric function, Wang and Zhao [13] managed to prove the real-rootedness of  $h_{D_n}(z)$ . Considering the similarity of (1) and (2), it is natural to ask whether the real-rootedness of  $h_{D_n}(z)$  has a proof using the Hermite–Biehler theorem. In the next section, we shall give such a proof.

## 2 Real-rootedness and compatibility

The main objective of this section is to prove the following result by using the Hermite–Biehler theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** ([13, Theorem 2.1]). For any  $n \geq 2$ , the polynomial  $h_{D_n}(z)$  has only real zeros.

*Proof.* To use the Hermite–Biehler theorem, as indicated in the proof of the real-rootedness of  $h_{C_n}(z)$ , we shall take

$$P(z) = (1+z)^{2n} - 2nz^{2}(1+z^{2})^{n-2}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} {2n \choose k} z^{k} - 2nz^{2}(1+z^{2})^{n-2},$$

and whence  $h_{D_n}(z) = P^E(z)$ .

We proceed to show the Hurwitz stability of P(z). It is clear that  $P(0) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z \neq 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{split} P(z) &= (1+z)^{2n} - 2nz^2(1+z^2)^{n-2} \\ &= (1+2z+z^2)^n - 2nz^2(1+z^2)^{n-2} \\ &= 2^n z^n \left( \left( \frac{z+1/z}{2} + 1 \right)^n - \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{z+1/z}{2} \right)^{n-2} \right). \end{split}$$

Moreover, it is routine to verify that  $\text{Re}((z+1/z)/2) \geq 0$  if and only if  $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove the Hurwitz stability of the polynomial

$$Q(z) = (z+1)^n - \frac{n}{2}z^{n-2}.$$

Suppose that  $Re(z) \ge 0$ . We need to show that  $Q(z) \ne 0$ . By the triangle inequality, we have

$$|Q(z)| \ge |z+1|^n - \frac{n}{2}|z|^{n-2}.$$

Note that the assumption  $Re(z) \ge 0$  implies that

$$|z+1| \ge \sqrt{|z|^2 + 1}.$$

Thus, we get

$$|Q(z)| \ge \left(\sqrt{|z|^2 + 1}\right)^n - \frac{n}{2}|z|^{n-2}.$$

Now it suffices to prove that

$$\left(\left(\sqrt{|z|^2+1}\right)^n\right)^2 > \left(\frac{n}{2}|z|^{n-2}\right)^2,$$

namely,

$$(|z|^2+1)^n > \frac{n^2}{4}|z|^{2n-4}.$$

Expanding the left hand side by the binomial theorem, we find that for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(|z|^2+1)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |z|^{2k} > \binom{n}{n-2} |z|^{2(n-2)} \ge \frac{n^2}{4} |z|^{2n-4}.$$

Therefore, |Q(z)| > 0 if  $Re(z) \ge 0$ . This means that Q(z) is Hurwitz stable, so is P(z). By the Hermite–Biehler theorem, we obtain the real-rootedness of  $h_{D_n}(z)$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark.* Following the lines of the above proof, it is easy to show that, for any  $n \ge 2$  and  $|r| \le 2\sqrt{2n(n-1)}$ , the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {2n \choose 2k} z^k + rz(1+z)^{n-2}, \tag{6}$$

has only real zeros. In this case, we only need to take

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {2n \choose k} z^k + rz^2 (1+z^2)^{n-2}.$$

The Hermite–Biehler theorem approach to the real-rootedness of  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$  also leads us to the discovery of their compatibility. The notion of compatibility was introduced by Chudnovsky and Seymour [5] in the study of the real-rootedness of independence polynomials of claw-free graphs. Given two real-rooted polynomials f(z) and g(z) with positive leading coefficients, they are said to be compatible if for all real  $a, b \geq 0$ , the polynomial af(z) + bg(z) has only real zeros. The compatibility also has a characterization in terms of certain interlacing property of polynomials. We say that f(z) and g(z) have a common interleaver if there exists another real-rooted polynomial h(z) such that  $f(z) \leq h(z)$  and  $g(z) \leq h(z)$ . The following lemma is a special case of a result of Chudnovsky and Seymour [5].

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that f(z) and g(z) have only real zeros. Then f(z) and g(z) are compatible if and only if they have a common interleaver.

It should be mentioned that in the special case  $\deg f(z) = \deg g(z)$ , the above result has been proved by Dedieu [9]; see also Fisk [10, Chapter 1].

With the above results, we now proceed to show the compatibility between  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$ .

Corollary 2.3. For  $n \geq 2$ , the polynomials  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$  are compatible.

*Proof.* Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {2n \choose 2k+1} z^k.$$

As before, applying the Hermite-Biehler theorem to  $P(z) = (1+z)^{2n}$ , we obtain that  $h_{C_n}(z) \prec g(z)$ . If P(z) is taken to be

$$(1+z)^{2n} - 2nz^2(1+z^2)^{n-2},$$

then we get that  $h_{D_n}(z) \prec g(z)$ . Therefore,  $h_{C_n}(z)$  and  $h_{D_n}(z)$  have a common interleaver g(z). By Lemma 2.2, these two polynomials are compatible. This completes the proof.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PC-SIRT Project of the Ministry of Education and the National Science Foundation of China.

## References

- [1] F. Ardila, M. Beck, S. Hoşten, J. Pfeifle, and K. Seashore, Root polytopes and growth series of root lattices, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 25 (2011), 360–378.
- [2] M. Baake and U. Grimm, Coordination sequences for root lattices and related graphs, Z. Krist., 212 (1997), 253–256.

- [3] R. Bacher, P. de la Harpe, and B. Venkov, Séries de croissance et séries d'Ehrhart associées aux réseaux de racines, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 325 (1997), 1137–1142.
- [4] P. Brändén, Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros, J. Reine Angew. Math., 658 (2011), 115–131.
- [5] M. Chudnovsky and P. Seymour, The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 97 (2007), 350–357.
- [6] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, Low-dimensional lattices. VII. Coordination sequences, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 453 (1997), 2369– 2389.
- [7] T. Craven and G. Csordas, The Fox-Wright functions and Laguerre multiplier sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 314 (2006), 109–125.
- [8] G. Csordas, M. Charalambides, and F. Waleffe, A new property of a class of Jacobi polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), 3551–3560 (electronic).
- [9] J.-P. Dedieu, Obreschkoff's theorem revisited: what convex sets are contained in the set of hyperbolic polynomials?, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 81 (1992), 269–278.
- [10] S. Fisk, Polynomials, Roots, and Interlacing, arXiv:math/0612833 [math.CA].
- [11] O. Holtz, Hermite-Biehler, Routh-Hurwitz, and total positivity, Linear Algebra Appl., 372 (2003), 105–110.
- [12] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Analytic Theory of Polynomials, vol. 26 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [13] D. G. L. Wang and T. Zhao, The real-rootedness and log-concavities of coordinator polynomials of Weyl group lattices, European J. Combin., 34 (2013), 490–494.