

Introduction to Lorentzian polynomials

张彪

天津师范大学
zhang@tjnu.edu.cn

2020 年 8 月 19 日

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

Matroid

Let E be a finite set and $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^E$. A **matroid** M is an ordered pair (E, \mathcal{I}) satisfying

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$;
- (2) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in \mathcal{I}$; (hereditary property)
- (3) If $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|B| > |A|$, then $\exists x \in B$ such that $A \cup x \in \mathcal{I}$. (exchange property)

The set E is said to be the **ground set**. A set $A \in \mathcal{I}$ is called an **independent set**.

For example, if E is a finite set of vectors in some vector space, then the collection of linearly independent vectors from E form the independent sets of a matroid.

Example	Ground set	Independent set
Graphic matroid	edge set of a graph	forest (no cycle)
Uniform matroid $U_{m,d}$	a finite set $[m + d]$	subset of cardinality $\leq d$
Representable matroid	a set of vectors over a field	linearly independent vectors

In particular, the uniform matroid $U_{0,d}$ is the Boolean matroid.

Rank and submodularity

Let M be a matroid on E with independent sets \mathcal{I} , and $X, Y \subseteq E$.

Definition

The **rank** of X is the maximum size of an independent set in X .

We denote rank by $r(X)$.

The rank function is **monotonic**:

$$X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow r(X) \leq r(Y)$$

and **submodular**:

$$r(X \cup Y) + r(X \cap Y) \leq r(X) + r(Y)$$

Flats of a matroid

Definition

Let M be a matroid on ground set E , and $F \subseteq E$, then F is a **flat** if for every $x \in E \setminus F$

$$r(F) < r(F \cup x)$$

For a vector configuration, the flats correspond to the spans of subsets of vectors. The set of flats of M forms a **lattice**, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}(M)$.

Characteristic polynomial of a matroid

The characteristic polynomial of a matroid M is defined to be

$$\chi_M(t) = \sum_{F \in L(M)} \mu(\emptyset, F) t^{r(M) - r(F)}$$

or

$$\chi_M(t) = \sum_{S \subseteq E} (-1)^{|S|} t^{r(M) - r(S)}.$$

Example

For a graph G , $\chi_{M(G)}(t) = t^{-c} \chi_G(t)$, where $\chi_G(t)$ is the *chromatic polynomial* of G and c is the number of connected components of G .

Log-concavity

A polynomial

$$f(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_n t^n$$

with real coefficients is said to be **log-concave** if

$$a_i^2 \geq a_{i-1} a_{i+1}$$

for any $0 < i < n$, and it is said to **have no internal zeros** if there are not three indices $0 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ such that $a_i, a_k \neq 0$ and $a_j = 0$.



Richard P. Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. In: Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986). Vol. 576. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989, 500—535.



Francesco Brenti, Log-concave and Unimodal sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry: an update, Contemporary Math., 178 (1994), 71-89.



Andrei Okounkov. Why would multiplicities be log-concave? In: The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000). Vol. 213. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003, 329—347

Conjecture (Heron (1972), Rota (1971), Welsh (1976))

For any matroid M , the characteristic polynomial $\chi_M(t)$ is a log-concave polynomial with no internal zeros.

Solved.

The proof of log-concavity follows from an application of the [Hodge-Riemann relations in degree one](#) (one positive eigenvalue condition).



June Huh(许峻珥), Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 25 (2012), 907–927.



Karim Adiprasito, June Huh, and Eric Katz. Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 188 (2018), 381–452.



Tom Braden, June Huh, Jacob P. Matherne, Nicholas Proudfoot, Botong Wang(王博潼), A semi-small decomposition of the Chow ring of a matroid, *arXiv:2002.03341*.

Conjecture (Mason(1972))

For any matroid M on $[n]$ and any positive integer k

- (i) $I_k(M)^2 \geq I_{k-1}(M)I_{k+1}(M)$,
- (ii) $I_k(M)^2 \geq \frac{k+1}{k} I_{k-1}(M)I_{k+1}(M)$,
- (iii) $I_k(M)^2 \geq \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{n-k+1}{n-k} I_{k-1}(M)I_{k+1}(M)$, i.e. $\frac{I_k(M)^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{I_{k+1}(M)}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \frac{I_{k-1}(M)}{\binom{n}{k-1}}$

where $I_k(M)$ is the number of k -element independent sets of M .

(i) was proved in

- Karim Adiprasito, June Huh, and Eric Katz, Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 188 (2018), no. 2, 381–452.

(ii) was prove in

- June Huh, Benjamin Schroter and Botong Wang, Correlation bounds for fields and matroids. [arXiv:1806.02675](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02675).

(iii), called **ultra log-concavity**,

$$\frac{I_k(M)^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{I_{k+1}(M)}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \frac{I_{k-1}(M)}{\binom{n}{k-1}}$$

was proved by

- Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant, Log-Concave Polynomials III: Mason's Ultra-Log-Concavity Conjecture for Independent Sets of Matroids, arXiv:1811.01600.
- Petter Brändén, June Huh, Hodge-Riemann relations for Potts model partition functions, arXiv:1811.01696
- Petter Brändén, June Huh, Lorentzian polynomials, Annals of Mathematics 192 (2020), to appear. arXiv:1902.03719.

The equality holds for the Boolean matroid, which is the uniform matroid of rank n on an n element ground set.

A generalization was in

- Christopher Eur, June Huh, Logarithmic concavity for morphisms of matroids, Advances in Mathematics 367 (2020), 107094.

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

Newton's inequalities

Newton's inequalities on the coefficients of a polynomial look very similar to Mason's inequalities.

To state these inequalities, let $P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + \alpha_i y)$ be a bivariate homogeneous polynomial with all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can write the coefficients in the expansion of $P(x, y)$ using the elementary symmetric functions as

$$P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + \alpha_i y) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x^{n-k} y^k$$

Briefly, let e_k denote $e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then, Newton's Inequalities say that for all $0 < k < n$, we have

$$\frac{e_k^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{e_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \cdot \frac{e_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \quad (1)$$

Newton's inequalities

Newton's inequalities on the coefficients of a polynomial look very similar to Mason's inequalities.

To state these inequalities, let $P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + \alpha_i y)$ be a bivariate homogeneous polynomial with all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can write the coefficients in the expansion of $P(x, y)$ using the elementary symmetric functions as

$$P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + \alpha_i y) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x^{n-k} y^k$$

Briefly, let e_k denote $e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then, Newton's Inequalities say that for all $0 < k < n$, we have

$$\frac{e_k^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{e_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \cdot \frac{e_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, the partial derivatives $\partial_x P(x, y)$ and $\partial_y P(x, y)$ both factor into a product of linear factors with real coefficients of the same form, so we can continue to apply partial derivatives until we get a quadratic polynomial.

It is easy to show

$$\partial_y^{k-1} \partial_x^{n-k-1} P(x, y) = n! \left(\frac{e_{k-1} x^2}{\binom{n}{k}} + \frac{2e_k xy}{\binom{n}{k}} + \frac{e_{k+1} y^2}{\binom{n}{k}} \right)$$

since $\partial_y^{k-1} \partial_x^{n-k-1} P(x, y)$ at $y = 1$ has only real roots, the discriminant of this quadratic is nonnegative which implies (1).

The Hessian of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $H_f = (\partial_i \partial_j f)_{i,j=1}^n$.

If we let $\tilde{e}_k = e_k / \binom{n}{k}$, then the Hessian of

$$Q =: \partial_y^{k-1} \partial_x^{n-k-1} P(x, y) = n! (\tilde{e}_{k-1} x^2 + 2\tilde{e}_{k-1} xy + \tilde{e}_{k+1} y^2)$$

is

$$H_Q = 2n! \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_{k-1} & \tilde{e}_k \\ \tilde{e}_k & \tilde{e}_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observation: If $e_i \geq 0$ for all i , then H_Q has signature $(+, -)$, $(+, 0)$, or $(0, 0)$.

Proof. H_Q is a symmetric matrix with nonnegative real entries, so it has two real eigenvalues.

If H_Q is not identically zero, then it has at least one positive eigenvalue since $H_Q \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0$. (The trace of a matrix is the sum of its eigenvalues)

The eigenvalues of such a 2×2 matrix can only come in three types $(+, +)$, $(+, 0)$, or $(+, -)$. If $\det H_Q \leq 0$, then H_Q has at most one positive eigenvalue. (The determinant of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues)

More generally, for any real symmetric matrix A with nonzero eigenvalues, we say A has **Lorentz signature** $(+, -, -, \dots, -)$ if it has one positive eigenvalue and the rest are all negative.

Equivalently, if and only if the quadratic form $q = x^T A x$ may be written as

$$q = \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2 - \ell_3^2 - \dots - \ell_n^2$$

where $\ell_i = x^T v_i$ for each i , $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ is the column vector of coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials**
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

Let n and d be nonnegative integers, and set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let H_n^d be the set of degree d homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$.

We define a topology on H_n^d using the Euclidean norm for the coefficients.

Let $P_n^d \subseteq H_n^d$ be the open subset of polynomials all of whose coefficients are positive.

Definition (Lorentzian polynomials)

We set $\mathring{L}_n^0 = P_n^0$, $\mathring{L}_n^1 = P_n^1$, and

$$\mathring{L}_n^2 = \left\{ f \in P_n^2 \mid H_f \text{ is nonsingular and has exactly one positive eigenvalue} \right\}.$$

For d larger than 2, we define \mathring{L}_n^d recursively by setting

$$\mathring{L}_n^d = \left\{ f \in P_n^d \mid \partial_i f \in \mathring{L}_n^{d-1} \text{ for all } i \in [n] \right\}.$$

The polynomials in \mathring{L}_n^d are called **strictly Lorentzian**, and the limits of strictly Lorentzian polynomials are called **Lorentzian**.

Examples

Example

Let $f = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k x^k y^{d-k}$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, with all $a_k > 0$. *Under what conditions is $f \in \mathring{L}_n^2$?*

By definition, $f \in L_n^d$ if and only if every possible way to successively differentiate f down to a quadratic $Q = \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}} f \in \mathring{L}_n^2$.

This is equivalent to requiring $\det H_Q < 0$ since we have assumed $a_k > 0$ for all k .

As we saw, the condition $\det H_Q < 0$ is equivalent to saying the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n is ultra log-concave.

Note that if f is a Lorentzian polynomial, then f has no internal zeros. (later)

Example

Consider the cubic form

$$f = 2w_1^3 + 12w_1^2w_2 + 18w_1w_2^2 + \theta w_2^3,$$

where θ is a real parameter.

A straightforward computation shows that

f is Lorentzian if and only if $0 \leq \theta \leq 9$

and

f is stable if and only if $0 \leq \theta \leq 8$.

Clearly, if f is in the closure of \mathring{L}_n^d in H_n^d , then f has nonnegative coefficients and

$\partial^\alpha f$ has at most one positive eigenvalue for every $\alpha \in \Delta_n^{d-2}$.

Example

The bivariate cubic

$$f = w_1^3 + w_2^3$$

shows that the converse fails.

In this case, $\partial_1 f$ and $\partial_2 f$ are Lorentzian, but f is not Lorentzian.

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials**
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

M -convex set

Denote by e_i the i -th standard basis vector of \mathbb{N}^n .

Definition

A collection $J \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ is **M -convex** or **matroid-convex** if it satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions

- For any $\alpha, \beta \in J$ and any index i satisfying $\alpha_i > \beta_i$, there is an index j satisfying

$$\alpha_j < \beta_j \text{ and } \alpha - e_i + e_j \in J.$$

- For any $\alpha, \beta \in J$ and any index i satisfying $\alpha_i > \beta_i$, there is an index j satisfying

$$\alpha_j < \beta_j \text{ and } \alpha - e_i + e_j \in J \text{ and } \beta - e_j + e_i \in J.$$

The first condition is called the **exchange property** for M -convex sets, and the second condition is called the **symmetric exchange property** for M -convex sets.

For example, $\{(0,0),(-1,1),(-2,2)\}$ is M -convex, but $\{(0,0),(-2,2)\}$ is not.

If $J \subset \{0,1\}^n$, then J is M -convex if and only if J is the set of bases of a matroid.

The convex hull of an M -convex set is a polytope also called a **generalized permutahedron**.

The **support** of a multivariate polynomial $f = \sum a_\alpha x^\alpha$ where $x^\alpha = \prod x_i^{\alpha_i}$, is

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : a_\alpha \neq 0\}$$

Theorem

Let $f \in H_n^d$ be a homogeneous polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. Then f is Lorentzian if and only if

- ① *The support of f is M -convex.*
- ② *The Hessian of $\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}} f$ has at most one positive eigenvalue for all $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{d-2} \leq n$.*

Recall that a bivariate homogeneous polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^d a_k w_1^k w_2^{d-k}$ is strictly Lorentzian if and only if the sequence a_k is positive and strictly ultra log-concave.

Example

The above theorem says that, in this case, the polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^d a_k w_1^k w_2^{d-k}$ is Lorentzian if and only if the sequence a_k is nonnegative, ultra log-concave, and has no internal zeros.

Example

By the above theorem, it is straightforward to check that elementary symmetric polynomials are Lorentzian (stable indeed).

Define a generating polynomial for any finite subset $J \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ by

$$f_J := \sum_{\alpha \in J} \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} := \sum_{\alpha \in J} \frac{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!}$$

The property of a subset being M -convex is completely characterized by the Lorentzian property.

Theorem

If $J \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ is finite, then f_J is Lorentzian if and only if J is M -convex.

Hodge–Riemann relation for Lorentzian polynomials

Theorem

Let f be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$ of degree $d \geq 2$.

- If f is in \mathring{L}_n^d , then $H_f(w)$ is nonsingular for all $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.
- If f is in L_n^d , then $H_f(w)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue for all $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.

Note that for any nonzero degree $d \geq 2$ homogeneous polynomial f with nonnegative coefficients, the following conditions are equivalent:

- The function $f^{1/d}$ is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.
- The function $\log f$ is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.
- The Hessian of f has exactly one positive eigenvalue on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.

Let f be a polynomial in n variables with nonnegative coefficients.

Gurvits defines f to be **strongly log-concave** if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$\partial^\alpha f$ is identically zero or $\log(\partial^\alpha f)$ is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.

Anari *et al.* define f to be **completely log-concave** if, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $m \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) with nonnegative entries,

$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m D_i\right)f$ is identically zero or $\log\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^m D_i\right)f\right)$ is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$,

where D_i is the differential operator $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_j$.

Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for any homogeneous polynomial f .

- f is completely log-concave.
- f is strongly log-concave.
- f is Lorentzian.

Theorem

If $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^d} \frac{c_\alpha}{\alpha!} w^\alpha$ is a Lorentzian polynomial, then

$$c_\alpha^2 \geq c_{\alpha+e_i-e_j} c_{\alpha-e_i+e_j} \quad \text{for any } i, j \in [n] \text{ and any } \alpha \in \Delta_n^d.$$

Proof Consider the Lorentzian polynomial $\partial^{\alpha-e_i-e_j} f$. Substituting w_k by zero for all k other than i and j , we get the bivariate quadratic polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha+e_i-e_j} w_i^2 + c_\alpha w_i w_j + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha-e_i+e_j} w_j^2.$$

The displayed polynomial is Lorentzian and hence $c_\alpha^2 \geq c_{\alpha+e_i-e_j} c_{\alpha-e_i+e_j}$. ■

Linear operators preserving Lorentzian polynomials

Let κ be an element of \mathbb{N}^n , let γ be an element of \mathbb{N}^m , and set $k = |\kappa|_1$. Fix a linear operator

$$T: \mathbb{R}_\kappa[w_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\gamma[w_i],$$

and suppose that the linear operator T is *homogeneous of degree ℓ* for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(0 \leq \alpha \leq \kappa \text{ and } T(w^\alpha) \neq 0) \implies \deg T(w^\alpha) = \deg w^\alpha + \ell.$$

The **symbol** of T is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $k + \ell$ in $m + n$ variables defined by

$$\text{sym}_T(w, u) = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \kappa} \binom{\kappa}{\alpha} T(w^\alpha) u^{\kappa - \alpha}.$$

We show that the homogeneous operator T preserves the Lorentzian property if its symbol sym_T is Lorentzian.

Theorem

If $\text{sym}_T \in L_{m+n}^{k+\ell}$ and $f \in L_n^d \cap \mathbb{R}_\kappa[w_i]$, then $T(f) \in L_m^{d+\ell}$.

When $n = 2$, it provides a large class of linear operators that preserve the ultra log-concavity of sequences of nonnegative numbers with no internal zeros.

Examples

Consider the linear operator T which makes a nonnegative change of variables encoded by an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ with nonnegative entries. By the usual matrix action on polynomials,

$$T(f) = f(Ax) = f\left(\sum_j a_{1,j}x_j, \sum_j a_{2,j}x_j, \dots, \sum_j a_{n,j}x_j\right)$$

In this case, if $T: P_\kappa \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we claim T preserves the Lorentzian property. Observe,

$$G_T = T[(x_1 + y_1)^{\kappa_1} (x_2 + y_2)^{\kappa_2} \dots (x_n + y_n)^{\kappa_n}] = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(y_i + \sum_j a_{i,j}x_j \right)^{\kappa_i}$$

is homogeneous and stable since it does not vanish on the intersection of the positive imaginary halfplanes in \mathbb{C}^n . Hence G_T is Lorentzian. So, the claim holds.

We record some useful operators that preserves the Lorentzian property. The *multi-affine part* of a polynomial $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_{\alpha} w^{\alpha}$ is the polynomial $\sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n} c_{\alpha} w^{\alpha}$.

Corollary

The multi-affine part of any Lorentzian polynomial is a Lorentzian polynomial.

Let N be the linear operator defined by the condition $N(w^{\alpha}) = \frac{w^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}$. The normalization operator N turns generating functions into exponential generating functions.

Corollary

If f is a Lorentzian polynomial, then $N(f)$ is a Lorentzian polynomial.

Corollary below extends the classical fact that the convolution product of two log-concave sequences with no internal zeros is a log-concave sequence with no internal zeros.

Corollary

If $N(f)$ and $N(g)$ are Lorentzian polynomials, then $N(fg)$ is a Lorentzian polynomial.

symmetric exclusion process

If $f = f(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ is a stable multi-affine polynomial with nonnegative coefficients, then the multi-affine polynomial $\Phi_\theta^{1,2}(f)$ defined by

$$\Phi_\theta^{1,2}(f) = (1 - \theta)f(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n) + \theta f(w_2, w_1, w_3, \dots, w_n)$$

is stable for all $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

An analog for Lorentzian polynomials is stated as follows.

Corollary

Let $f = f(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ be a multi-affine polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. If the homogenization of f is a Lorentzian polynomial, then the homogenization of $\Phi_\theta^{1,2}(f)$ is a Lorentzian polynomial for all $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials**
- 6 Open problems

Examples of Lorentzian polynomials

- homogeneous stable polynomials
- volume polynomials of convex bodies
- volume polynomials of projective varieties
- homogeneous multivariate Tutte polynomials of matroids (Mason's conjecture)
- multivariate characteristic polynomials of M -matrixs
- normalized Schur polynomials

Outline

- 1 Matroid
- 2 Ultra log-concavity
- 3 Definition of Lorentzian polynomials
- 4 Theory of Lorentzian polynomials
- 5 Examples of Lorentzian polynomials
- 6 Open problems

Whitney numbers

One really challenging problem is a conjecture of Rota and Welsh.

Let W_k be the number of flats of rank k in a matroid M on a ground set of size n .

The numbers W_k are called **Whitney numbers**.

Conjecture (Rota(1971), Welsh(1976))

For any matroid M on $[n]$ and any positive integer $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$\frac{W_k^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{W_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \cdot \frac{W_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}$$

Lots of conjectured unimodal or log concave families of polynomials are yet to be “Lorentzianized”.

Let P be a finite poset and $e_k(P)$ be the number of order preserving surjections $\sigma : P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Is the sequence $(e_k(P) : k \geq 1)$ always log-concave? Note, this polynomial is not necessarily real-rooted.

This sequence is related to the Neggers-Stanley conjecture which Branden and Stembridge found a counter example.

This conjecture asserted that the univariate polynomial counting the linear extensions of a partially ordered set by their number of descents has real zeros.

THANK YOU!