

## 7.9 Exercises

**7.9.1.** To see the effect on fits that “good” and “bad” points of high leverage can have, consider the following dataset:

x	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	20
y	5	7	6	14	14	25	29	33	31	41	75
y <sub>2</sub>	5	7	6	14	14	25	29	33	31	41	20

The point  $x = 20$  is a point of high leverage. The data for  $\mathbf{y}$  (rounded) are realizations from the model  $\mathbf{y} = 4\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$ , where  $\mathbf{e}$  has a  $N(0, 9)$  distribution. Hence, the value of  $y$  follows the model and is a “good” point of high leverage. Notice that  $\mathbf{y}_2$  is the same as  $\mathbf{y}$ , except the last component of  $\mathbf{y}_2$  has been changed to 20 and, thus, is a “bad” point of high leverage.

(a) Obtain the scatterplot for  $x$  and  $y$ , the Wilcoxon and HBR fits, and overlay these fits on the scatterplot.

(b) Obtain the scatterplot for  $x$  and  $y_2$ , the Wilcoxon and HBR fits, and overlay these fits on the scatterplot.

(c) Comment on the differences among the fits and plots.

**7.9.3.** There is some loss of efficiency when using the HBR fit instead of the Wilcoxon for “good” data. Verify this for a simulation of the model  $y = 4x + e$ , where  $e$  has a  $N(0, 625)$  distribution and  $x = 1 : 20$ , using 10,000 simulations.

**7.9.4.** Using the set up Exercise 7.9.3, check the validity of the 95% confidence intervals for  $\beta_1$  obtained by the Wilcoxon and HBR fits.