

Problem Set 10

10.1

a) to construct dot-product kernel. $k(x, z) = c k_1(x, z)$, $c > 0$

$$\text{let } k(x, z) = c \langle \Phi^1(x), \Phi^1(z) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \sqrt{c} \Phi^1(x), \sqrt{c} \Phi^1(z) \rangle$$

to the pos-def kernel k , k_1 is valid, $c > 0$

thus, k_1 is a positive def kernel, the $c k_1(x, z)$ is also

b) for $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) + k_2(x, z) = \Phi^T(x) \Phi(z)$

$$\text{let } \Phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^1(x) \\ \Phi^2(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \Phi(x)^T \Phi(z) &= \Phi^1(x)^T \Phi^1(z) + \Phi^2(x)^T \Phi^2(z) \\ &= k_1(x, z) + k_2(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

to the pos-def kernel k , k_1, k_2 are valid,

k_1, k_2 are pos-def kernel $k_1 + k_2$ will also.

c) for $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) k_2(x, z) = \Phi^T(x) \Phi(z)$

$$\text{let } \Phi(x) = \Phi^1(x) \Phi^2(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \Phi(x)^T \Phi(z) &= \Phi^2(x)^T \Phi^1(x)^T \Phi^1(z) \Phi^2(z) \\ &= k_1(x, z) k_2(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

to the pos-def kernel k , k_1, k_2 are valid.

k_1, k_2 are pos-def kernel, $k_1 k_2$ will also.

d) for $k(x, z) = f(x) k_1(x, z) f(z)$, for any $f(\cdot)$
 $= \Phi(x)^T \Phi(z)$

let $\Phi(x) = f(x) \Phi'(x)$

Then $\Phi^T(x) \Phi(z) = f(x) \Phi'(x)^T \Phi'(z) f(z) = f(x) k_1(x, z) f(z)$

Thus, $k(x, z) = f(x) k_1(x, z) f(z)$ is valid kernel.

e). for $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z)^q$ where q is positive integer.

from c) can get $k(x, z)$ can be $k_1(x, z)^2$

Thus let $k(x, z)^{\text{old}} = k_1(x, z)^2$, $k(x, z) = k(x, z)^{\text{old}} k_1(x, z)$
 $= k_1(x, z)^3$

by indicating, $k(x, z)$ can be $k_1(x, z)^q$.

f) for $k(x, z) = \exp(k_1(x, z))$

According to Taylor expansion :

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad \text{to } \exp(k_1(x, z))$$

it can be $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{k_1(x, z)^n}{n!}$

and since $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k(x, z) = k_1(x, z)^q \text{ is valid} \\ k(x, z) = c k_1(x, z) \text{ is valid} \\ k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) + k_2(x, z) \text{ is valid} \end{array} \right.$

Thus $1 + k_1(x, z)^1 + \frac{k_1(x, z)^2}{2!} + \dots$ is valid kernel.

10. 2

a). for $k(x, z) = \exp(-\alpha \|x - z\|^2)$ for $\alpha > 0$

$$\exp(-\alpha \|x-z\|^2) = \exp(-\alpha (x^T x - 2x^T z + z^T z)) \\ = e^{-\alpha x^T x} e^{2\alpha x^T z} e^{-\alpha z^T z}$$

And $e^{2\alpha x^T z}$ can be $e^{2\alpha k_1(x, z)}$, according to 10.1a)

$$e^{2\alpha k_1(x, z)} = e^{k(x, z)}, \text{ according to 10.1f)} \\ e^{k_1(x, z)} = k(x, z) \text{ is valid}$$

Thus, $\exp(-\alpha \|x-z\|^2) = e^{-\alpha x^T x} k(x, z) e^{-\alpha z^T z}$

and let $f(x) = e^{-\alpha x^T x}$

$$\exp(-\alpha \|x-z\|^2) = f(x) k(x, z) f(z)$$

according to 10.1d).

$$\exp(-\alpha \|x-z\|^2) = k(x, z) \text{ is valid kernel.}$$

10.4.

a) for $\tilde{k}(x, z) = \frac{k(x, z)}{\sqrt{k(x, x) k(z, z)}}$

and $\sqrt{k(x, x)}, \sqrt{k(z, z)}$ can be considered as
 $f(x), f(z)$.

Thus, $\tilde{k}(x, z) = f(x) k(x, z) f(z)$

and according to 10.1d)

$$\tilde{k}(x, z) \text{ is also a valid kernel.}$$

b) Since $\Phi(x)$ be the feature transformation associated with the kernel k .

Thus, $k(x, z) = \Phi(x)^T \Phi(z)$

$$\tilde{k}(x, z) = \frac{\Phi(x)^T \Phi(z)}{\|\Phi(x)\| \|\Phi(z)\|}$$

and $\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}}{|\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{z}|}$, Thus, $\tilde{k}(x, z)$ can be written as the $\cos \theta$ format.

c). Since $\tilde{k}(x, z)$ is an angle's cosine,

$$-1 \leq \tilde{k}(x, z) \leq 1$$

which means

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{k}(x, z) = -1, & \text{when } \vec{\Phi}(x), \vec{\Phi}(z) \text{ are opposite} \\ \tilde{k}(x, z) = 1, & \text{when } \vec{\Phi}(x), \vec{\Phi}(z) \text{ are same direction} \\ \tilde{k}(x, z) = 0, & \text{when they are orthogonal.} \end{cases}$$

this can also simplify: $\tilde{k}(x, z)^2 \leq 1$

$$\frac{[\vec{\Phi}(x)^T \vec{\Phi}(z)]^T (\vec{\Phi}(x)^T \vec{\Phi}(z))}{\vec{\Phi}(x)^T \vec{\Phi}(x) \vec{\Phi}(z)^T \vec{\Phi}(z)} = \frac{k(x, z)^2}{k(x, x) k(z, z)} \leq 1$$

$$|k(x, z)| \leq \sqrt{k(x, x) k(z, z)}$$

57558749

王大康