

HW0

Jiaqian Yu
September 7, 2018

1 Problem 1

- a) No. The probability density couldn't be smaller than 0.
b) Yes. For continuous random variables, the probability density could be larger than 1 at some points as long as the integral over 0 to 1 sums to 1.

2 Problem 2

From this question, we have 999 fair coins and one coin with heads on both sides. Denote A as the event that we choose a fair coin and A^c as the event that we choose the coin with two heads.

So the prior probability is $P(A) = \frac{999}{1000}$ and $P(A^c) = \frac{1}{1000}$. Denote B as the event that we flip the coin 10 times and get 10 heads.

So $P(B|A) = \frac{1}{2^{10}}$ and $P(B|A^c) = 1$. Next we need to calculate the posterior probability of these two events.

According to Bayes theorem and law of total probability,

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) \times P(A)}{P(B)} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{P(B|A) \times P(A)}{P(B|A) \times P(A) + P(B|A^c) \times P(A^c)} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2^{10}} \times \frac{999}{1000}}{\frac{1}{2^{10}} \times \frac{999}{1000} + \frac{1}{1000}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{999}{2023} \quad (4)$$

Similarly, $P(A^c|B) = \frac{1024}{2023}$.

Then, the probability that next toss with the same coin lands heads is $\frac{999}{2023} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1024}{2023} \times 1 = \frac{3047}{4046}$

3 Problem 3

We need to control $a(\theta)$ so that the integration or summation for x over the domain is 1.

4 Problem 4

Here we have n i.i.d. random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with probability density

$$f(x|k) = kx^{k-1}e^{-xk}$$

We denote x_1, \dots, x_n as the n observations.

4.1 a

The likelihood is:

$$L(k) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|k) \quad (5)$$

$$= k^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-x_i^k} \quad (6)$$

$$= k^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{k-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k} \quad (7)$$

Then, the log-likelihood is:

$$l(k) = \log k^n + \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{k-1} + \log e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k} \quad (8)$$

$$= n \log k + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k \quad (9)$$

4.2 b

The derivative of the log-likelihood is: $\frac{d}{dk} l_k = \frac{n}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n kx_i^{k-1}$

4.3 c

$$k = e^\theta$$

So, the log-likelihood as a function of θ is

$$l(\theta) = \log(e^\theta)^n + \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{e^\theta - 1} - \log e^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{e^\theta}} = n\theta + (e^\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{e^\theta}$$

And the derivative with respect to θ is: $\frac{d}{d\theta} l(\theta) = n + e^\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - e^\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{e^\theta} \log x_i$

4.4 d

For the new reparameterization, the log-likelihood is:

$$l(\theta) = n \log(\log(1 + e^\theta)) + ((\log(1 + e^\theta)) - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\log(1+e^\theta)}$$

And the derivative is: $\frac{d}{d\theta} l(\theta) = \frac{ne^\theta}{(1+e^\theta)\log(1+e^\theta)} + \frac{e^\theta}{1+e^\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \frac{e^\theta}{1+e^\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\log(1+e^\theta)} \log x_i$

For gradient algorithms, (c) may cause gradient exploding problem while (d) may cause gradient vanishing problem.