Exercises Review

Huaijin Wang

May 28, 2025

${\bf Contents}$

1	Week 4	2
2	Week 6	5
3	Week 8	7
4	Week 9	7
5	Week 10	8

1 Week 4

Exercise 2.14. Consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu := -u_{xx} + u_x + u = f, & \forall x \in (a, b), \\ u(a) = u(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and its finite difference schema

$$\begin{cases}
L_h u_i := -\frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2} + \frac{u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}}{2h} + u_i = f_i, & \forall i = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\
u_0 = u_N = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1)

in an uniform mesh $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$, $x_i = a + ih$, h = (b-a)/N.

- 1). Derive an estimate for the truncation error;
- 2). Establish an a priori estimate for $||u_h||_1$;
- 3). Prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the finite difference schema;
- 4). Derive an error estimate for $||e_h||_1$, where $e_i = u(x_i) u_i$.

Solution. 1). The truncation error is

$$R_i = L_h[u(x_i)] - [Lu](x_i) = -\left(\frac{u(x_{i+1}) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_{i-1})}{h^2} - u_{xx}(x_i)\right) + \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_{i-1})}{2h} - u_x(x_i),$$

where $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$. By the Tylor developments

$$u(x_{i+1}) = u(x_i) + hu'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}u''(x_i) + \frac{h^3}{3!}u^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{h^4}{4!}u^{(4)}(\xi_i), \text{ for some } \xi_i \in (x_i, x_{i+1}),$$

$$u(x_{i-1}) = u(x_i) - hu'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}u''(x_i) - \frac{h^3}{3!}u^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{h^4}{4!}u^{(4)}(\eta_i), \text{ for some } \eta_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i),$$

we obtain that $R_i = O(h^2)$ as $h \to 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$.

- 2). We introduce some results in discrete form first (see [slides part1.pdf, pp. 41-48]).
- (i) Sets of noses:

$$I_h = \{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}, \ \bar{I}_h = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N\}, \ I_h^+ = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}.$$

(ii) Grid spacing: $h = h_i := x_i - x_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots, N$, and

$$\bar{h}_0 = \frac{1}{2}h_1, \ \bar{h}_N = \frac{1}{2}h_N, \quad \bar{h}_i = \frac{1}{2}(h_i + h_{i+1}), \ i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

- (iii) Discrete functions: $v_h = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ defined on \bar{I}_h .
- (iv) Difference operators:

$$(v_i)_{\bar{x}} := v_{i,\bar{x}} := \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{h_i}, \ i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(v_i)_x := v_{i,x} := \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{h_{i+1}}, \ i = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$(v_i)_{\hat{x}} := v_{i,\hat{x}} := \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\bar{h}_i}, \ i = 0, \dots, N - 1.$$

(v) Discrete inner products:

$$(u_h, v_h)_{I_h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} u_i v_i \bar{h}_i, \ (u_h, v_h)_{\bar{I}_h} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} u_i v_i \bar{h}_i, \ (u_h, v_h)_{I_h^+} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i v_i h_i.$$
 (2)

(vi) Discrete norms:

$$||v_h||_c := \max_{\bar{I}_h} |v_i|, ||v_h||_0 := (v_h, v_h)_{\bar{I}_h}^{1/2}, |v_h|_1 := ((v_h)_{\bar{x}}, (v_h)_{\bar{x}})_{I_h^+}^{1/2}, ||v_h||_1^2 = ||v_h||_0^2 + |v_h|_1^2.$$
(3)

We have some conclusions:

(i) Discrete integral by parts (see [slides part1.pdf, p. 44]).

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} v_i(w_i)_{\bar{x}} h_i = -\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} (v_i)_x w_i h_{i+1} + v_n w_n - v_m w_m, \quad 0 \leqslant m < n \leqslant N.$$
 (4)

(ii) Discrete Green formula (see [slides part1.pdf, p. 45]).

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} ((u_i)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}} v_i \bar{h}_i = -\sum_{i=m+1}^n (u_i)_{\bar{x}} (v_i)_{\bar{x}} h_i + (u_n)_{\bar{x}} v_n - (u_m)_x v_m, \quad 0 \leqslant m < n \leqslant N. \quad (5)$$

(iii) Discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (see [slides part1.pdf, p. 47]).

$$|(u_h, v_h)_{\bar{I}_h}| \le (u_h, u_h)_{\bar{I}_h}^{1/2} (v_h, v_h)_{\bar{I}_h}^{1/2}.$$
(6)

(iv) Discrete Poincaré inequalities (see [slides part1.pdf, pp. 47-48] and [HW 3, Exercise 2.12]). Assume that $v_0 = 0$ or $v_N = 0$,

$$||v_h||_c \leqslant C|v_h|_1, \quad ||v_h||_0 \leqslant C|v_h|_1,$$
 (7)

where C is a constant depending only on a and b.

Note that

$$L_h u_i = -((u_i)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}} + \frac{1}{2}((u_i)_{\bar{x}} + (u_i)_x) + u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Multiplying both sides of the finite difference schema $L_h u_i = f_i$ by $u_i h_i$ yields

$$-((u_i)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}}u_ih_i + \frac{1}{2}((u_i)_{\bar{x}} + (u_i)_x)u_ih + u_i^2h = f_iu_ih_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Summing in i from 1 to N-1 gives

$$-\left(((u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}}, u_h\right)_{I_h} + \frac{1}{2}\left((u_h)_{\bar{x}} + (u_h)_x, u_h\right)_{I_h} + (u_h, u_h)_{I_h} = (f_h, u_h)_{I_h}$$

In virtue of discrete integral by parts (4), discrete Green formula (5) and the fact that $u_0 = u_N = 0$, we have

$$-\left(((u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}},u_h\right)_{I_h}=((u_h)_{\bar{x}},(u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{I_h^+}\,,\quad ((u_h)_{\bar{x}},u_h)_{I_h}=-\left((u_h)_x,u_h\right)_{I_h}.$$

In fact, set m = 0 and n = N in discrete Green formula (5), we have

$$-\left(((u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}}, u_h\right)_{I_h} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i)_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}} u_i h = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i)_{\bar{x}} (u_i)_{\bar{x}} h - (u_N)_{\bar{x}} u_N + (u_0)_{\bar{x}} u_0 = ((u_h)_{\bar{x}}, (u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{I_h^+}.$$

And set m = 0 and n = N in discrete integral by parts (4), we have

$$((u_h)_{\bar{x}}, u_h)_{I_h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i)_{\bar{x}} u_i h = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_i)_{\bar{x}} u_i h = -\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (u_i)_x u_i h + (u_N)^2 - (u_0)^2$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i)_x u_i h = -((u_h)_x, u_h)_{I_h}.$$

Thus

$$((u_h)_{\bar{x}}, (u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{I_h^+} + (u_h, u_h)_{I_h} = (f_h, u_h)_{I_h}.$$

Using the fact that $u_0 = u_N = 0$, it is equivalent to

$$((u_h)_{\bar{x}},(u_h)_{\bar{x}})_{I_h^+} + (u_h,u_h)_{\bar{I}_h} = (f_h,u_h)_{\bar{I}_h}.$$

By the definition of the discrete inner norm (3), the left-hand side of the above formula is $||u_h||_1^2$. By the discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (6), and the inequality: $||u_h||_0 \leq ||u_h||_1$, we have

$$||u_h||_1^2 \leqslant ||f_h||_0 ||u_h||_0 \leqslant ||f_h||_0 ||u_h||_1 \implies ||u_h||_1 \leqslant ||f_h||_0.$$

3). The finite difference schema is equivalent to solve the linear system:

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}]^T$, $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}]^T$ and

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{2}{h^2} & -\frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h} \\ -\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h} & 1 + \frac{2}{h^2} & -\frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & -\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h} & 1 + \frac{2}{h^2} & -\frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h} \\ & & & -\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h} & 1 + \frac{2}{h^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that **D** is strictly diagonally dominant, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N-1} |D_{ij}| < |D_{ii}|, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Then \mathbf{D} is nonsingular, which leads to the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the finite difference schema.

4). Note that $L_h e_i = L_h[u(x_i)] - L_h u_i = R_i + [Lu](x_i) - L_h u_i = R_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. Then

$$\begin{cases} L_h e_i = R_i, \ i = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ e_0 = e_N = 0. \end{cases}$$

By 1) and 2) we have $||e_h||_1 \leq C||R_h||_0 = O(h^2)$ as $h \to 0$.

2 Week 6

Exercise 3.3. Consider the transport-diffusion problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} + vu_x = 0, & \forall x \in (a, b), \ t \in (0, T), \\ u(a, t) = u(b, t) = 0, & t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \forall x \in (a, b), \end{cases}$$

where v is a constant. Derive estimates for the truncation error and global error of the following schema, and prove that

$$||u_h^n||_0 \leqslant ||u_h^0||_0, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

- If $v \geqslant 0$,

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} - \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h^2} + v \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h} = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

$$u_0^{n+1} = u_N^{n+1} = 0,$$

$$u^0 = u_0,$$

- if $v \leq 0$,

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} - \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h^2} + v \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}}{h} = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

$$u_0^{n+1} = u_N^{n+1} = 0,$$

$$u^0 = u_0,$$

in an uniform mesh $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$, $x_i = a + ih$, h = (b-a)/N, $\{t^n\}_{n=0}^M$, $t^n = nk$, k = T/M. Solution.

• Truncation Error.

Let $Lu = u_t - u_{xx} + vu_x$ and

$$L_h u_i^{n+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} - \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h^2} + v \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h}, & \text{if } v \geqslant 0, \\ \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} - \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h^2} + v \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}}{h}, & \text{if } v \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $R_i^{n+1} = L_h u(x_i, t^{n+1}) - [Lu](x_i, t^{n+1})$. If $v \ge 0$, by Tylor developments:

$$u(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) - u(x_{i}, t^{n}) = ku_{t}(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) + O(k^{2}),$$

$$u(x_{i+1}, t^{n+1}) - 2u(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) + u(x_{i-1}, t^{n+1}) = h^{2}u_{xx}(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) + O(h^{4}),$$

$$u(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) - u(x_{i-1}, t^{n+1}) = hu_{x}(x_{i}, t^{n+1}) + O(h^{2}),$$

we have $R_i^{n+1} = O(k+h)$. The similar result can also be obtained for $v \leq 0$.

• Global Error

Let $e_i^{n+1} = u(x_i, t^{n+1}) - u_i^{n+1}$. Then $L_h e_i^{n+1} = R_i^{n+1}$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, N-1$.

- If $v \ge 0$, we have

$$\left(1+\frac{2k}{h^2}+v\frac{k}{h}\right)e_i^{n+1} = \frac{k}{h^2}e_{i+1}^{n+1} + \left(\frac{k}{h^2}+v\frac{k}{h}\right)e_{i-1}^{n+1} + e_i^n + kR_i^{n+1}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above formula by $e_i^{n+1}h$, summing in i from 1 to N-1, and using $e_0^{n+1}=e_N^{n+1}=0$ gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{2k}{h^2} + v\frac{k}{h}\right) \|e_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 = \frac{k}{h^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} e_{i+1}^{n+1} e_i^{n+1} h + \left(\frac{k}{h^2} + v\frac{k}{h}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N e_{i-1}^{n+1} e_i^{n+1} h + \sum_{i=0}^N (e_i^n + kR_i^{n+1}) e_i^{n+1} h.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left(1+\frac{2k}{h^2}+v\frac{k}{h}\right)\|e_h^{n+1}\|_0^2\leqslant \left(\frac{2k}{h^2}+v\frac{k}{h}\right)\|e_h^{n+1}\|_0^2+(\|e_h^n\|_0+k\|R_h^{n+1}\|_0)\|e_h^{n+1}\|_0.$$

Thus

$$||e_h^{n+1}||_0 \le ||e_h^n||_0 + k||R_h^{n+1}||_0 \le \dots \le ||e_h^0||_0 + k \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} ||R_h^j||_0 \le T \max_j ||R_h^j||_0 = O(k+h).$$

The similar result can be obtained for $v \leq 0$.

• Stability.

If $v \ge 0$, multiplying both sides of $L_h u_i^n = 0$ by $u_i^{n+1} h$ yields

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} u_i^{n+1} h - \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h} u_i^{n+1} + v(u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}) u_i^{n+1} = 0.$$

Summing in i from 1 to N-1 gives

$$\frac{h}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(u_i^{n+1}-u_i^n)u_i^{n+1}-\frac{1}{h}\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(u_{i+1}^{n+1}-2u_i^{n+1}+u_{i-1}^{n+1})u_i^{n+1}+v\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(u_i^{n+1}-u_{i-1}^{n+1})u_i^{n+1}=0.$$

The first term

$$\frac{h}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n) u_i^{n+1} = \frac{1}{k} (u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n, u_h^{n+1})_{I_h} = \frac{1}{2k} (u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n, u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n + u_h^{n+1} + u_h^n)_{I_h}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2k} (u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n, u_h^{n+1} + u_h^n)_{I_h} = \frac{1}{2k} (u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n, u_h^{n+1} + u_h^n)_{\bar{I}_h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2k} (\|u_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|u_h^n\|_0^2).$$

The second term (set m = 0 and n = N in discrete Green formula (5))

$$\begin{split} -\frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_{i}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}) u_{i}^{n+1} &= -\left(((u_{h}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}})_{\hat{x}}, u_{h}^{n+1}\right)_{I_{h}} \\ &= \left((u_{h}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}}, (u_{h}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}}\right)_{I_{h}^{+}} - (u_{N}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}} u_{N}^{n+1} + (u_{0}^{n+1})_{x} u_{0}^{n+1} \\ &= \left((u_{h}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}}, (u_{h}^{n+1})_{\bar{x}}\right)_{I_{h}^{+}} \geqslant 0. \end{split}$$

The third term

$$v \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}) u_i^{n+1} = \frac{v}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}) (u_i^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1} + u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1})$$

$$\geqslant \frac{v}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[(u_i^{n+1})^2 - (u_{i-1}^{n+1})^2 \right] = \frac{v}{2} (u_{N-1}^{n+1})^2 \geqslant 0.$$

Thus we obtain $||u_h^{n+1}||_0 \le ||u_h^n||_0$, which leads to $||u_h^n||_0 \le ||u_h^0||_0$. For $v \le 0$, a similar approach can be applied to obtain the desired result, except for the treatment of the third term:

$$v \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}) u_i^{n+1} = \frac{-v}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u_i^{n+1} - u_{i+1}^{n+1}) (u_i^{n+1} - u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_i^{n+1} + u_{i+1}^{n+1})$$

$$\geqslant \frac{-v}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[(u_i^{n+1})^2 - (u_{i+1}^{n+1})^2 \right] = \frac{-v}{2} (u_1^{n+1})^2 \geqslant 0.$$

3 Week 8

Exercise 1.2. Prove some alternative forms of the Poincaré inequality:

$$||v||_{L^{\infty}} \le c_1 ||v'||_0, \quad \forall v \in \{v \in H^1(I), \ v(0) = 0\}.$$

 $||v||_0 \le c_2 ||v'||_0, \quad \forall v \in \{v \in H^1(I), \ v(0) = 0\}.$

Proof. Let $V = \{v \in H^1(I), \ v(0) = 0\}$ and $U = \{v \in C^{\infty}(I), \ v(0) = 0\}$. Then U is dense in V with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$, i.e., $\forall v \in V$, there exists $\{v_n\} \subset U$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v_n - v\|_1 = 0.$$

Thus

$$\|v - v_n\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C\|v - v_n\|_1 \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$,
 $\|v - v_n\|_0 \leqslant \|v - v_n\|_1 \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$,
 $\|v' - v_n'\|_0 = |v - v_n|_1 \leqslant \|v - v_n\|_1 \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

For the first inequality, we obtain it by employing embedding theorem: $H^1(I) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{I})$, or by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(I)} \le \left(\frac{1}{|I|} + 2\right)^{1/2} ||u||_{L^{2}(I)}^{1/2} ||u||_{H^{1}(I)}^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(I).$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the inequalities hold for any $v \in U$, which is obvious since

$$|v(x)| = \left| \int_0^x v'(x) dx \right| \le \left(\int_0^x 1^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^x \left| v'_n(t) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le ||v'||_0.$$

Since

$$|||v - v_n||_{L^{\infty}} - ||v||_{L^{\infty}}| \le ||v_n||_{L^{\infty}} \le C||v_n'||_0 \le C||v' - v_n'||_0 + C||v'||_0$$

leads to $||v||_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C||v'||_0$.

4 Week 9

Exercise 1. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{N+1}$ be a grid in the interval $\Lambda = (0,1)$, i.e., $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N < x_{N+1} = 1$. Let $I_n = (x_{n-1}, x_n)$, $h_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$, and $h = \max_{1 \le n \le N+1} h_n$. Prove

$$\{v \in C^0(\Lambda) : v|_{I_n} \in H^1(I_n), n = 1, \dots, N+1\} \subset H^1(\Lambda).$$

Proof. For any $v \in \{v \in C^0(\Lambda) : v|_{I_n} \in H^1(I_n), n = 1, \dots, N+1\}$, it is clear that $v \in L^2(\Lambda)$ because continuity implies square integrability on the bounded domain Λ . It remains to show that the weak derivative of v also belongs to $L^2(\Lambda)$. Since $v|_{I_n} \in H^1(I_n)$, we define its piecewise derivative by

$$g|_{I_n}(x) = (v|_{I_n})'(x), \quad x \in I_n, \ n = 1, \dots, N+1.$$

Obviously, $g \in L^2(\Lambda)$, as each piece $(v|_{I_n})' \in L^2(I_n)$ and the intervals I_n are disjoint and cover Λ . We claim that g is the derivative of v. Indeed, for any test function $\phi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Lambda)$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} g(x)\phi(x)dx = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \int_{I_{n}} g|_{I_{n}}(x)\phi(x)dx = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \int_{I_{n}} (v|_{I_{n}})'(x)\phi(x)dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} [v(x)\phi(x)]|_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n}} - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \int_{I_{n}} (v|_{I_{n}})(x)\phi'(x)dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \left(v(x_{n}^{-})\phi(x_{n}^{-}) - v(x_{n-1}^{+})\phi(x_{n-1}^{+})\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \int_{I_{n}} (v|_{I_{n}})(x)\phi'(x)dx.$$

Due to the continuity of v across element interfaces, we have $v(x_n^-) = v(x_n^+)$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$, and since $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Lambda)$ we have $\phi(x_0) = \phi(x_{N+1}) = 0$. Hence, the sum of boundary terms cancels out, yielding

$$\int_0^1 g(x)\phi(x)dx = -\int_0^1 v(x)\phi'(x)dx,$$

which confirms that g is the weak derivative of v. Therefore, $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$.

5 Week 10

Exercise 2. Consider the mixed boundary problem

$$\begin{cases}
-u'' = f, & x \in I := (0,1), \\
u(0) = 0, & u'(1) = \beta,
\end{cases}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^2(I)$. Construct and analyze P_1 -FEM for this problem.

Proof. • Variational form. Let $V = \{v \in H^1(I) : v(0) = 0\}$, the bilinear form a(u, v) = (u', v'), and the functional $\mathcal{F}(v) = (f, v) + \beta v(1)$. Then the variational problem reads

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = \mathcal{F}(v), \quad \forall v \in V, \end{cases}$$

which is clearly equivalent to the strong problem.

It is obvious that the solution of the strong problem is also the solution of the weak problem. Conversely, suppose that u is the solution of the weak problem. Then $(u', v') = (f, v) + \beta v(1)$, $\forall v \in V$, which leads to (u', v') = (f, v), $\forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(I)$, and then

$$(-u'', v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(I),$$

where u'' is the derivative of u' in the distribution sense. Thus -u'' = f in the distribution sense (If $f \in L^2(I)$ and $u \in H^2(I)$, it is clear that -u'' = f in L^2 sense; if $f \in C(I)$ and $u \in C^2(I)$, then -u'' = f pointwise). For the boundary conditions, u(0) = 0 is obvious since $u \in V$, and $u'(1) = \beta$ follows from the integral by parts, i.e.,

$$(u', v') = (-u'', v) + u'(1)v(1) = (f, v) + \beta v(1) \Rightarrow u'(1)v(1) = \beta v(1), \ \forall v \in V.$$

 \bullet Galerkin Approximation. Let V_h be a subspace of V with finite dimension. Then the Galerkin approximation reads

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_h \in V_h \text{ such that} \\ a(u_h, v_h) = \mathcal{F}(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \end{cases}$$

• P1-FEM. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{N+1}$ be a grid on I such that $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N < x_{N+1} = 1$. Denote by each subintervals (or elements) $I_n = (x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for $1 \le n \le N+1$ of length $h_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$. Let $h = \max_{1 \le n \le N+1} h_n$.

The piecewise linear polynomials on such grid is denoted by

$$X_h^1 := \{ v \in C(\bar{I}) : v \big|_{I_{n+1}} \in \mathbb{P}_1, \ n = 0, \dots, N \}.$$

We construct a nodal basis for X_h^1 , which is based on nodes in every element (how many nodes in every element depends on the degree of freedom, or the degree of polynomials required parameters to be determined).

$$\varphi_0(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 - x}{x_1 - x_0}, & x \in I_1, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_{N+1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_N}{x_{N+1} - x_N}, & x \in I_{N+1}, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_{n-1}}{x_n - x_{n-1}}, & x \in I_n, \\ \frac{x_{n+1} - x}{x_{n+1} - x_n}, & x \in I_{n+1}, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, we have $X_h^1 = \operatorname{span}\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_{N+1}\}$. For any $u \in C(\bar{I})$, its interpolation into X_h^1 is denoted by $u_I(x)$. Clearly, we have $u_I(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} u(x_i)\varphi_i(x)$ and

$$u_I\big|_{I_{n+1}} = u(x_n)\varphi_n(x) + u(x_{n+1})\varphi_{n+1}(x) = u(x_n)\frac{x_{n+1} - x}{x_{n+1} - x_n} + u(x_{n+1})\frac{x - x_n}{x_{n+1} - x_n}.$$

Let the finite element space $V_h = X_h^1 \cap V$. It is known that $X_h^1 \subset H^1(I)$ [see HW 9, Exercise 1], then $V_h = \{v \in X_h^1 : v(0) = 0\}$, i.e.,

$$V_h = \operatorname{span}\{\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_{N+1}\}.$$

• FEM Implementation. Let $u_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j \varphi_j(x)$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N+1.$$

Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i,j})$ be the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix with its entries $a_{i,j} = a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i)$. Then we have

$$a_{N+1,N+1} = \frac{1}{h_{N+1}}, \ a_{j,j} = \frac{1}{h_j} + \frac{1}{h_{j+1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$a_{j,j+1} = a_{j+1,j} = -\frac{1}{h_{j+1}}, \ j = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$a_{i,j} = 0, \text{ if } |i - j| \geqslant 2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_N \\ u_{N+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (f, \varphi_1) \\ (f, \varphi_2) \\ \vdots \\ (f, \varphi_N) \\ (f, \varphi_{N+1}) + \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Error Estimate. We denote u_I being the interpolation of u into V_h , then it is known [see HW 10, Exercise 1] that

$$||u - u_I||_0 \leqslant Ch||u' - u_I'||_0 \leqslant Ch^2||u''||_0.$$

We know $a(u - u_h, v_h) = 0$ for any $v_h \in V_h$. Then

$$||u' - u_h'||_0^2 = a(u - u_h, u - u_h) = a(u - u_h, u - v_h) \leqslant ||u' - u_h'||_0 ||u' - v_h'||_0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

which leads to

$$||u' - u_h'||_0 \le \inf_{v_h \in V_h} ||u' - v_h'||_0 \le ||u' - u_I'||_0 \le Ch||u''||_0.$$

In the following, we derive the estimate for $||u - u_h||_0$ by using Aubin-Nitsche trick.

Consider the dual problem: given $r \in L^2(I)$,

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } \varphi(r) \in V \text{ such that} \\ a(v, \varphi(r)) = (r, v), \quad \forall v \in V. \end{cases}$$

The dual problem admits a unique solution $\varphi(r)$ since $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is continuous and coercive. Moreover, we have

$$a(v, \varphi(r)) = (r, v), \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(I),$$

if we suppose $\varphi(r) \in H^2(I)$, which gives $(-\varphi''(r), v) = (r, v)$, $\forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(I)$, leading to $-\varphi''(r) = r$ in L^2 since $C_0^{\infty}(I)$ is dense in $L^2(I)$. Since for any $v \in L^2(I)$, there exists $\{v_n\} \subset C_0^{\infty}(I)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v - v_n\|_0 = 0$, then $(\varphi''(r) + r, v_n) = 0$ and $(\varphi'' + r, v) \leq \|\varphi'' + r\|_0 \|v - v_n\|_0 \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Take $v = \varphi''(r) + r$ leading to the desired result.

Let $\varphi_I(r)$ be the interpolation of $\varphi(r)$ into V_h . We have $\|\varphi'(r) - \varphi_I'(r)\|_0 \leqslant Ch\|\varphi''(r)\|_0$ and

$$||u - u_h||_0 = \sup_{r \in L^2(I), \ r \neq 0} \frac{(r, u - u_h)}{||r||_0} = \sup_{r \in L^2(I), \ r \neq 0} \frac{a(u - u_h, \varphi(r))}{||r||_0}$$

$$= \sup_{r \in L^2(I), \ r \neq 0} \frac{a(u - u_h, \varphi(r) - \varphi_I(r))}{||r||_0}$$

$$\leqslant \sup_{r \in L^2(I), \ r \neq 0} \frac{||u' - u_h'||_0 ||\varphi'(r) - \varphi_I'(r)||_0}{||r||_0}$$

$$\leqslant Ch||u' - u_h'||_0 \sup_{r \in L^2(I), \ r \neq 0} \frac{||\varphi''(r)||_0}{||r||_0}$$

$$\leqslant Ch||u' - u_h'||_0.$$