# Learn the Highest Label and Rest Label Description Degrees - Supplementary Material

#### A Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* Since the label distribution function is assumed to be the conditional probability distribution function, define the Bayes classifier [Devroye *et al.*, 1996]

$$f^*(\boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\max_{\bar{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\bar{y}}$$

expected 0/1 loss of which is the Bayes error, i.e.,  $L_1^* = \mathbb{P}(f^*(x) \neq y)$ . Fix any x, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) = y \mid \boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{y_j: y_j = f(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathbb{P}(y = y_j | \boldsymbol{x}).$$

Without loss of generality, let  $f(x) = y_l$  and  $f^*(x) = y_k$ . Then, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbb{P}(f^*(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} - d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}. \quad (1)$$

If  $y_l = y_k$ , then the right-hand side of Eq. (1) reduces to 0. If  $y_{\underline{l}} \neq y_{\underline{k}}$ , then the right-hand side of Eq. (1) is bounded by  $d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} - d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}$  by the definition of the degenerated label distribution. Notice that  $\bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} \geq \bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}$  and  $h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} \leq h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}$  according to the definitions of f and  $f^*$ . Then by [Wang and Geng, 2019, Lemma 10], it follows that

$$|\bar{d}_{m{x}}^{y_k} - \bar{d}_{m{x}}^{y_l}| \le |\bar{d}_{m{x}}^{y_k} - h_{m{x}}^{y_k}| + |\bar{d}_{m{x}}^{y_l} - h_{m{x}}^{y_l}|$$

which leads to

$$\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbb{P}(f^*(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j} - \bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j}|.$$

Taking expectation on both sides of the preceding equation, we finish the proof.  $\Box$ 

### **B** Proof of Theorem 2

*Proof.* Fix any x. Without less of generality, let  $f(x) = y_l$  and  $f'(x) = y_k$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbb{P}(f'(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} - d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}. \quad (2)$$

If  $y_l=y_x$ , then the right-hand side of the above equation is less than 0. If  $y_l=y_k$ , then the right-hand side of the preceding equation reduces to 0. If  $y_l\neq y_x$  and  $y_l\neq y_k$ , then  $d_x^{y_k}\geq d_x^{y_l}$  and  $h_x^{y_l}\geq h_x^{y_k}$ . By [Wang and Geng,

2019, Lemma 10], the right-hand side of the above equation is bounded by  $|d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k} - h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_k}| + |d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l} - h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_l}|$ , where  $y_l \neq y_{\boldsymbol{x}}$  and  $y_k \neq y_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ . To summarize, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbb{P}(f'(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \leq \sum_{j: y_j \neq y_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j} - d_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j}|.$$

Take expectation on both sides of the above equation, which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

## C Proof of Theorem 3

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, to bound R(h), it's suffices to bound the expected  $L_1$ -norm loss. By a standard Rademacher bound [Mohri *et al.*, 2012], for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , the following bound holds for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m}|h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_{j}}-\bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_{j}}|\right] \leq \hat{R}(h)+2\mathcal{R}(\ell_{1}\circ\mathcal{H})+\sqrt{\frac{\log 1/\delta}{2n}}, (3)$$

where  $\circ$  is the function combination operator, and  $\ell_1$  is the  $L_1$ -norm loss. Notice that  $\ell_1 \circ \mathcal{H}$  can be re-written as  $\{\ell_1 \circ \mathrm{SF}(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Wang and Geng [2019] show that  $\ell_1 \circ \mathrm{SF}$  satisfies 2m-Lipschitz. Then, by [Maurer, 2016], we have

$$\mathcal{R}(\ell_1 \circ \mathcal{H}) \le 2\sqrt{2}m \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_j), \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_j$  is defined by  $\mathcal{F}_j = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{w}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{x} : \|\boldsymbol{w}_j\|_2 \leq \Lambda_2 \}$ . According to [Kakade *et al.*, 2009],

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_j) \le \Lambda_2 \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{x}} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}{\sqrt{n}},$$

which leads to

$$\mathcal{R}(\ell_1 \circ \mathcal{H}) \le 2\sqrt{2}m^2 \frac{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Plug the above equation into Eq. (3), which yields that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , the following bound holds for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} |h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j} - \bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j}|\right] \leq \hat{R}(h) + \frac{4\sqrt{2}m^2\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log 1/\delta}{2n}}. \quad (5)$$

Next, define the empirical estimation of the Bayes error by  $L_1' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - d_{x_i}^{y_{x_i}})$ . By the Hoeffding's inequality, for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  such that

$$|L_1' - L_1^*| \le \sqrt{\frac{\log 2/\delta}{2n}}.$$
 (6)

Combine Eq. (5), Eq. (6) and Theorem 1, which completes the proof.

## D Proof of Theorem 4

*Proof.* To start, define the loss function  $\ell'_1$  by

$$\ell'_1(\hat{D}, D) = \sum_{j: y_j \neq y_x} |\hat{d}_x^{y_j} - d_x^{y_j}|.$$

It's easy to see that  $\ell'_1$  satisfies 1-Lipschitz since

$$\ell'_1(\hat{D}, D) - \ell'_1(\tilde{D}, D) \le \sum_{j: y_j \ne y_x} |\hat{d}_x^{y_j} - \tilde{d}_x^{y_j}| \le ||\hat{D} - \tilde{D}||_1.$$

Similar to the Proof of Theorem 3, with the 1-Lipschitz of  $\ell'_1$ , we can prove that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , the following bound holds for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j:y_j\neq y_{\boldsymbol{x}}}|h_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j}-\bar{d}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{y_j}|\right]\leq \bar{R}(h)+\frac{4\sqrt{2}m^2\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}{\sqrt{n}}+\sqrt{\frac{\log 1/\delta}{2n}}.$$

Define the empirical estimation of  $L_2^*$  by  $L_2' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - d_{x_i}^{y_{x_i}'})$ . By the Hoeffding's inequality, for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  such that

$$|L_2' - L_2^*| \le \sqrt{\frac{\log 2/\delta}{2n}}.$$
 (8)

Combine Eq. (7), Eq. (8) and Theorem 2, which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### References

[Devroye et al., 1996] Luc Devroye, László Györfi, and Gábor Lugosi. A Probabilistic Theory of Pattern Recognition, volume 31 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer, 1996.

[Kakade *et al.*, 2009] Sham M Kakade, Karthik Sridharan, and Ambuj Tewari. On the complexity of linear prediction: Risk bounds, margin bounds, and regularization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 21*, pages 793–800. December 2009.

[Maurer, 2016] Andreas Maurer. A vector-contraction inequality for rademacher complexities. In *Proceedings of Algorithmic Learning Theory*, pages 3–17, 2016.

[Mohri *et al.*, 2012] Mehryar Mohri, Afshin Rostamizadeh, and Ameet Talwalkar. *Foundations of Machine Learning*. The MIT Press, 2012.

[Wang and Geng, 2019] Jing Wang and Xin Geng. Theoretical analysis of label distribution learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5256–5263, January - February 2019.