



From Fidelity Susceptibility to Recommender Engines

Lei Wang
Institute of Physics, CAS




Algorithms for quantum many-body systems




exact
diagonalization



quantum
Monte Carlo




tensor network
states



dynamical mean
field theories


Algorithms for quantum many-body systems




exact
diagonalization



quantum
Monte Carlo




tensor network
states



dynamical mean
field theories

Algorithmic improvement in
past 20 years outperformed
Moore's law


Algorithms for quantum many-body systems




exact
diagonalization



quantum
Monte Carlo



tensor network
states



dynamical mean
field theories

Modern
algorithm




is faster than

Traditional
algorithm




Algorithms for quantum many-body systems




exact
diagonalization




quantum
Monte Carlo




tensor network
states



dynamical mean
field theories




quantum
algorithms




machine
learning






exact
diagonalization



tensor network
states



dynamical mean
field theories



better scaling

Iazzi and Troyer, PRB 2015

LW, Iazzi, Corboz and Troyer, PRB 2015

Liu and LW, PRB 2015

LW, Liu and Troyer, PRB 2016




entanglement & fidelity

LW and Troyer, PRL 2014

LW, Liu, Imriška, Ma and Troyer, PRX 2015

LW, Shinaoka and Troyer, PRL 2015

Huang, Wang, LW and Werner, arXiv 2016



sign problem

Huffman and Chandrasekharan, PRB 2014

Li, Jiang and Yao, PRB 2015

LW, Liu, Iazzi, Troyer and Harcos, PRL 2015

Wei, Wu, Li, Zhang and Xiang, PRL 2016

Review

International Journal of Modern Physics B
Vol. 24, No. 23 (2010) 4371–4458
© World Scientific Publishing Company
DOI: 10.1142/S0217979210056335



FIDELITY APPROACH TO QUANTUM
PHASE TRANSITIONS

SHI-JIAN GU

*Department of Physics and ITP,
The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
sjgu@phy.cuhk.edu.hk*

Received 20 August 2010

We review the quantum fidelity approach to quantum phase transitions in a pedagogical manner. We try to relate all established but scattered results on the leading term of the fidelity into a systematic theoretical framework, which might provide an alternative paradigm for understanding quantum critical phenomena. The definition of the fidelity and the scaling behavior of its leading term, as well as their explicit applications to the one-dimensional transverse-field Ising model and the Lipkin–Meshkov–Glick model, are introduced at the graduate-student level. Besides, we survey also other types of fidelity approach, such as the fidelity per site, reduced fidelity, thermal-state fidelity, operator fidelity, etc; as well as relevant works on the fidelity approach to quantum phase transitions occurring in various many-body systems.

Keywords: Fidelity; fidelity susceptibility; quantum phase transitions.



顧世建教授


News on Fidelity Susceptibility

LW, Liu, Imriška, Ma and Troyer, PRX 2015
LW, Shinaoka and Troyer, PRL 2015

What's that ? Why should I care ?

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$


You, Li, and Gu, 2007
Campos Venuti et al, 2007



What's that ? Why should I care ?

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$

You, Li, and Gu, 2007
Campos Venuti et al, 2007



Fidelity $F(\lambda, \epsilon) = |\langle \Psi_0(\lambda) | \Psi_0(\lambda + \epsilon) \rangle|$

$$= 1 - \frac{\chi_F}{2} \epsilon^2 + \dots$$

Fidelity
Susceptibility

What's that ? Why should I care ?

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$

You, Li, and Gu, 2007
Campos Venuti et al, 2007

What's that ? Why should I care ?

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$

You, Li, and Gu, 2007
Campos Venuti et al, 2007

A general indicator of quantum phase transitions

No need for local order parameters e.g. Kitaev model, Abasto et al 2008, Yang et al 2008

Fulfils scaling law around QCP Gu et al 2009,
Albuquerque et al 2010



What's that ? Why should I care ?

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$

You, Li, and Gu, 2007
Campos Venuti et al, 2007

A general indicator of quantum phase transitions

No need for local order parameters e.g. Kitaev model, Abasto et al 2008, Yang et al 2008

Fulfils scaling law around QCP Gu et al 2009,
Albuquerque et al 2010

However, very hard to compute,

only a few limited tools



Fidelity susceptibility and long-range correlation in the Kitaev honeycomb model

Shuo Yang,^{1,2} Shi-Jian Gu,^{1,*} Chang-Pu Sun,² and Hai-Qing Lin¹

¹*Department of Physics and ITP, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China*


²*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100080, China*

(Received 27 March 2008; published 2 July 2008)

• Exactly solvable model

• A simple analytical formula for χ_F

$$\chi_F = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left(\frac{\sin q_x + \sin q_y}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{q}}^2} \right)^2.$$



Fidelity and superconductivity in two-dimensional t - J models

Marcos Rigol

Department of Physics, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057, USA

B. Sriram Shastry

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA

Stephan Haas


Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089, USA

(Received 29 June 2009; revised manuscript received 25 August 2009; published 29 September 2009)

Exact diagonalization
on small clusters

$$g(\lambda, \delta\lambda) \equiv \frac{2}{L} \frac{1 - F(\lambda, \delta\lambda)}{\delta\lambda^2}$$

$$\delta\lambda = 10^{-5}$$



Is there a general
way to compute χ_F ?

Fidelity Susceptibility

Yu, et al., PRE, **76** 022101 (2007)

Differential form

L. Campos Venuti, et al., PRL **99**, 095701 (2007)

$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}$$

Real part of “quantum geometric tensor”

Fidelity Susceptibility

Yu, et al., PRE, **76** 022101 (2007)

Differential form

L. Campos Venuti, et al., PRL **99**, 095701 (2007)

$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}$$

Real part of “quantum geometric tensor”

Fidelity Susceptibility

Yu, et al., PRE, **76** 022101 (2007)

Perturbative form

$$\chi_F = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\langle \Psi_n | \hat{H}_1 | \Psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(E_0 - E_n)^2}$$

Related to second order derivative of energy

Differential form

L. Campos Venuti, et al., PRL **99**, 095701 (2007)

$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}$$

Real part of “quantum geometric tensor”

Fidelity Susceptibility

Yu, et al., PRE, **76** 022101 (2007)

Perturbative form

$$\chi_F = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\langle \Psi_n | \hat{H}_1 | \Psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(E_0 - E_n)^2}$$

Related to second order derivative of energy

Kubo form

$$\chi_F = \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[\langle \hat{H}_1(\tau) \hat{H}_1 \rangle - \langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle^2 \right] \tau$$

Extension to finite-temperature

Differential form

L. Campos Venuti, et al., PRL **99**, 095701 (2007)

$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle} \frac{\langle \partial_\lambda \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle}$$

Real part of “quantum geometric tensor”

Fidelity Susceptibility

Yu, et al., PRE, **76** 022101 (2007)

Perturbative form

$$\chi_F = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\langle \Psi_n | \hat{H}_1 | \Psi_0 \rangle|^2}{(E_0 - E_n)^2}$$

Related to second order derivative of energy

Kubo form

$$\chi_F = \int_0^{\beta/2} d\tau \left[\langle \hat{H}_1(\tau) \hat{H}_1 \rangle - \langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle^2 \right] \tau$$


Extension to finite-temperature

Quantum critical scaling of fidelity susceptibility

A. Fabricio Albuquerque, Fabien Alet, Clément Sire, and Sylvain Capponi

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, (IRSAMC), Université de Toulouse (UPS), F-31062 Toulouse, France
and LPT (IRSAMC), CNRS, F-31062 Toulouse, France*

(Received 18 December 2009; published 18 February 2010)




2D transverse field
Ising model

Quantum critical scaling of fidelity susceptibility

A. Fabricio Albuquerque, Fabien Alet, Clément Sire, and Sylvain Capponi

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, (IRSAMC), Université de Toulouse (UPS), F-31062 Toulouse, France
and LPT (IRSAMC), CNRS, F-31062 Toulouse, France*

(Received 18 December 2009; published 18 February 2010)



2D transverse field
Ising model


Imaginary-time correlator in
stochastic series expansion

$$g^2 \langle H_1(\tau)H_1(0) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-m-2)!m!} \beta^{-n} (\beta - \tau)^{n-m-2} \tau^m \langle N_{gH_1}(m) \rangle_W$$

Can we do even better ?

The first recorded Monte Carlo simulation



Buffon 1777

Statistical Mechanics:
Algorithms and Computations
Werner Krauth

Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines

NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARIANNA W. ROSENBLUTH, MARSHALL N. ROSENBLUTH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER,
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico

AND

EDWARD TELLER,* *Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois*

(Received March 6, 1953)



A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for substances consisting of interacting individual molecules is described. The method consists of a modified Monte Carlo integration over configuration space. Results for the two-dimensional rigid-sphere system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MANIAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume equation of state and to a four-term virial coefficient expansion.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE purpose of this paper is to describe a general method, suitable for fast electronic computing machines, of calculating the properties of any substance which may be considered as composed of interacting individual molecules. Classical statistics is assumed,

II. THE GENERAL METHOD FOR AN ARBITRARY POTENTIAL BETWEEN THE PARTICLES

In order to reduce the problem to a feasible size for numerical work, we can, of course, consider only a finite number of particles. This number N may be as high as several hundred. Our system consists of a square† con-



Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines

NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARIANNA W. ROSENBLUTH, MARSHALL N. ROSENBLUTH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER,
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico

AND

EDWARD TELLER,* *Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois*

(Received March 6, 1953)



A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for substances consisting of interacting individual molecules is described. The method consists of a modified Monte Carlo integration over configuration space. Results for the two-dimensional rigid-sphere system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MANIAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume equation of state and to a four-term virial coefficient expansion.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE purpose of this paper is to describe a general method, suitable for fast electronic computing machines, of calculating the properties of any substance which may be considered as composed of interacting individual molecules. Classical statistics is assumed,

II. THE GENERAL METHOD FOR AN ARBITRARY POTENTIAL BETWEEN THE PARTICLES

In order to reduce the problem to a feasible size for numerical work, we can, of course, consider only a finite number of particles. This number N may be as high as several hundred. Our system consists of a square† containing N particles.




Quantum to classical mapping

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \right) \quad \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1$$


Trotterization

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}} \dots e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}} \right)$$




Diagrammatic approach

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \times \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta-\tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$



Beard and Wiese, 1996
Prokof'ev, Svistunov, Tupitsyn, 1996


Diagrammatic approaches



bosons

World-line Approach


Prokof'ev et al, JETP, **87**, 310 (1998)



quantum spins

Stochastic Series Expansion




Sandvik et al, PRB, **43**, 5950 (1991)




fermions

Determinantal Methods

Gull et al, RMP, **83**, 349 (2011)




Diagrammatic approaches



bosons

World-line Approach


Prokof'ev et al, JETP, **87**, 310 (1998)



quantum spins

Stochastic Series Expansion


Sandvik et al, PRB, **43**, 5950 (1991)



fermions

Determinantal Methods

Gull et al, RMP, **83**, 349 (2011)




Diagrammatic determinant QMC

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \operatorname{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta - \tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Diagrammatic determinant QMC

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta - \tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$


$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k)$$



Diagrammatic determinant QMC

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta - \tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$


$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k)$$



Diagrammatic determinant QMC

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta - \tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k)$$



Rubtsov et al, PRB 2005 Gull et al, RMP 2011


$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \text{Noninteracting} \\ \text{Green's functions} \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

$$\langle k \rangle \sim \beta \lambda N, \text{ scales as } \mathcal{O}(\beta^3 \lambda^3 N^3)$$

Diagrammatic determinant QMC

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta-\tau_k)\hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k)$$



Rubtsov et al, PRB 2005 Gull et al, RMP 2011

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Noninteracting} \\ \text{Green's functions} \end{array} \right)_{k \times k}$$

$$\langle k \rangle \sim \beta \lambda N, \text{ scales as } \mathcal{O}(\beta^3 \lambda^3 N^3)$$

Rombouts, Heyde and Jachowicz, PRL 1999
Iazzi and Troyer, PRB 2015 LW, Iazzi, Corboz and Troyer, PRB 2015

**LCT-QMC
Methods**



$$\det \left(I + \mathcal{T} e^{-\int_0^{\beta} d\tau H_{\mathcal{C}_k}(\tau)} \right)_{N \times N}$$

thus achieving $\mathcal{O}(\beta \lambda N^3)$ scaling!

More advantages


$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_k \lambda^k \sum_{\mathcal{C}_k} w(\mathcal{C}_k) O(\mathcal{C}_k)$$

Observable derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \langle \hat{O} \rangle}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\langle \hat{O} k \rangle - \langle \hat{O} \rangle \langle k \rangle}{\lambda}$$


Directly sample *derivatives* of any observable

Histogram reweighing



Can obtain observables in a *continuous range* of coupling strengths

Lee-Yang zeros




Partition function zeros in the *complex coupling strength* plane

Fidelity susceptibility made simple!

LW, Liu, Imriška, Ma and Troyer, PRX 2015

$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle k_L k_R \rangle - \langle k_L \rangle \langle k_R \rangle}{2\lambda^2}$$



Cut and count, that's it!


Fidelity susceptibility made simple!

LW, Liu, Imriška, Ma and Troyer, PRX 2015


$$\chi_F = \frac{\langle k_L k_R \rangle - \langle k_L \rangle \langle k_R \rangle}{2\lambda^2}$$

Worldline Algorithms Stochastic Series Expansion Determinantal Methods


(bosons)



(quantum spins)




(fermions)



Bose-Hubbard Model


$$\hat{H} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_i \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) - \lambda \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_i)$$



Divergence of fidelity susceptibility
correctly single out the quantum critical point

Bose-Hubbard Model

$$\hat{H} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_i \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) - \lambda \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_i)$$




Divergence of fidelity susceptibility
correctly single out the quantum critical point

Honeycomb Hubbard Model




$$\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}} \left(\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma} \right) + \lambda \sum_i \left(\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Meng et al, Nature 2010



Sorella et al, Sci.Rep 2012




cf. Assaad et al, PRX 2013
Toldin et al, PRB 2014

A hotly debated problem in recent years


There is only one peak !

Suggesting a single phase transition,
i.e. no intermediate phase




Impurity QPT

LW, Shinaoka and Troyer, PRL 2015



Anderson and Yuval, 1969

Maps the Kondo model
to a classical Coulomb gas




Werner et al 2006

Hybridization expansion QMC
performs a similar mapping for the
Anderson impurity models


Impurity QPT

LW, Shinaoka and Troyer, PRL 2015





Anderson and Yuval, 1969

Maps the Kondo model
to a classical Coulomb gas



Werner et al 2006

Hybridization expansion QMC
performs a similar mapping for the
Anderson impurity models




Two-impurity Anderson model

Why it works ?

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\beta} d\tau_k \times \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^k e^{-(\beta - \tau_k) \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \dots \hat{H}_1 e^{-\tau_1 \hat{H}_0} \right]$$

fugacity



Quantum
Phase Transition

$$d(\text{Free Energy})/d\lambda = \langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle$$

$$d^2(\text{Free Energy})/d\lambda^2$$

Fidelity Susceptibility

Classical
Particle Condensation

$$\text{Particle number } \langle k \rangle$$

$$\text{Compressibility } \langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle^2$$

$$\langle k_L k_R \rangle - \langle k_L \rangle \langle k_R \rangle$$

How to experimentally measure χ_F ?

How to experimentally measure χ_F ?

Dynamical response
functions

Hauke, et al Nat. Phys. 2016
Gu, et al EPL 2014

Excitations after an
adiabatic ramp

Kolodrubetz, et al PRB 2013
De Grandi, et al PRB 2010
Polkovnikov et al RMP 2011

Islam et al, Nature 2015

Measure fidelity by interfering
two copies of many-body system ?

χ_F in AdS-CFT

PRL 115, 261602 (2015)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending
31 DECEMBER 2015

Distance between Quantum States and Gauge-Gravity Duality

Masamichi Miyaji,¹ Tokiro Numasawa,¹ Noburo Shiba,¹ Tadashi Takayanagi,^{1,2} and Kento Watanabe¹


¹*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

²*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan*

(Received 3 August 2015; revised manuscript received 5 October 2015; published 22 December 2015)

We study a quantum information metric (or fidelity susceptibility) in conformal field theories with respect to a small perturbation by a primary operator. We argue that its gravity dual is approximately given by a volume of maximal time slice in an anti-de Sitter spacetime when the perturbation is exactly marginal. We confirm our claim in several examples.

Don't ask me what's on the right → CFT2




Monte Carlo simulations
can be painfully slow

A Comparison of two Markov Chain Monte Carlo samplers

A Comparison of two Markov Chain Monte Carlo samplers

Recommender engine for QMC

1. Collect configuration data



2. Train a classical Stat-Mech model

$$E(\{\tau_i\}) = - \sum_{i < j}^k V(\tau_i - \tau_j) - \mu k$$

3. Use it as a recommender engine!

Huang and LW, 1610.02746 Liu, Qi, Meng and Fu, 1610.03137

and more to come...



Take home message

Fidelity Susceptibility: A general purpose indicator of quantum phase transition

Quantum Monte Carlo: New developments are around the horizon

Thanks to my collaborators!


Mauro
Iazzi



Philippe
Corboz



Ye-Hua
Liu



Jakub
Imriška



Ping Nang
Ma



Hiroshi
Shinaoka



Matthias
Troyer



Take home message

Fidelity Susceptibility: A general purpose quantum phase transition



Quantum Monte Carlo: New developments are around the horizon

Thanks to my collaborators!


Mauro Iazzi



Philippe Corboz



Ye-Hua Liu



Jakub Imriška



Ping Nang Ma




Hiroshi Shinaoka



Matthias Troyer





中国科学院物理研究所
Institute of Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

广告

欢迎本科生毕业设计，博士生，博士后

wanglei@iphy.ac.cn

010-82649853