

# BT3102 Assignment 3

Mar 29 to Apr 19 2021

**Q1.** The sales department plans to run a large promotion. They provide you data obtained from a small trial (“hw3q1.csv”). They want to understand the effectiveness of the promotion. (Hint: the effectiveness can be different for different types of consumers.)

- I. Calculate the treatment effects. Verify your results using `feols`. Are they the same as before-vs-after comparisons or treated-vs-non-treated comparisons?
- II. The cost of the promotion is \$0.8 per user. Provide specific advices to your colleague and estimate the gain (if any) from the promotion.

**Q2.** You are a pricing specialist in Grab’s data team. You obtain prices and sales (“hw3q2.csv”) for two Grab products: JustGrab ( $j = 1$ ) and GrabShare ( $j = 2$ ).

- $\text{surge}_j$  measures the level of machine-determined surge pricing for product  $j$ .  $\text{surge}_1$  is randomized.  $\text{surge}_2$  is demand-driven – when underlying demand is high, a consumer is more likely to receive higher surge pricing. The underlying demand is not recorded in the data and unobserved to you.
- Final prices  $p_j$  received by consumers are different for three reasons: (1) machine-determined surge pricing; (2) manual adjustments following revenue managers’ “gut feelings” (e.g., private knowledge) about the underlying demand which are not recorded in the data and unobserved to you; (3) other factors that are considered random.
- $\text{choice} = j$  if product  $j$  is chosen. If neither is chosen, record 0.

You think multinomial logit model is a good model in this context (i.e.,  $U_{ij} = \beta_0 p_j + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ ).

- I. Estimate consumer choice model using MLE. Discuss the meaning of your estimates.
- II. Discuss any potential endogeneity issue. Implement an estimation procedure that solves the endogeneity issue.
- III. Calculate optimal  $p_j$  if product  $j$  is the only product in the market, or if both products are in the market. Explain why the optimal prices are different.

**Q3.** Three firms operate in the market. Firm 1 is evaluating an acquisition of firm 2. You are hired as their consultant to conduct merger simulations. The market consists of 10 million consumers with similar utility functions:

$$\begin{aligned}U_0 &= \epsilon_0 \\U_1 &= -0.03 \times \text{price}_1 + 2 + \epsilon_1 \\U_2 &= -0.03 \times \text{price}_2 + 1 + \epsilon_2\end{aligned}$$

$$U_3 = -0.03 \times \text{price}_3 + 2 + \epsilon_3$$

Pre-merger prices are determined by a simultaneous move pricing game. Producing  $s$  million products costs  $C(s)$  million dollars and is the same for the three firms:

$$C(s) = (s - 7)^2 + 50$$

For the merged firm, the post-merger cost of producing  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  is:

$$C^M(s_1, s_2) = \lambda_1 \times C(s_1) + \lambda_2 \times C(s_2)$$

Where both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are in  $[0.5, 1.5]$  and their exact values are unknown ex ante.

- I. Assume that after the merger of firm 1 and firm 2, prices of product 1 and product 2 will be reoptimized as in a multiproduct pricing problem. But firm 3's price is held constant. Assume that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ . Solve the post-merger market outcome (prices, sales and costs, profits). Compare them with the pre-merger equilibrium.
- II. In reality, firm 3 will respond as predicted by a simultaneous move pricing game.
  - a. Still assume that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ . Compute the post-merger market outcome and discuss how it differs from your answer in Part I.
  - b. Conduct a merger analysis for different values of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .

**Q4.** For this question, we will explore and understand basic concepts of dynamic programming.

- I. The effect of maximum capacity on stockpiling behaviour. Set the maximum inventory capacity as 5 and 20 (keep other parameters untouched), respectively. Describe and explain what you observe.
- II. The effect of discount factors on stockpiling behaviour. Set discount factors as 0.5 and 0.99 (keep other parameters untouched), respectively. Describe and explain what you observe.
- III. The effect of price sensitivity on stockpiling behaviour. Change the value of price coefficient (keep other parameters untouched), respectively. Describe and explain what you observe.
- IV. Note that in the slides, we assume that there are two price levels: normal price and promotion price, which is 60% discount. In this exercise, we assume that there are three price levels: normal price, 70% discount and 40% discount. The probabilities of each price levels are 0.8, 0.1 and 0.1 respectively. Please change the code correspondingly, keeping other parameters untouched. Report and briefly discuss your results.