

# Narvis: Authoring a Narrative Slide Show for Introducing Data Visualization

Category: Research

Paper Type: please specify




Fig. 1. we don't have the system , we have nothing to add here at this time point

**Abstract**— motivation and challenge is not enough The democratization of data visualization is readily motivated by current data deluge. However, there is few theoretical work or available presentation tool tailored for the introduction of data visualization. In this study, we incorporate lessons from previous work with our observation, systematically analyze the composition of a data visualization and propose a hierarchical constructing model for the explanation of a visualization design. This model is consist of: conceptual components at different hierarchical levels, the process that components assemble to form a component at a higher level, and suggestions for the utility of narratives for explaining different components. We apply this model to the implementation of Narvis, an authoring tool for crafting of narrative slide show that introduce a visualization to the general audience. We evaluate Narvis through 1)a preliminary evaluation of the authoring experience of your system or ppt? do you compare the two?, 2) a quantitative analysis of the generated slide show if i understand right, you do not analyze the slide show, you analyze the understanding of different slide show, 3)a qualitative analysis of the generated slideshow in the aspect of aesthetic, engagement, readability and utility

**Index Terms**—Narrative visualization, visual encoding explanation,

## 1 INTRODUCTION

For data with complicated structure, naive data visualization like bar chart and pie chart maybe unsatisfying for a comprehensive display. By introducing metaphors borrowed from nature [7, 25], applying carefully designed layout algorithms [11, 43], and sophisticatedly combining existing visualizations [45], novel visual presentations help people identify patterns, trends and correlations hidden in data. However, these advanced visualizations are usually not intuitively recognizable. Users need to go through some training, for example, reading a long and boring literal description, before they grasp the knowledge required to understand and freely explore a visualization.

What is more, even designers of these advanced visualizations suffer when they are required to introduce their design, especially when the visual encoding has complicated logic dependency, or when their audience have little prior knowledge about visualization techniques.

As a result, these advanced visualization technologies, in spite of the fact that their utility has been verified by domain experts from various fields, gain little exposure outside the visual community. Main stream media is still dominated by naive visualizations, such as bar charts, pie charts and so on.

For a visualization, its core design space can be described as the orthogonal combination of two aspects: graphical elements called marks and visual channels to control their appearance [29]. But why the explanation of these two things is so complicated?

This problem mainly arises from the fact that advanced visualization

designs usually attempt to delivery a great amount of information. First, it would overload an audience if we inundated them with all the information at one time. Second, even if we tried to explain it sequentially, considering the logic dependency existing among visual elements, an improper explanation could totally confuse the audience. For example, in a node link diagram, a node should be introduced before the links connecting it. In an advanced visualization design, which has more components than just nodes and links, it is challenging to identify a proper explaining order. Third, when digesting such a considerable amount of information, audiences can easily get distracted or forget previous information.

Thus, to better introduce an advanced visualization, we should convey its information sequentially and in a specific order. Attention guidance and reminders are also needed to make sure that audiences are following this order, not getting distracted or forgetting previous information.

Narrative, which means connected events presented in a sequence, has long been used to share complex information. [37]As the data visualization field is maturing, many researchers have moved their focus from analysis to presentation, making narrative data visualization an emerging topic [26]. Many efforts have been made to define, classify, and provide design suggestions for narrative data visualization [17, 23, 38]. Some visualization systems have already incorporated narrative modules into their design [6, 15]. However, current work is mainly focused on communicating the conclusion of analyses, rather than guiding the audience how to read a visualization.

Here, we present a prototype to introduce new visualization design. Based on our analysis of the structure, logic dependency, and visual distraction existing in a visualization design, we develop an authoring tool to decompose a visualization, reorganize extracted visual elements, and explain their visual encodings one by one through animated transition in the form of slideshow. Through incorporating a narrative sequence, appropriate chunks of information, rather than all the information, is delivered to the audience at one time, effectively avoiding information overload. Reminders, such as questions, summarizations and repetitions are woven into the narrative sequence to enhance the audiences memory while visual attention guidance, such as flickering, highlighting, and morphing are used to lead their attention to newly added information. ()

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to explain visual encoding with narrative. Our contributions are as below: 1). A paradigm for decomposing visualizations. It analyzes the hierarchical structure of its components, the relationships between components, and visual distraction existing. 2) A framework for explaining visualization design, which is the result of consulting theory from graphical perception process, techniques in narrative visualization, various attention cues in animation, and empirical observations of numerous visualization designs. 3) An authoring tool to generate and edit the narrative visual encoding explanation. We conjecture our work can motivate and enable people to use more advanced visualization designs, supporting the democratization of data visualization.

## 2 RELATED WORK

In this section, we provide an overview of prior research around the analysis of narrative structure in data visualization, animation in data visualization, and existing authoring tools for narrative visualizations.

### 2.1 Structure of Narrative Data Visualization

Narrative is as old as human history. [cite something] People in the fields of literature, comics [13] and cinema [37] have gone to great lengths to analyse the sequencing and forms of grouping used in a narrative, as well as how they affect the meaning a narrative tries to deliver.

Some people believe that work from other fields can inspire researchers in the visual data community. Amini et al [1] borrow concepts from comics [13] to classify and analyse the structure of data videos. Wang et al [41] adopt two representative tactics, time-remapping and foreshadowing, from cinematographers to organize a narrative sequence for visualizing temporal data.

Other researchers, on the other side, focus on the narrative structures exclusively for data visualization.

Satyanarayan and Heer, through interviews with professional journalists [34], define the core abstractions of narrative data visualization as state-based scenes, visualization parameters, dynamic graphical and textual annotations, and interaction triggers. Hullman et al [23], by identifying the change in data attributes, propose a graph-driven approach to automatically identify effective narrative sequences for linearly presenting a set of visualizations.

These works, however, rarely discuss the narrative structures used for visual encoding scheme, which is fundamental to a visualization. We hope our work can fill this gap.

### 2.2 Animation for data visualization

There is a wide discussion about the effects of animation when used in a data visualization environment.

Animation can facilitate the cognitive process. Heer and Robertson [19] confirm the effectiveness of animation when relating data visualizations backed by a shared dataset. Ruchikachorn et al [33], going a step further, design morphing animations which bridge the gap between a familiar visualization and an unfamiliar one, thus introducing a new visualization design through animation. Graphdiaries [3] uses animation to help audiences track and understand changes in a dynamic visualization.

On the other hand, animation can be an effective tool to attract and guide visual attention. Huber et al [22] study the perceptual properties

of different kinds of animation, as well as their effects on human attention. Waldner et al [40] focus on a specific animation: flicker. By dividing the animation into an orientation stage and an engagement stage, they strike a good balance between the attraction effectiveness and annoyance caused by flickering.

It is, however, noteworthy that animation, in spite of all the advantages mentioned above, can bring about negative effects when used improperly [32]. Our work is based on the results of these researches, which provide a guideline on how to implement animations in our system.

### 2.3 Authoring tools for narrative visualization

The extensive needs of data communication exist not only in the data visualization field but also in journalism, media, and so on. This has motivated researchers to investigate ways for authoring narrative visualization.

User experience is of great concern when utilizing an authoring tool. Sketch story [27], with its freeform sketch interaction, provides a more engaging way to create and present narrative visualization. Dataclips [2] lowers the barrier of crafting narrative visualization by providing a library of data clips, allowing non-experts to be involved in the production of narrative visualization.

However, it is information delivery that is the core consideration of an authoring tool. Existing authoring tools usually choose a specific type of narrative visualization based on the information type. [2, 16] Meanwhile, integrating an authoring tool for narrative visualization with a data analysis tool has become a trend since it effectively bridges the gap between data analysis and data communication. [6, 15, 28]

These tools offer inspiring user interaction design as well as good examples to implement narrative visualization. However, they treat visual encodings as cognitively obvious attributes that can be universally recognized without a formal introduction, making them inapplicable in our case.

### 2.4 Decompose a data visualization

Clarifying the design space of a data visualization can help people get a better understanding of how it is constructed. Tamara [29] proposes that it "can be described as an orthogonal combination of two aspects: graphical elements called marks and visual channels to control their appearance". Borrowing the concept of physical building blocks such as Lego, Huron et al [24] extends the design space of a data visualization, defining the components of a data visualization as a token, token grammar, environment and assembly model.

Such theoretical work, although varying from one to another, motivate the designers of visualization tools to contribute efficient high-level visualization systems rather than low-level graphical systems. [5, 30]

On the other hand, theoretically identifying the basic components of a data visualization enables people to physically extract them, and remap them to an alternative design without involving any programming work. Harper and Agrawala [18] contribute a tool that extracts visual variables from existing D3 visualization designs to generate a new design. Huang et al [21] propose a system that recognizes and interprets imaged infographics from a scanned document. Revision [36] applies computer vision method to recognize the types, marks, encodings of a data visualization, and allows the users to create a new design based on these data.

However, these decomposing methods exclusively focus on simple visualization designs, such as bar chart, line chart, dot chart, and are not applicable for advanced visualization designs, which assemble miscellaneous visualization approaches to realize a novel presentation. Moreover, these methods are put forward for the purpose of constructing a visualization, instead of explaining an already existing one, thus giving no consideration for graphical perception process and visual attention shift.




Fig. 2. An example of the hierarchical structure of a visualization, Opinion Seer [44]

### 3 ANALYSIS OF A VISUALIZATION

#### 3.1 Compositions of a visualization

Previous works have proven that learning by constructin is effective for grasping new knowledge. [8, 24] We incorporate the lessons from previous work [10, 24, 29] with our observation, define the compositions of a visualization and the relationships between these compositions.

##### 3.1.1 Hierarchical structure

We propose a model that decomposes a visualization into three levels of structure: visual primitives, visual units, and then an advanced visualization design.

A **visual primitive** is one graphic element whose visual channels are mapped to data attributes. We employ the defination in previous work [24, 35], use the term "grammar" to describe how the visual appearance of a visual primitive is influenced by data. For instance, a point whose size and color are encoded is a visual primitive. How the two visual channels, size and color, are related to data attributes is its visual grammar.

A **visual unit** is the assembly of visual primitives based on a certain construction rule, as tab.1 show. We are not pretending that this table includes all existing visual units, since new design is proposed constantly. A visual unit is the smallest functional unit of a visualization. A bubble chart, which groups the points mentioned above in an orthogonal coordinate, is a visual unit. A Venn diagram, which is also a visual unit, assembles dots in a metric-dependent way. Note that people might employ two visual primitives in a novel visualization design. For example, *Visual Sedimentation* [25] employs two visual primitives, bar and dot, to construct a novel design.

An **visualization** can be treated as the combination of visual units. An naive visualization can be as simple as one visual unit while an advanced one is usually the combination of several units. But it doesn't simply put all visual units together but construct them with certain connections with each other, which is detailedly discussed in section 3.1.2.




Fig. 3. A dot, whose color and size are encoded, can assemble (a) a dot spiral chart<sup>4</sup>, (b) a dot packing chart<sup>5</sup>, and (c) a bubble chart<sup>6</sup> by following different construction rules.

Table 1. A taxonomy of visual units.

	Radial	Orthogonal	Metric-dependent
<b>Line</b>	Spiral Line	Line Chart	
<b>Area</b>		Area Graph	Treemap, Flow Map
<b>Bar</b>	Radial Bar Chart, Sunburst Diagram	Bar Chart	
<b>Dot</b>		Scatter Plot, Bubble Chart	Bubble Map
<b>Wedge</b>	Pie Chart, Donut Chart	Arc Diagram	
<b>Link</b>	Chord Diagram	Parallel Coordinates	Node-link Diagram
<b>Text</b>		Parallel Tag Cloud []	Word Cloud
<b>Image</b>		Heatmap Matrix	Heatmap

##### 3.1.2 Relationships between visual units

An advanced visualization is often the combination of several visual units. Through observing the approaches people apply to design new visualizations, we define four types of relationship between visual units in our model: irrelevance, relevance, enhancement, and dependency.

**Irrelevance** refers to that two visual units have no correlations in their visual encodings. It is a bi-directional relation. As in **figure 1a**, 2 donut charts are applied to illustrate the distribution of age and gender groups respectively in a population. They are put together just for space-efficiency and there is no correlation between these two charts.

**Relevance** refers to that two visual units share some encoding scheme, and it is a bi-directional relation. For example, in **figure 1b**, bar chart and line chart show the same encoding of horizontal position. According to our survey, color and position are the most commonly shared visual encodings, which might be the result that color and position usually encoded with simple while crucial information.

**Enhancement** is a one-way relationship. If one visual unit A is the enhancement of another visual unit B, it means that A is imported to B, replaces some graphic elements of B and thus enables the representation of some data attributes that B alone fails to convey. Suppose there are 5 types of area in a park. A bar chart illustrates their average price per unit area, a chord diagram illustrates how passengers travel through each area. Then, as in **figure 1c**, the bar chart take the place of node segments in chord diagram, resulting in a novel and informative visualization.

<sup>4</sup><https://www.pinterest.com/pin/16536723602037537/>

<sup>5</sup><https://plot.ly/etpinard/84.embed>

<sup>6</sup><https://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/4063269>



Fig. 4. Illustration of the 4 types of relationship between visual units

Some actual examples are the heat map mapped upon the steams in a theme river [44] and usage of glyphs to enhance the meaning of nodes in a multidimensional scaling plot. [9]

**Dependence** is an one-way relationship. If one visual unit A is dependent on B, it means that A is added to B to reveal some information that results from the visualization B adopts to a dataset. The biggest difference between “**enhancement**” and “**dependence**” is that **enhancement** illustrate the data attributes in the data set, while **dependency** reveals the new knowledge we obtain from adopting a visualization a dataset. For example, in **figure xxx**, a multiple dimensional scaling (MSD) map shows the similarity between each restaurants in a city. A heat map is then added to the MSD map to show the most common type of restaurants, which information can hardly be obtained from the dataset but quite evident from the previous visualization.

### 3.1.3 Correlations between visual primitives

The inner relationship between visual primitives is relatively simple. A visual unit, which is the smallest function unit in a visualization, rarely have more than 2 visual primitives. And the relationship between the 2 visual primitives, if there are two, are quite obvious. The encoding of one primitive always has a high dependency on the encoding of another primitive. For example, in a chord diagram, the encoding of the arcs should be explained before the line connecting them.

### 3.1.4 Correlations between visual channels

The relationship between channels might be the most complicated relationship in our model. Since different channels are encoded with different information, they are usually separated and have no logic dependency upon others. However, an arbitrary explaining order of visual channels may lead to an inefficient information delivery, especially when a mark has multiple channels, which is common in an advanced visualization.

Therefore, we define two metrics to order the explaining of visual channels: **the complexity of their encoded information** and **saliency of their visual appearance**.

First, a proper explanation should follow the order of decreasing visual saliency. [12] Even though different channels have intrinsically different perceptual salience and channel with high saliency will suppress the expression of other, such saliency strength can be influenced in a task-dependent manner. [31] By introducing the channel with high saliency first, we remove it from the task list in our mind, decrease its saliency and give other channels more chance to attract limited human attention. (maybe introduce some concepts such as saliency map, pre-attentive stage, and focused attention stage)

Second, we should follow the order of increasing complexity. Easy to difficult practice has been long used and confirmed to be effective for learning new tasks [4].

Based on our survey, there are five common visual channels: color hue, size, position, shape, color saturation. Sorted in the increasing complexity order, it is color hue-color saturation-position-size-shape,

while sorted in the decreasing visual saliency order, it is position-color hue-size-shape-color saturation [12, 29].

In our system, we choose position-color hue-color saturation-size-shape as a trade-off between these two metrics. But we do allow and recommend the users to define their preferable sequence based on their situation.

## 3.2 Analysis of existing visual distraction

We aims to introduce a new visualization design in a visual method, more specifically, in the form of a slide show. To better inform the crafting an attractive and effective explanation, analyzing the existing visual distraction is necessary. **add a fig** From our observation, we identify two kinds of visual distractions: visual distraction from context and visual distraction from sibling channels (sibling channels refer to the channels belonging to the same mark).

### 3.2.1 Visual distraction from the context

: This kind of distraction has been widely discussed in the field of object detection and human visual attention. [31, 39] Its intensity is mainly determined by spatial distance and appearance similarity. [42] For example, when we try to focus on a green rectangle, a red triangle near by it can lead to visual distraction. And the intensity of such distraction is determined by the distance and the appearance similarity between the two graphics. Focus + Context, which might be the most popular techniques for this problem, make uneven use of graphic resources to discriminate focus from their context. At the same time, adding dynamic changes to focus elements has also been demonstrated as effective under various conditions [40].

### 3.2.2 Visual distraction from sibling channels

: A visual primitive usually has more than one visual channels. Thus, when recognizing one primitive, the channels with high visual saliency can significantly influence the expression of other channels. For example, color can be a strong noise when focus is supposed to be the shape.

## 3.3 Design considerations of narrative sequence

### 3.3.1 Channels

: As discussed in section 3.1.4, when explaining channels, we should take information complexity as well as visual saliency into account.

As for one channel, the narrative explanation depends on the type of the channel. As defined by previous work, there are two fundamentally different kinds of channels. The identity channels tell about what-where, while the magnitude channel tell how much. For a magnitude channel, one or two extreme examples will be enough for explaining, while for an ordered channel, introducing each category one by one might be a better option.

### 3.3.2 Units

: As discussed in section 3.1.2, there are four types of relationships between visual units, and they will influence the order of a narrative explanation. Thus, we display the correlations between units in a tree diagram where a child node is the enhancement/dependence of its parent node and sibling nodes have logic dependences. When explaining these visual units, we can simply follow a deep first search (DFS) order to visit all the visual units.

### 3.3.3 Non-linear sequence

: so far, all the narrative explanation we discussed is linear. We move from one channel to another channel, then from one primitive to another primitive, then from one unit to another unit. However, reading a lengthy, extremely detailed instruction maybe tedious. A good narrative explanation should include non-linear design, allowing users to skip uninterested parts, go back to previous information and freely switch between different parts. Also, users should be allowed the flexibility to choose explanations at different levels of details.






Fig. 5. The system overview

## 4 NARVIS: SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we first distill six design tasks based on our understanding of two kinds of end users, i.e., editors and general audience. Then, we describe the workflow of Narvis consisting of three phases (Figure ref), i.e., Automatic Analysis Phase, Human Editing Phase, and Viewing Phase.

### 4.1 User Perspectives and Methods

Narvis aims to offer an efficient, expressive and friendly authoring tool for experts in data visualization, assisting them to create a slideshow to introduce advanced visual design to general audience. Hence, we identify two different user perspectives: the editors and the general audience perspectives. Editors are visualization experts who have the need to create a slideshow to communicate visual design. General audience have no prerequisite for visualization. They gain understanding of the visual design by watching the slideshow.

To understand the current practice of making slideshows and the experience of reading tutorials, we collaborated with two teaching assistants (TAs) of a Data Visualization course and seven undergraduate students (UGs) taking this course. The two TAs are postgraduate students whose research interest is information visualization. Their duty of this course involves making slides for explaining visual design appears in major publications in the field of data visualization. The seven UGs have little background in visualization before the class, and have taken this course for less than one month.

We began by conducting semi-structured interviews with TAs, whom we identified as editors, and UGs, who are general audience. During the interviews with TAs, we asked their workflows of making slideshows and explaining visual design. To identify opportunities for Narvis, we also asked them to enumerate a list of challenges faced in the workflows. In the interviews with UGs, we asked their comments in reading the slideshows and attending course lectures. Then, we used mind-mapping to find clusters in their comments that defined goals for an ideal slideshow.

### 4.2 Design Tasks

Based on our observations and the interviews, we categorize six design tasks to guide the design of Narvis.

**DE1. Efficiency** There are many presentation tools, such as PowerPoint<sup>7</sup> and KeyNote<sup>8</sup> that can be used for introducing a data visualization. But it can be time-consuming and tedious to use them for introducing a complex visualization, which has lots of graphical elements and various visual grammar.

**DE2. Support feedback.** “When I present my design in public, I always wonder whether my audience get my idea. Do I explain too

fast or too slow”, one participant said. Having access to the audience’s feedback is crucial for the editors to improve the quality of their introduction, making it more understandable and attractive.

**DA3. Control the density of information flow.** All interviewees mentioned that they experienced information overload during the lecture. Thus, they hope the introduction slideshow can well control the density of information flow, only revealing a proper amount of information at a time.

### DA4. Avoid unconscious ignorance

Experts in data visualization, prone to treat some visual encodings as evident, might unconsciously ignore some crucial information when introducing a visualization. However, for these with no prior knowledge about data visualization, the lack of such information can totally confuse them.

### DA5. Clear structure.

The complicated relationship, spatial and logical alike, between different graphic elements is one of the biggest barriers that impedes a smooth communication of visual encoding scheme.

### DA6. Emphasis on conveying intuitive concepts.

Although algorithm might be crucial for the achievement of a visualization design, some of the interviewees show little interest in it.

## 4.3 Phase1: Auto Analysis

The auto analysis has two parts: one for input image and one for input text. It automatically extract the graphic elements and divide them into different cluster, facilitating later editing.(DE1) Note that the textual input is not necessary but it provides hints when editors add annotations manually in the Human Editing Phase.(DE1)

### 4.3.1 Analysis of input image

The auto analysis of input image has three main steps. It first detects all primitives that it finds in the given image and also detects any labels that are present in the visualization. It will then cluster objects that are spatially linked and extract non-target objects. Finally, it will fill in any empty spaces left inside objects from extraction with the appropriate color so as to show the target object in its entirety.

The first step, object detection, is done by iterating through all the pixels on the bitmap. At every iteration, we first check to see if this pixel has already been tagged as part of an object. If not, we know that this pixel forms a part of a new object. We explore the colors of the neighboring pixels, where the neighbors are chosen such that the distance between the current pixel and a potential neighbor is less than 3. If the difference in color between a neighbor pixel and the current pixel is less than a threshold, the neighboring pixel is tagged as part of the same object. Once all neighbors have been classified as either part of the same object or not, we choose another pixel that was classified as part of the same object and apply this algorithm again. This is a modified BFS algorithm and allows us to identify all unique objects in the given visualization.

Once all the objects have been detected, we have to extract a target object. To extract an object means to only select the pixels that are classified as part of this object, so we should remove all objects that are not part of this object and we should extract objects that are inside our target object. It is trivial to set all pixels that are not within or part of our object to have color white. For objects that are inside our target object, i.e. those objects that are clustered with our target object, we will first detect that object then programmatically change its pixels to white.

Once we have completed extraction, we have the issue of these white spaces. The reason this is an issue is because an extracted object might have been dividing two objects, and so when it is extracted, we lose the boundary between our target object and another object, which can cause confusion as to whether that white space should be colored in or not. To solve this boundary problem, we create a queue of the white spaces, with each data point giving the starting and ending point of that space. We then look at the intervals between enclosed white spaced objects, if that interval is above a threshold, we take that white space to not be part of our object. If it is below our threshold, then we enclose the white space with the target objects color, creating a boundary for it. The main difference is that for objects not within our target object, we

<sup>7</sup><https://office.live.com/start/PowerPoint.aspx>

<sup>8</sup><http://www.apple.com/keynote/>

do not create a boundary, whereas objects within our target object are enclosed with the target objects color.

#### 4.3.2 Analysis of input textual description

For the input textual description, we offer a basic text detection and classification algorithm, which uses a dictionary of terms that are highly correlated with certain channels. E.g. the word "length" is highly correlated with the size channel. To do the text detection, we first classify each sentence depending on whether it contains any of the key words in our dictionary. If it contains a key word for one of the channels, the sentence is tagged as being a description of that channels visualization. Once we have tagged all the sentences, whenever a channel is selected, we show the entire text that was inputted and highlight the text that has been tagged as descriptive of that channels visualization.

The algorithm we proposed is a compromise between efficiency and performance. At this time point, it is limited for image with high quality and clear edges, but its performance can be improved by applying a more advanced algorithm, such as the method based on patch detection and clustering mentioned in Revison [36].

### 4.4 Phase2: Human Editing

#### 4.4.1 The interface for editing

The interface of editing mode is composed of the following panels. We arrange the position of these panels and their content to better match the observed authoring work flow: refine clusters, bind with templates, organize the structure of visual units, modify templates, add annotation.

##### **Source Panel: extracting and organizing graphic elements**

FigSource is a tabbed panel where the extracted graphical elements are associated with different tabs based on the pre-cluster results. The user add, delete, modify the graphical elements associated with each tab, making sure that 1) All the graphical elements of the same visual unit is with one tab 2) every graphical element belongs to one and only one tab. For each tab, which actually equals to a visual unit now, the user call a template from our library in a drop-down list.

**Tree Panel: clarify the structure of a visualization** *Unit tree* panel tends to motivate the users to figure out the relationships between different visual units through interacting. In the *Unit Tree* panel, all visual units are shown as tree nodes. With Interactions as simple as dragging and dropping, the users organized and display the structure of the visual units in the panel, like what we have discussed in section 3.3.(DA5) To help people better identify the relationship between visual units, which might be a new concept for them, we include a tutorial here. Even though learning the relationship between visual units requires extra effort and time, we believe it is worthwhile since it can give people a better understanding about the structure of a visualization. Based on the tree diagram, Narvis will refresh the narrative sequence of visual units.

##### **Unit Panel & Editor panel: personalized modification**

Narvis provides templates to achieve high efficiency, but it also allow the users high flexibility to modify these templates, thus guaranteeing the expressiveness of this system.

Editors can edit a template in *Unit Panle* by selecting a node on the *Tree Panel*. For each visual units, the template enumerates possible encodings and leave the users to delete unemployed one, thus eliminating the unconscious missing of crucial information. (DA4) It also recommends a narrative sequence based on the metrics we mentioned in section 3.1.4. (DE1, DA3, DA5)

In the editor panel, users get further to access the *grammar* of each visual primitives, add a short annotation to describe it(DA6), refine or remove the animation we embedded in a template.

#### 4.4.2 A library of templates

We propose a library of templates for the narrative explanation of a visualization. A templates is a set of slides that tends to introduce a visual unit, which can be described as an orthogonal combination of a visual primitive and a construction rule, as shown in tab.1. Since advanced visualization design is the assembly of miscellaneous visual units, we conjecture such templates can achieve a high level of efficiency for the

Table 2. A summary of animation provided

Animation	Engaging	orientate attention	perception	working scenario	ref
Morphing	✓	✓	✓	grammar of size, grammar of shape	[33]
Blur		✓		focus+context	
Flicker		✓		focus	
Motion	✓	✓	✓	grammar of position	
Zoom-in/out	✓	✓		focus	
Annotation		✓	✓	textual explain	
Fade in/out		✓			
Decompose	✓		✓	Show how a visualization is composed by visual units	A novel design bu us

explanation of a visualizations. (DA1) Meanwhile, allowing users a high flexible, friendly interface to edit offered templates, Narvis maintains a considerable level of expressiveness and accessibility.

#### Types of templates

The initial set of templates provided by Narvis can be described as a 9\*4 matrix, 9 types of visual primitives and 4 types of construction rules. Narvis is extensible, new templates can be added by its developer through programming, or by end users through uploading their modified templates. At the same time, all the supported templates are classified into a certain cell of the 9\*4 matrix, so as to avoid overwhelming users with a cornucopia of confusing options.

#### Templates design

We apply the analysis and theory model in section 3 for the design of templates. A template has three components: 1) a well-considered narrative sequence for visual grammar explanation, which is discussed in section 3.3 and reveal encoding grammar gradually(DA3); 2) Embedded a series of narrative techniques such as attention cues, animated transitions, information repetition, to orientate visual attention and facilitate perception; 3) Formatted sentence for annotations (DA6) that will be gradually disclosed in the slide show. (DA3)

With a visual unit, more specifically, a set of graphic elements, as input, a templates will generate a series of slide show and each slide is responsible for the explaining of one visual grammar. A visual property show on a slide only after its grammar has been explained. For example, if we haven't explain the encoding of color, all the object in current slides will be gray. These slides are sorted based on the narrative sequence we discussed in section 3.3. The graphical elements in different slides, which might have different visual appearance, are perceptively connected through morphing animation.

#### Animation embedded in templates

Narvis provides 8 types of animation, implement them in templates based on their effects on human attention and perception(DA1), which has been widely discussed in previous work. [20,32,40] We also provide an novel decomposition animation at the beginning of the introduction slide show to engage the audience as well as to help them get a sense of overview.

Animation is a double-edge sword, which introduces both benefits and pitfalls. We are not discussing the effects of animation here. Editors can choose to remove these animation if they prefer an abstract slide show or they are suspicious of the effects of animation.

## 4.5 Phase3: Viewing

### 4.5.1 The interface for audience

The interface of audience is composed of two panels.

#### **Gallery: the collection of generated slide show**

Gallery exhibit all the slide show produced by editors and saved in Narvis. Every slide show is presented by a image, the visualization it tends to explain. By clicking on the image, users can watch this slide show in the *Screen* panel.

**Screen: review and comment** Every slide show displayed in *Gallery* is a series of slides, each of which is responsible for the delivery of one simple encoding information, for example, the horizontal position indicates time. In the *Screen* panel, users click buttons to move forward or backward to view these slides.

### 4.5.2 Generated Report

The report visualize the click activity of audience in the form of a stacked bar chart. The height of the bar indicates the time spent on watching this slide. If audiences go back to revisit a slide while viewing, a bar will be stacked on the top of previous one. If there are animation in the slide show, a white line will be drawn on the bar chart, indicating the animation playing time of each slide, thus can indicate whether an animation is too fast or too slow. (DE2)

## 4.6 A working scenario

Jessica has extensive experience in the field of data visualization, and has implemented a visual analytics tool in a review service website based on the design of OpinionSeer [44]. To help audience better understand this design, she needs to publish a tutorial accompanied with it.

First, she loads the screen-shot of her system, as well as a piece of textual description, into Narvis. After a few seconds, the system automatically extracts the graphics elements and clusters them based on features. As Figure 1 shows, Jessica obtains four clusters.

Then, she defines visual units based on clusters. By default, each cluster includes all graphics elements belonging to one visual unit. However, she observes that geographic ring and calendar ring are in the same cluster due to their similar appearance. Therefore, she divides it into two clusters, containing geographic ring and calendar ring respectively.

Next, she chooses narrative templates for each visual unit. Moreover, Jessica edits the narrative templates based on her design. She goes through all four templates in the “*what is in-unit*”, and deletes the visual channels with no encodings, such as *sth*. Through drag and drop, Jessica further organizes the structure of the unit tree based on the relationships between units. For example, *some example*

Jessica further improves the quality of animation by adding annotations and strengthening the binding between data and graphic elements.

To refine the readability of the tutorial, Jessica asks several friends, who have no experience in data visualization, to watch the tutorial before release. Narvis collects their viewing behavior from click activities, generates statistics results, and visualizes it in the form of stacked bar chart, which helps Jessica answer questions like “*which slides do they skip?*”, “*which slides do they review several times?*”, and “*which slides do they stay for a long time?*”.

## 5 EVALUATION

### 5.1 Participants

There are two kinds of participants, editors and audiences, in our user study.

**Editors:** they are experts in data visualization. They will be divided into two groups and exploit either Narvis or PowerPoint to generate a slide show that explains a visualization design.

**Audience:** they have no previous experience in data visualization. A questionnaire is conducted to investigate their knowledge about visualization. They will review the slide show produced by the experts, rank it, give subjective comments, and answer a series of questions to check their understanding of this visualization.

For editors, we have 4 postgraduate students, aging between 22-30, and all of them have more than one year experience in data visualization.

For audiences, we have 20 undergraduate students, whose majors vary from business to biology. According to the questionnaire, none of them have accessed advanced data visualization before. Only 13% students know the tree map, and none can give an accurate explanation of theme river with topic splitting and merging.

### 5.2 Material

We extract the visualization design and the corresponding literature description from a visualization design paper by Cui et al [14]

We choose this visual design based on two considerations. First, it's not too difficult for a layman but still a novel design that requires extra effort to clarify its encoding scheme. Second, it is a typical abstract data visualization that is fully consist of graphical element, not involving 3D image or real world image such like satellite map, which is beyond the coverage of our edge detection algorithm.

This visualization design is aimed at providing a better understanding about topic evolution in large text collections. It conveys multiple level results of topic evolution analysis: a set of topics with splitting/merging relationships among each other, which encodes a series of topic flows, a set of critical events, which encode glyphs, and the keyword correlations, which encode threads.

### 5.3 Procedure

#### 5.3.1 Producing

We run a two-hour long sessions, which consist of 3 phases: (1)*learn visualization*, (2)*idea generation and sketch*, (3)*authoring*.

In the *learn visualization* phase, participants read the literature description we extract from the paper, which is two-page long and describes the visual design with diagrams. This phase ends when the participants report the experimenters that they finished reading and understand this visual design. This phase takes about 15min, since all the participants are experts in data visualization and familiar with reading such papers.

In the *idea generation and sketch* phase, participants are asked to sketch ideas for introducing *TextFlow* to general public. They are encouraged to give considerations to (1) knowledge base of the audience, (2) information complexity of different visual encodings, (3) attention cues to orientate audience's attention. Participants are asked to think aloud and experimenters are present in the room to observe.

In the *authoring* phase, participants implement the ideas in their sketch as many as possible in a one-hour-long session. Participants in control group use PowerPoint, a presentation making tool that all the participants are familiar with. In experimental group, before authoring, experimenters demonstrate the capacity of Narvis through an automatic step by step tutorial included in Narvis, using intro.js. This training lasts about 15 min and is not counted in the one-hour authoring session. Participants are also allowed to ask additional questions in the authoring phase.

#### 5.3.2 Reviewing and feedback

We conducted a first pilot study to ensure the clarity of the instructions and control the time of experiments.

We asked a group of 20 volunteers to evaluate the quality of the generated slide show. We conducted a questionnaire in advance to make sure that they all have no experience or knowledge in advanced data visualization. In a one-hour session, they are asked to view, comment, and rate these slide shows. They also answer a series of questions to check their understanding of the visualization design.

We record video during this session with the participants permission. For participants who review the slide show generated by Narvis, their click activity will be recorded automatically and they can make comments on the slides. These click stream data, as well as the comments stream, will be used to generate a report, which will then send to its editor.

To conclude the user study, the experimenters conduct an interview with the participants about their authoring experience, the issues they encountered, if there are any, and the feedback report Narvis generated.

## 5.4 Results

We analyzed the following material: 1) video and notes that the experimenters took during the user study session, which the participants consented to. 2) the slides and the sketch created by participants, 3) the interview with the editor participants, 4) the ranking, comments, answers, click stream data from the reviewer participants. While analyzing, we focus on extracting information on the following aspects: 1)

### 5.4.1

### 5.4.2

xuke

reading 15min  
draft 5min  
making slides 40min  
qiaomu  
reading 14min  
draft 5min  
making slide 40min

### 5.4.3 Generated slideshow

### 5.4.4 Authoring experience

## 6 LIMITATION AND DISCUSSION

We are not pretending that Narvis are exclusive for all types of visualization design. However, by allowing users a high flexibility to create and edit templates, we believe its coverage will quickly broaden as more and more users contribute their own templates to our library.

Metaphor for aesthetic purpose. Our algorithm, not applicable for 3d rendering picture. In our model, we focus on statistic image and leave dynamic interaction at this time point, which is an important feature for advanced data visualization design.

## 7 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Amini, N. Henry Riche, B. Lee, C. Hurter, and P. Irani. Understanding data videos: Looking at narrative visualization through the cinematography lens. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '15, pp. 1459–1468. ACM. doi: 10.1145/2702123.2702431
- [2] F. Amini, N. H. Riche, B. Lee, A. Monroy-Hernandez, and P. Irani. Authoring data-driven videos with DataClips. 23(1):501–510. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598647
- [3] B. Bach, E. Pietriga, and J. D. Fekete. GraphDiaries: Animated transitions and Temporal navigation for dynamic networks. 20(5):740–754. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2013.254
- [4] J. P. Bliss, D. R. Lampton, and J. A. Boldovici. The effects of easy-to-difficult, difficult-only, and mixed-difficulty practice on performance of simulated gunnery tasks.
- [5] M. Bostock and J. Heer. Protovis: A graphical toolkit for visualization. 15(6):1121–1128. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2009.174
- [6] C. Bryan, K. L. Ma, and J. Woodring. Temporal summary images: An approach to narrative visualization via interactive annotation generation and placement. PP(99):1–1. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598876
- [7] N. Cao, Y. R. Lin, X. Sun, D. Lazer, S. Liu, and H. Qu. Whisper: Tracing the spatiotemporal process of information diffusion in real time. 18(12):2649–2658. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2012.291
- [8] M. Chapman. *Constructive Evolution: Origins and Development of Piaget's Thought*. Cambridge University Press. Google-Books-ID: 7WgC-nXmdX1MC.
- [9] Q. Chen, Y. Chen, D. Liu, C. Shi, Y. Wu, and H. Qu. PeakVizor: Visual analytics of peaks in video clickstreams from massive open online courses. 22(10):2315–2330. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2015.2505305
- [10] E. H. Chi. A taxonomy of visualization techniques using the data state reference model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization 2000*, INFOVIS '00, pp. 69–. IEEE Computer Society.
- [11] M. T. Chi, S. S. Lin, S. Y. Chen, C. H. Lin, and T. Y. Lee. Morphable word clouds for time-varying text data visualization. 21(12):1415–1426. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2015.2440241
- [12] W. S. Cleveland and R. McGill. Graphical perception: Theory, experimentation, and application to the development of graphical methods. 79(387):531–554. doi: 10.2307/2288400
- [13] N. Cohn. Visual narrative structure. 37(3):413–452. doi: 10.1111/cogs.12016
- [14] W. Cui, S. Liu, L. Tan, C. Shi, Y. Song, Z. Gao, H. Qu, and X. Tong. TextFlow: Towards better understanding of evolving topics in text. 17(12):2412–2421. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2011.239
- [15] R. Eccles, T. Kapler, R. Harper, and W. Wright. Stories in GeoTime. In *2007 IEEE Symposium on Visual Analytics Science and Technology*, pp. 19–26. doi: 10.1109/VAST.2007.4388992
- [16] J. Fulda, M. Brehmel, and T. Munzner. TimeLineCurator: Interactive authoring of visual timelines from unstructured text. 22(1):300–309. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467531
- [17] N. Gershon and W. Page. What storytelling can do for information visualization. 44(8):31–37. doi: 10.1145/381641.381653
- [18] J. Harper and M. Agrawala. Deconstructing and restyling d3 visualizations. In *Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, UIST '14, pp. 253–262. ACM. doi: 10.1145/2642918.2647411
- [19] J. Heer and G. Robertson. Animated transitions in statistical data graphics. 13(6):1240–1247. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2007.70539
- [20] J. Heer and G. Robertson. Animated transitions in statistical data graphics. 13(6):1240–1247. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2007.70539
- [21] W. Huang and C. L. Tan. A system for understanding imaged infographics and its applications. In *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM Symposium on Document Engineering*, DocEng '07, pp. 9–18. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2007. doi: 10.1145/1284420.1284427
- [22] D. E. Huber and C. G. Healey. Visualizing data with motion. In *VIS 05. IEEE Visualization, 2005.*, pp. 527–534. doi: 10.1109/VISUAL.2005.1532838
- [23] J. Hullman, S. Drucker, N. H. Riche, B. Lee, D. Fisher, and E. Adar. A deeper understanding of sequence in narrative visualization. 19(12):2406–2415. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2013.119
- [24] S. Huron, S. Carpendale, A. Thudt, A. Tang, and M. Mauerrer. Constructive visualization. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, DIS '14, pp. 433–442. ACM. doi: 10.1145/2598510.2598566
- [25] S. Huron, R. Vuillemot, and J. D. Fekete. Visual sedimentation. 19(12):2446–2455. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2013.227
- [26] R. Kosara and J. Mackinlay. Storytelling: The next step for visualization. 46(5):44–50. doi: 10.1109/MC.2013.36
- [27] B. Lee, R. H. Kazi, and G. Smith. SketchStory: Telling more engaging stories with data through freeform sketching. 19(12):2416–2425. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2013.191
- [28] B. Lee, N. H. Riche, P. Isenberg, and S. Carpendale. More than telling a story: Transforming data into visually shared stories. 35(5):84–90. doi: 10.1109/MCG.2015.99
- [29] T. Munzner. *Visualization Analysis and Design*. CRC Press. Google-Books-ID: dznSBQAAQBAJ.
- [30] G. G. Mndez, M. A. Nacenta, and S. Vandenheste. iVoLVER: Interactive visual language for visualization extraction and reconstruction. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '16, pp. 4073–4085. ACM. doi: 10.1145/2858036.2858435
- [31] H.-C. Nothdurft. Saliency from feature contrast: variations with texture density. 40(23):3181–3200. doi: 10.1016/S0042-6989(00)00168-1
- [32] G. Robertson, R. Fernandez, D. Fisher, B. Lee, and J. Stasko. Effectiveness of animation in trend visualization. 14(6):1325–1332. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2008.125
- [33] P. Ruchikachorn and K. Mueller. Learning visualizations by analogy: Promoting visual literacy through visualization morphing. 21(9):1028–1044. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2015.2413786
- [34] A. Satyanarayan and J. Heer. Authoring narrative visualizations with ellipsis. 33(3):361–370. doi: 10.1111/cgf.12392
- [35] A. Satyanarayan, D. Moritz, K. Wongsuphasawat, and J. Heer. Vega-lite: A grammar of interactive graphics. 23(1):341–350. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2599030
- [36] M. Savva, N. Kong, A. Chhajta, L. Fei-Fei, M. Agrawala, and J. Heer. ReVision: Automated classification, analysis and redesign of chart images. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface*



- Software and Technology*, UIST '11, pp. 393–402. ACM. doi: 10.1145/2047196.2047247
- [37] J. N. Schmidt. the living handbook of narratology.
  - [38] E. Segel and J. Heer. Narrative visualization: Telling stories with data. 16(6):1139–1148. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2010.179
  - [39] D. I. Standage, T. P. Trappenberg, and R. M. Klein. Modelling divided visual attention with a winner-take-all network. 18(5):620–627. doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2005.06.015
  - [40] M. Waldner, M. L. Muzic, M. Bernhard, W. Purgathofer, and I. Viola. Attractive flicker #x2014; guiding attention in dynamic narrative visualizations. 20(12):2456–2465. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346352
  - [41] Y. Wang, Z. Chen, Q. Li, X. Ma, Q. Luo, and H. Qu. Animated narrative visualization for video clickstream data. In *SIGGRAPH ASIA 2016 Symposium on Visualization*, SA '16, pp. 11:1–11:8. ACM. doi: 10.1145/3002151.3002155
  - [42] J. M. Wolfe. Guided search 2.0 a revised model of visual search. 1(2):202–238. doi: 10.3758/BF03200774
  - [43] Y. Wu, S. Liu, K. Yan, M. Liu, and F. Wu. OpinionFlow: Visual analysis of opinion diffusion on social media. 20(12):1763–1772. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346920
  - [44] Y. Wu, F. Wei, S. Liu, N. Au, W. Cui, H. Zhou, and H. Qu. OpinionSeer: Interactive visualization of hotel customer feedback. 16(6):1109–1118. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2010.183
  - [45] J. Zhao, N. Cao, Z. Wen, Y. Song, Y. R. Lin, and C. Collins. #x0023;FluxFlow: Visual analysis of anomalous information spreading on social media. 20(12):1773–1782. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346922