

# A Convolution Bias-Incorporated Nonnegative Latent Factorization of Tensors Model for Accurate Representation Learning to Dynamic Directed Graphs

## Supplementary File

Qu Wang, Hao Wu, *Member, IEEE*, and Xin Luo, *Fellow, IEEE*

### I. INTRODUCTION

This is the supplementary file for paper entitled *A Convolution Bias-Incorporated Nonnegative Latent Factorization of Tensors Model for Accurate Representation Learning to Dynamic Directed Graphs*. Supplementary equations and experimental results are put into this file.

### II. SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE

Table S1 records the notation descriptions used in this paper.

TABLE S1  
SYMBOLS DESCRIPTION

Symbol	Description
$I, J, K$	Three entity sets.
$\Lambda, \Gamma$	Known and unknown sets of an HDI tensor.
$ \cdot $	Cardinality of an enclosed set.
$\ \cdot\ _F$	Compute the Frobenius norm of a tensor
$\mathbb{R}$	Real number domain.
$\mathbf{Y}$	Three-order tensors.
$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$	Low-rank approximation to $\mathbf{Y}$ .
$\mathbf{Z}$	Three-order tensors.
$\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$	Three-order bias tensors.
$y_{ijk}, \hat{y}_{ijk}$	Single element in $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ .
$z_{ijk}, a_{ijk}, b_{ijk}, c_{ijk}$	Single element in $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ , and $\mathbf{C}$ .
$\mathbf{G}$	A Core tensor.
$\mathbf{H}$	Shared core tensor.
$g_{mnl}, h_{mnl}$	Single element in $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ .
$\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{T}$	Latent feature matrices.
$\mathbf{U}^{(*)}, \mathbf{V}^{(*)}, \mathbf{W}^{(*)}$	Bias matrices
$\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$	Bias latent feature matrices
$R_1, R_2, R_3$	Rank of $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ , LF dimension of each LF matrix, and size of core tensors' each dimension.
$m, n, l$	Index of $R_1, R_2$ , and $R_3$ .
$p_{mrs}, q_{mrs}, t_{lr}$	Single element in $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}$ , and $\mathbf{T}$ .
$d_{mrs}, e_{mrs}, f_{lr}$	Single element in $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ , and $\mathbf{F}$ .
$g_{ijk}, h_{ijk}$	Single element in $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ .
$\Lambda(i), \Lambda(j), \Lambda(k)$	Subsets of $\Lambda$ linked with $i \in I, j \in J$ , and $k \in K$ .
$\lambda$	Regularization coefficient.
$\eta, \mu$	Learning rate.
$t$	Current training iteration count.
$N$	Total number of training rounds.
$\Phi, \Psi, \Omega$	Training set, validating set, testing set

### III. SUPPLEMENTARY PROOF OF CONVERGENCE

#### A. Build Lagrangian Function

To establish the relationship between the SLF-NMUT algorithm and the KKT conditions of the learning objective (13), we introduce Lagrangian multipliers for the nonnegativity constraints on core tensors  $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$ , latent feature matrices  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{T}$ , and bias matrices  $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$ . Then, the Lagrangian function  $L$  for (10) is formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L = \varepsilon(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}) &- \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} \tilde{g}_{mnl} g_{mnl} - \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \tilde{p}_{im} p_{im} - \sum_{j=1}^{|J|} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \tilde{q}_{jn} q_{jn} - \sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} \tilde{t}_{kl} t_{kl} \\
&- \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} \tilde{h}_{mnl} h_{mnl} - \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \tilde{d}_{im} d_{im} - \sum_{j=1}^{|J|} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \tilde{e}_{jn} e_{jn} - \sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} \tilde{f}_{kl} f_{kl}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S1}$$

Considering that P, Q, T are highly similar, so are D, E, and F. We only take partial derivatives of  $g_{mnl}$ ,  $p_{im}$ ,  $h_{mnl}$  and  $d_{im}$  of  $L$ .

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{mnl}} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial g_{mnl}} - \tilde{g}_{mnl} = 0, & \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{im}} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial p_{im}} - \tilde{p}_{im} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_{mnl}} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial h_{mnl}} - \tilde{h}_{mnl} = 0, & \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_{im}} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial d_{im}} - \tilde{d}_{im} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{g}_{mnl} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial g_{mnl}}, \tilde{p}_{im} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial p_{im}}, \tilde{h}_{mnl} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial h_{mnl}}, \tilde{d}_{im} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial d_{im}}. \end{cases} \tag{S2}$$

Then, considering the KKT conditions of (13), i.e.,  $\tilde{g}_{mnl} g_{mnl} = 0, \tilde{p}_{im} p_{im} = 0, \tilde{h}_{mnl} h_{mnl} = 0, \tilde{d}_{im} d_{im} = 0$ , we multiply both sides of each equation by their respective factors to get the following formula:

$$\begin{cases} 0 = g_{mnl} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial g_{mnl}}, & 0 = p_{im} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial p_{im}}, & 0 = h_{mnl} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial h_{mnl}}, & 0 = d_{im} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial d_{im}}. \end{cases} \tag{S3}$$

According to (10), bring the partial derivative into (S3):

$$\begin{cases} g_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) (-p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) + \lambda g_{mnl} \right) = 0, \\ p_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) \left( -\sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl} q_{jn} t_{kl} \right) + \lambda p_{im} \right) = 0, \\ h_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) \left( -(d_{im} + e_{jn} + f_{kl}) \right) + \lambda h_{mnl} \right) = 0, \\ d_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) \left( -\sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl} \right) + \lambda d_{im} \right) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{S4}$$

The following derivation is obtained from (S4), which is actually (13).

$$\begin{cases} g_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} = g_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( \hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda g_{mnl} \right), \\ p_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( y_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl} q_{jn} t_{kl} \right) = p_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( \hat{y}_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda p_{im} \right), \\ h_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( y_{ijk} (d_{im} + e_{jn} + f_{kl}) \right) = h_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( \hat{y}_{ijk} (d_{im} + e_{jn} + f_{kl}) + \lambda h_{mnl} \right), \\ d_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( y_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl} \right) = d_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( \hat{y}_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl} + \lambda d_{im} \right). \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} g_{mnl} \leftarrow \frac{g_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda g_{mnl})}, \\ p_{im} \leftarrow \frac{p_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( y_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl} q_{jn} t_{kl} \right)}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( \hat{y}_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda p_{im} \right)}, \\ h_{mnl} \leftarrow \frac{h_{mnl} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} (d_{im} + e_{jn} + f_{kl}))}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} (d_{im} + e_{jn} + f_{kl}) + \lambda h_{mnl})}, \\ d_{im} \leftarrow \frac{d_{im} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} \left( y_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl} \right)}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda(i)} (\hat{y}_{ijk} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl} + \lambda d_{im})}. \end{cases} \tag{S5}$$

From (S1) to (S5), it becomes evident that the learning scheme based on SLF-NMUT is closely related to the KKT condition of its learning target.

### B. Proof of Lemma 1

Utilizing Definition 3, we can deduce that:

$$F(x^t) = G(x^t, x^t) \geq G(x^{t+1}, x^t) \geq F(x^{t+1}). \quad (S6)$$

Note that we have condition  $F(x^{t+1}) = F(x^t)$  when  $x^t$  ensures the existence of a local minimum of  $G(x, x^t)$ . Consequently, condition  $F'(x^t) = 0$  is valid if function  $F$  is differentiable in the vicinity of  $x^t$ . As a result, equation (S6) can be extended into the next converging sequence to  $x_{\min} = \arg \min_x F(x)$ :

$$F(x_{\min}) \leq \dots \leq F(x^{t+1}) \leq F(x^t) \leq \dots \leq F(x_1) \leq F(x_0). \quad (S7)$$

Next, our objective is to ensure that equation (13) for aligns perfectly with the one in equation (15), utilizing a specially designed  $G$ . Given a  $g_{mnl} \in \mathbf{G}$ , we define  $Fg_{mnl}$  as the partial loss derived from (10), which pertains exclusively to  $g_{mnl}$ .

$$Fg_{mnl} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (y_{ijk} - g_{mnl} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} - \hat{y}_{ijk}^-)^2 \right) + \lambda \left( \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} g_{mnl}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} p_{im}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} q_{jn}^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} t_{kl}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} h_{mnl}^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{R_1} d_{im}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{R_2} e_{jn}^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{R_3} f_{kl}^2 \right), \quad (S8)$$

where  $\hat{y}_{ijk}^-$  is the remaining term after  $\hat{y}_{ijk}$  removes a certain  $m, n, l$ , and  $\hat{y}_{ijk}^- = \hat{y}_{ijk} - g_{mnl} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}$ . Consequently, we have computed the first-order and second-order derivatives of  $Fg_{mnl}$  with respect to  $g_{mnl}$ .

$$F'g_{mnl} = \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) (-p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) + \lambda g_{mnl} \right), \quad (S9)$$

$$F''g_{mnl} = \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})^2 + \lambda \right). \quad (S10)$$

### C. Proof of Proposition 1

With (16),  $G(x, x) = Fg_{mnl}(x)$  holds. Next, our goal is to demonstrate  $G(x, g_{mnl}^{(t)}) \geq Fg_{mnl}(x)$ . To accomplish this, we will commence by deriving the quadratic approximation to  $Fg_{mnl}(x)$  at  $g^{(t)}_{mnl}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Fg_{mnl}(x) &= Fg_{mnl}(g_{mnl}^{(t)}) + F'g_{mnl}(g_{mnl}^{(t)})(x - g_{mnl}^{(t)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} F''g_{mnl}(g_{mnl}^{(t)})(x - g_{mnl}^{(t)})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (S11)$$

By combining (S10)-(S11) and Proposition 1, we find that  $G(x, g_{mnl}^{(t)})$  is an auxiliary function of  $Fg_{mnl}(x)$  if the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) \hat{y}_{ijk} + \lambda g_{mnl}^{(t)} \right)}{g_{mnl}^{(t)}} \geq \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})^2 + \lambda \right). \quad (S12)$$

Note that we have  $y_{ijk} \geq 0$  according to  $\mathbf{Y}$ 's nonnegativity, and  $\text{LFs} \geq 0$  with SLF-NMUT. So (S12) is equal to

$$\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) \hat{y}_{ijk} \right) \geq g_{mnl}^{(t)} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})^2 \right). \quad (S13)$$

Next, we reconfigure the left term of (S13) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) \hat{y}_{ijk} \right) &= \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) (g_{mnl}^{(t)} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \hat{y}_{ijk}^{\sim}) \right) \\
&= g_{mnl}^{(t)} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})^2 + \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) \hat{y}_{ijk}^{\sim} \right) \geq g_{mnl}^{(t)} \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})^2
\end{aligned} \tag{S14}$$

Note that (S12) holds with (S14), making  $G(x, g_{mnl}^{(t)})$  be an auxiliary function of  $Fg_{mnl}$ .

#### D. Proof of Theorem 1

Based on (15), (16), and (S9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{mnl}^{(t+1)} &= \arg \min_x G(x, g_{mnl}^{(t)}) \\
&\Rightarrow F' g_{mnl} (g_{mnl}^{(t)}) + \frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( (p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) \hat{y}_{ijk} + \lambda g_{mnl}^{(t)} \right)}{g_{mnl}^{(t)}} \times (x - g_{mnl}^{(t)}) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow g_{mnl}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow g_{mnl}^{(t)} \frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda g_{mnl}^{(t)})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S15}$$

Based on (S15), it is evident that  $Fg_{mnl}$  exhibits a nonincreasing behavior with respect to (13). Naturally, (S15) holds  $\forall i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, m, n, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$ . Hence, Theorem 1 holds.

#### E. Proof of Theorem 2

Based on (19), a sequence converges with (S1). Let  $\mathbf{G}^{(*)}$  represent a stationary point of  $\mathbf{G}$ , that is:

$$0 \leq g_{mnl}^{(*)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{mnl}^{(t)} < +\infty, \forall i \in I, m, n, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\} \tag{S16}$$

As a consequence, if  $\mathbf{G}^{(*)}$  serves as one of the equilibrium points of (10), the following KKT conditions pertaining to  $\mathbf{G}$  must be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, m, n, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\} : \\
&\text{(a)} \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial g_{mnl}} \right|_{g_{mnl} = g_{mnl}^{(*)}} = \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( \lambda g_{mnl}^{(*)} - (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} \right) - \phi_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0; \\
&\text{(b)} \phi_{mnl}^{(*)} \cdot g_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0; \quad \text{(c)} g_{mnl}^{(*)} \geq 0; \quad \text{(d)} \phi_{mnl}^{(*)} \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{S17}$$

Note that following (S1)-(S5), Condition (a) is inherently met when we consider (13). Consequently, we can assert that:

$$\phi_{mnl}^{(*)} = \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} \left( \lambda g_{mnl}^{(*)} - (y_{ijk} - \hat{y}_{ijk}) p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} \right). \tag{S18}$$

Hence, our primary focus is on Conditions (c) and (d). We begin by constructing  $\theta(t)_{mnl}$

$$\theta_{mnl}^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda g_{mnl}^{(t)})}. \tag{S19}$$

Clearly, (S19) is bounded by nonnegative LFs and  $y_{ijk}$ .

$$0 \leq \theta_{mnl}^{(*)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{mnl}^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl} + \lambda g_{mnl}^{(*)})}. \tag{S20}$$

Therefore, the update rule for  $g_{mnl}$  can be reformulated using the SLF-NMUT:

$$\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(t)} \theta_{mnl}^{(t)}. \quad (\text{S21})$$

By combining (19) and (S21), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(t)}| = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} \theta_{mnl}^{(*)} - \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0. \quad (\text{S22})$$

Note that in accordance with the update rule (S5),  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)}$  is greater than or equal to zero given a nonnegative initial hypothesis. Consequently, we make the following inferences:

1) When  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} > 0$ . Based on (S19) and (S22), we have

$$\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} \theta_{mnl}^{(*)} - \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0, \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} > 0 \Rightarrow \theta_{mnl}^{(*)} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda |\Lambda| \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} + \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) - \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) = 0. \quad (\text{S23})$$

Combining (S18) and (S23), we obtain Condition (b) in (S17)

$$\phi_{mnl}^{(*)} = \lambda |\Lambda| \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} + \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) - \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_{mnl}^{(*)} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0, \quad (\text{S24})$$

when  $\phi_{mnl}^{(*)}$  and  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} > 0$ , conditions (c) and (d) are naturally satisfied. Consequently, (S17) is fulfilled when  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} > 0$ .

2) When  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0$ . It's worth noting that conditions (b) and (c) in (S17) are naturally met. Therefore, we aim to substantiate condition (d). To accomplish this, we reconfigure  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)}$  into

$$\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(0)} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \prod_{r=1}^t \theta_{mnl}^{(r)}. \quad (\text{S25})$$

Based on (S25), we can deduce the following inferences:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(0)} > 0, \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(0)} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \prod_{r=1}^t \theta_{mnl}^{(r)} &= \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \prod_{r=1}^t \theta_{mnl}^{(r)} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta_{mnl}^{(t)} = \theta_{mnl}^{(*)} &= \frac{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl})}{\sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) + \lambda |\Lambda| \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)}} \leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \phi_{mnl}^{(*)} = \lambda |\Lambda| \mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} + \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (\hat{y}_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) - \sum_{y_{ijk} \in \Lambda} (y_{ijk} p_{im} q_{jn} t_{kl}) &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S26})$$

Hence, it follows that (S17) is satisfied even when  $\mathbf{g}_{mnl}^{(*)} > 0$ . Similarly, we can demonstrate that the sequences  $\{p_{im}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{q_{jn}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{t_{kl}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{h_{mnl}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{a_{im}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{e_{jn}^{(t)}\}$ ,  $\{f_{kl}^{(t)}\}$  also converge to a stable equilibrium point of (10). As a result, Theorem 2 is validated.

#### IV. SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEDURE

These are the procedures **Update\_G**, **Update\_P**, **Update\_H** and **Update\_D**.

Procedure Update_G	
Operation	Cost
Initialize $up \sim \mathbf{G}^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3}$ , $down \sim \mathbf{G}^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3} = 0$	$\Theta(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3)$
for each $\forall y_{ijk} \in \Lambda$	$\times  \Lambda $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$up \sim \mathbf{g}_{mnl} = y \cdot p_{im} \cdot q_{jn} \cdot t_{kl}$	$\Theta(1)$
$down \sim \mathbf{g}_{mnl} += \hat{y} \cdot p_{im} \cdot q_{jn} \cdot t_{kl} + \lambda \cdot p_{im}$	$\Theta(1)$
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$\mathbf{g}_{mnl} = \mathbf{g}_{mnl} \cdot up \sim \mathbf{g}_{mnl} / down \sim \mathbf{g}_{mnl}$	$\Theta(1)$

Procedure Update_P	
Operation	Cost
Initialize $up \sim P^{ \mathcal{I}  \times R_1}$ , $down \sim P^{ \mathcal{I}  \times R_1} = 0$	$\Theta( \mathcal{I}  \times R_1)$
for each $\forall y_{ijk} \in \Lambda$	$\times  \Lambda $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$up \sim p_{im}^+ = y \cdot g_{mnl} \cdot q_{jn} \cdot t_{kl}$	$\Theta(1)$
$down \sim p_{im}^+ = \tilde{y} \cdot g_{mnl} \cdot q_{jn} \cdot t_{kl} + \lambda \cdot p_{im}$	$\Theta(1)$
for $i=1$ to $ \mathcal{I} $ do	$\times  \mathcal{I} $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
$p_{im} = p_{im} \cdot up \sim p_{im} / down \sim p_{im}$	$\Theta(1)$

Procedure Update_H	
Operation	Cost
Initialize $up \sim H^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3}$ , $down \sim H^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3} = 0$	$\Theta(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3)$
for each $\forall y_{ijk} \in \Lambda$	$\times  \Lambda $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$up \sim h_{mnl} = y \cdot (p_{im} + q_{jn} + t_{kl})$	$\Theta(1)$
$down \sim h_{mnl}^+ = \tilde{y} \cdot (p_{im} + q_{jn} + t_{kl}) + \lambda \cdot h_{mnl}$	$\Theta(1)$
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$h_{mnl} = h_{mnl} \cdot up \sim h_{mnl} / down \sim h_{mnl}$	$\Theta(1)$

Procedure Update_D	
Operation	Cost
Initialize $up \sim D^{ \mathcal{I}  \times R_1}$ , $down \sim D^{ \mathcal{I}  \times R_1} = 0$	$\Theta( \mathcal{I}  \times R_1)$
for each $\forall y_{ijk} \in \Lambda$	$\times  \Lambda $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
for $n=1$ to $R_2$ do	$\times R_2$
for $l=1$ to $R_3$ do	$\times R_3$
$up \sim d_{im}^+ = y \cdot h_{mnl}$	$\Theta(1)$
$down \sim d_{im}^+ = \tilde{y} \cdot h_{mnl} + \lambda \cdot d_{im}$	$\Theta(1)$
for $i=1$ to $ \mathcal{I} $ do	$\times  \mathcal{I} $
for $m=1$ to $R_1$ do	$\times R_1$
$d_{im} = d_{im} \cdot up \sim d_{im} / down \sim d_{im}$	$\Theta(1)$