

Literature Review on Nonnegative Dynamics, Compartmental Modeling and Vector Dissipativity Theory

Regoon Wang, ChemE@UNSW

Abstract

Nonnegative dynamical system models are derived from mass and energy balance considerations that involves dynamic states whose values are nonnegative. These model are widespread in biological and ecological sciences and play a key role in the understanding of these processes. An unified framework (linear & nonlinear) involving compartmental modeling, stability analysis and vector dissipativity theory was developed in [1, 2, 3, 4]. This work contains systematic review on these subjects and some rough ideas about their applications in chemical process control.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Nonnegative dynamics	1
2.1	Mathematical Preliminaries	1
2.2	Stability of linear case	2
2.3	stability of nonlinear case	3
3	Compartmental modeling	3
3.1	General compartmental model	3
4	Vector dissipativity theory	4
5	Application in Chemical Process Control	6

1 Introduction

Chemical process dynamics involves mass recycle and heat intergration. Traditionally, the model is based on state space with interaction as the direct connection bewteen inputs and outputs of subsystem. This will lead to a complex generalized state space model whose physical meaning is lost. When the system grows into plantwide complexity, it is not adequately to capture the real interconnection.

The compartmental model is a natural way to describe energy flow model involving heat flow, mass flow, work energy, and chemical reactions. A state-space dynamical system model that captures the key aspercts of thermodynamics, including its fundamental laws. So maybe, the compartmental modeling would be an alternative solution to the plantwide complexity of chemical process. And some control stragies combining dissipativity and MPC can be developed and form a distributed control framework.

2 Nonnegative dynamics

2.1 Mathematical Preliminaries

Defination 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then A is **nonnegative** (resp., **positive**) if $A_{ij} \geq 0$ (resp., $A_{ij} > 0$) for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Defination 2.2. Let $T > 0$. A real function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a nonegative (resp., positive) function if $u(t) \geq 0$ (resp., $u(t) > 0$), which means $u_i(t) \geq 0$ (resp., $u_i(t) > 0$) for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Defination 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. A is a **Z-matrix** if $A_{ij} \leq 0, i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j$. A is an **M-matrix** (resp., nonsingular M-matrix) if A is a Z-matrix and all the principal minors of A are nonnegative (resp., positive). A is **essentially nonnegative** if $-A$ is a Z-matrix, which means $A_{ij} \geq 0, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.1. Assume A is a Z-matrix. Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (i) A is an M-matrix.
- (ii) $\exists \alpha > 0, B \geq 0$ s.t. $\alpha > \rho(B)$ and $A = \alpha I - B$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, \lambda \in \operatorname{spec}(A)$.
- (iv) If $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}(A)$, then either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 0$.

Furthermore, in the case where A is a nonsingular Z-matrix, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (v) A is a nonsingular M-matrix.
- (vi) $\det(A) \neq 0$ and $A^{-1} \geq 0$.
- (vii) $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \geq 0$, then $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \geq 0$ s.t. $Ax = y$.
- (viii) $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \geq 0$, s.t. $Ax \gg 0$.
- (ix) $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \gg 0$, s.t. $Ax \gg 0$.

2.2 Stability of linear case

Consider the linear dynamical system of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \quad x(0) = x_0, t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. A is essentially nonnegative iff e^{At} is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, if A is essentially nonnegative and $x_0 \geq 0$, then $x(t) \geq 0$ and Sys. 1 is called **linear nonnegative dynamical system**.

Defination 2.4. The equilibrium solution $x(t) = x_e$ of Sys. 1 is

- **Lyapunov stable** if, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ s.t. if $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_e) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, then $x(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_e) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n, t \geq 0$.
- **semistable** if it is Lyapunov stable and $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. if $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_e) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists and converges to a Lyapunov stable equilibrium point.
- **asymptotically stable** if it is Lyapunov stable and $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. if $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_e) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x_e$.
- **globally asmpototically stable** if it is asymptotically stable respect to all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be essentially nonnegative. If $\exists p, r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $p \gg 0, r \geq 0$ satisfy

$$0 = A^T p + r \quad (2)$$

then the following properties hold:

- (i) $-A$ is an M-matrix.
- (ii) If $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}(A)$, then either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 0$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{ind}(A) \leq 1$, so A has generalized group inverse $A^\#$.
- (iv) A is semistable and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{At} = I - AA^\# \geq 0$.
- (v) $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{N}(I - AA^\#), \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{R}(I - AA^\#)$.

- (vi) $\int_0^t e^{As} ds = A^\#(e^{At} - I) + (I - AA^\#)t, t \geq 0.$
- (vii) $\int_0^t e^{As} ds P$ exists iff $P \in (R)(A).$
- (viii) If $P \in (R)(A)$, then $\int_0^t e^{As} ds P = -A^\# P.$
- (ix) If $P \in (R)(A)$ and $P \geq 0$, then $-A^\# P \geq 0.$
- (x) A is nonsingular iff $-A$ is a nonsingular M -matrix.
- (xi) If A is nonsingular, then A is asymptotically stable and $A^{-1} \leq 0.$

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $x_0 \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$, then $x_e := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists iff $P \in (R)(A)$ where $x_e = (I - AA^\#)x_0 - A^\# P$. If, in addition, A is nonsingular, then $x_e = -A^{-1}P$.

Theorem 2.2. Let A is essentially nonnegative. Then Sys.1 is asymptotically stable iff there exists a positive diagonal matrix P and a positive-definite matrix R s.t.

$$0 = A^T P + P A + R \quad (3)$$

2.3 stability of nonlinear case

Consider the nonlinear nonnegative dynamical system

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T_{x_0}] \quad (4)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathcal{D}$, \mathcal{D} is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n containing $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally Lipschitz. Furthermore, a subset $\mathcal{D}_c \subset \mathcal{D}$ is an invariant set with respect to Sys.4 if \mathcal{D}_c contains the orbits of all its point.

Defination 2.5 (essentially nonnegative vector fields). let $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then f is **essentially nonnegative** if $f_i(x) \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ s.t. $x_i = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ is an invariant set with respect to Sys.4 iff f is essentially nonnegative.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f(0) = 0$ and f is essentially nonnegative and continuously differentiable in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$. Then, $A := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} |_{x=0}$ is essentially nonnegative.

Theorem 2.3. Let $x(t) = x_e$ be an equilibrium point for Sys.4 and f be essentially nonegative and $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} |_{x=x_e}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$, where $\lambda \in \text{spec}(A)$, then the equilibrium solution of the Sys.4 is asymptotically stable.
- (ii) If there exists $\lambda \in \text{spec}(A)$ s.t. $\text{Re} \lambda > 0$, then the equilibrium solution of the Sys.4 is unstable.
- (iii) Let $x_e = 0$, $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$, where $\lambda \in \text{spec}(A)$, let $p \gg 0$ be s.t. $A^T p < 0$, and define $\mathcal{D}_A := \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : p^T x < \gamma\}$, where $\gamma := \sup\{\epsilon > 0 : p^T f(x) < 0, \|x\| < \epsilon\}$ and $\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i$. Then \mathcal{D}_A is a subset of the domain of attraction.

3 Compartmental modeling

3.1 General compartmental model

Compartment acts like a container which allows mass or energy to flow in and out. It obeys the universal conservation law. Compartments can be interconnected to each other (as seen in Fig.1) and forms compartmental dynamic model which is useful in modeling large-scale system. As shown in Fig.1, let $x_i(t), t \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, q$, denotes the mass or energy state (and hence a nonnegative quantity) of the i th compartment, let $\sigma_{ii}(x_i(t)) \geq 0$ denote the loss effect of the i th compartment, let $w_i(t) \geq 0$ denote the flus supplied to the i th compartment, and let $\phi_{ij}(x)$ denote the net mass flow from the j th compartment to i th

compartment which satisfies skew-symmetry constraint $\phi_{ij}(x) = -\phi_{ji}(x)$. Hence, the universal conservation law for the whole system yields a nonlinear compartmental model

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\sigma_{ii}(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^q \phi_{ij}(x) + w_i(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, q \quad (5)$$

Consider the linear case, let $\sigma_{ii}(x_i(t)) = a_{ii}x_i(t)$, $\phi_{ij}(x) = a_{ij}x_j(t) - a_{ji}x_i(t)$, where a_{ii} denotes the loss coefficient and $a_{ij}, i \neq j$ denotes the transfer coefficient, $a_{ij} \geq 0$. So the linear compartmental model can be expressed as

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + w(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), \dots, x_q(t)]^T, w(t) = [w_1(t), \dots, w_q(t)]^T$,

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\sum_{k=1}^q a_{ki} & \text{if } i = j \\ a_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Note that Eq.7 implies that $\sum_{i=1}^q A_{ij} \leq 0$. Thus, a compartmental system (with $w(t) \equiv 0$) satisfies




Fig. 1: Compartmental interconnected subsystem model

$\dot{x}_i(t) \leq 0$ whenever $x_j(t) = 0, j \neq i$, while a nonnegative system (with $w(t) \equiv 0$) satisfies $\dot{x}_i(t) \geq 0$ whenever $x_i(t) = 0$. Note that A is an essentially nonnegative matrix and the Sys.6 is a nonnegative dynamical system. Furthermore, note that $A^T e = [-a_{11}, \dots, -a_{qq}]^T$, and hence with $p = e = [1, \dots, 1]^T$ and $r = -A^T e \geq 0$ it follows that condition (2) is satisfied which implies that the Sys.6 (with $w(t) \equiv 0$) is semistable if A is singular and asymptotically stable if A is nonsingular. In both case, $V(x) = e^T x = \sum_{i=1}^q x_i$ denoting the total mass of the system serves as a Lyapunov function for the undisturbed ($w(t) \equiv 0$) system with $\dot{V} = \sum_{j=1}^q (\sum_{i=1}^q A_{ij})x_j = -\sum_{i=1}^q a_{ii}x_i \leq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^q$. Alternatively, in the case where $a_{ii} \neq 0$ and $w_i(t) \neq 0$, it follows that Sys.6 can be equivalently written as

$$\dot{x}(t) = [J_q(x(t)) - D(x(t))] \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^T + w(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

where $J_q(x)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix function with $J_{q(i,j)} = a_{ij}x_j - a_{ji}x_i$, and $D(x) = \text{diag}[a_{11}x_1, \dots, a_{qq}x_q] \geq 0$. Hence, a linear compartmental system is a port-controlled hamiltonian system with a Hamiltonian $V(x)$ representing the total mass, $D(x)$ representing the outflow dissipation, and $w(t)$ representing the supplied flux.

4 Vector dissipativity theory

Dissipativity theory provides a fundamental framework for the analysis and design of control systems using an input-output description based on system energy. The dissipation hypothesis on dynamical systems results in a fundamental constraint on their dynamic behavior wherein a dissipative dynamical system can only deliver a fraction of its energy to its surroundings and can only store a fraction of the work done to it. Since complex multi-physical system has numerous input-output properties related to conservation, dissipation, and transport

of mass and energy, it seems natural to extend dissipativity theory to nonnegative and compartmental models which themselves behave in accordance to conservation laws. Specifically, consider the dynamical systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= f(x) + g(x)u, & x(0) &= x_0, & t &\geq 0 \\ y(t) &= h(x) + j(x)u\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Definition 4.1. The Sys.9 is nonnegative if for every $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ and $u(t) \geq 0$, the solution $x(t)$ and the output $y(t)$ are nonnegative.

Proposition 4.1. If f is essentially nonnegative, $h(x), g(x), j(x) \geq 0, x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, then Sys.9 is nonnegative.

Definition 4.2. The Sys.9 is exponentially dissipative (resp., dissipative) with respect to the supply rate $s : \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^m \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a continuous nonnegative-definite function $V_s : \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ called a storage function and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$ (resp., $\epsilon = 0$) s.t. $V_s(0) = 0$ and the dissipation inequality

$$e^{\epsilon t_2} V_s(x(t_2)) \leq e^{\epsilon t_1} V_s(x(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\epsilon t} s(u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad t_2 \geq t_1\tag{10}$$

If $V_s(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable, then the dissipation inequality is equivalent to

$$\dot{V}_s(x(t)) + \epsilon V_s(x(t)) \leq s(u(t), y(t)), \quad t \geq 0\tag{11}$$

Definition 4.3. A nonnegative dynamical system is zero-state observable if for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, $u(t) \equiv 0$ and $y(t) \equiv 0$ implies $x(t) \equiv 0$. A nonnegative dynamical system is reachable if for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, there exist a finite time $t_i \leq 0$, square integrable input $u(t)$ defined on $[t_i, 0]$, s.t. the state $x(t)$ can be driven from $x(t_i) = 0$ to $x(0) = x_0$.

Theorem 4.1. The Sys.9 is exponentially dissipative (resp., dissipative) with respect to the supply rate $s(u, y) = q^T y + r^T u$ iff there exists functions $V_s, l, W : \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$ (resp., $\epsilon = 0$) s.t. $V_s(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable, $V_s(0) = 0$, and for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= V'_s(x)f(x) + \epsilon V_s(x) - q^T h(x) + l(x) \\ 0 &= V'_s(x)g(x) - q^T j(x) - r^T + W^T(x)\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

We begin by considering the nonnegative dynamical system with the nonlinear nonnegative dynamical feedback system given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_c(t) &= f_c(x_c(t)) + g_c(x_c(t))u_c(t), & x_c(0) &= x_{c0}, & t &\geq 0 \\ y_c(t) &= h_c(x_c(t))\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where f_c is essentially nonnegative, $g_c(x_c), h_c(x_c) \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.2. Consider the Sys.9 and Sys.13, assume Sys.9 is dissipative with respect to the linear supply rate $s(u, y) = q^T y + r^T u$ and with a positive-definite storage function $V_s(\cdot)$, and assume that Sys.13 is dissipative with respect to the linear supply rate $s_c(u_c, y_c) = q_c^T y_c + r_c^T u_c$ and with a positive-definite storage function $V_{s_c}(\cdot)$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If there exists a scalar $\sigma > 0$ s.t. $q + \sigma q_c \leq 0$ and $r + \sigma r_c \leq 0$, then the positive feedback interconnection is Lyapunov stable.
- (ii) If these two systems are zero-state observable and there exists a scalar $\sigma > 0$ s.t. $q + \sigma r_c < 0$ and $r + \sigma q_c < 0$, then the positive feedback interconnection is asymptotically stable.
- (iii) If Sys.9 is zero-state observable and Sys.13 is exponentially dissipative, and there exists a scalar $\sigma > 0$ s.t. $q + \sigma q_c \leq 0$ and $r + \sigma r_c \leq 0$, then the positive feedback interconnection is asymptotically stable.

- (iv) If Sys.9 is exponentially dissipative, Sys.13 is exponentially dissipative and there exists a scalar $\sigma > 0$ s.t. $q + \sigma q_c \leq 0$ and $r + \sigma q_c \leq 0$, then the positive feedback interconnection is asymptotically stable.

Consider the feedback nonnegative time-varying input nonlinearity $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot) \in \Phi$, where

$$\Phi := \{ \sigma : \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^l \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^m : \sigma(\cdot, 0) = 0, 0 \leq \sigma(t, y) \leq My, y \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^l, \\ \text{a.e. } t \geq 0, \text{ and } \sigma(\cdot, y) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable, } M \gg 0 \} \quad (14)$$

Theorem 4.3. Consider Sys.9 is zero-state observable and exponentially dissipative with respect to the supply rate $s(u, y) = e^T u - e^T M y$, where $M \gg 0$. Then the positive feedback interconnection of Sys.9 and $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ is globally asymptotically stable.

5 Application in Chemical Process Control

References

- [1] Dennis S. Bernstein, David C. Hyland. Compartmental modeling and second-moment analysis of state space systems. *SIAM J. MATRIX ANAL. APPL.*, Vol.14, No.3, pp.880-901, 1993.
- [2] Wassim M. Haddad, VijaySekhar Chellaboina. Stability and dissipativity theory for nonnegative dynamical systems: a unified analysis framework for biological and physiological systems. *Nonlinear Analysis:Real World Applications*, 6(2005): 35-65.
- [3] Wassim M. Haddad. A Unification between Dynamical System Theory and Thermodynamics Involving an Energy, Mass, and Entropy State Space Formalism. A Unification between Dynamical System Theory and Thermodynamics Involving an Energy, Mass, and Entropy State Space Formalism. *Entropy*, 15(2013): 1821-1846.
- [4] Wassim M. Haddad, VijaySekhar Chellaboina, Sergey G. Nersisov. Thermodynamics and Large-scale Nonlinear Dynamical Systems: A Vector Dissipative Systems Approach. *Dyn. Cont. Disc. Impl. Syst.*, Vol.19, pp.747-751, 2004.