

Synthesis, magnetization, and heat capacity of triangular lattice materials NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂

Jie Xing,¹ Liurukara D. Sanjeeva¹,¹ Jungsoo Kim,² William R. Meier¹,¹ Andrew F. May,¹ Qiang Zheng,^{1,3} Radu Custelcean,⁴ G. R. Stewart,² and Athena S. Sefat¹

¹Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

²Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

³Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

⁴Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA



(Received 5 August 2019; published 26 November 2019)

In this paper we report the synthesis, magnetization, and heat capacity of the frustrated magnets AErSe₂ (A=Na,K) which contain perfect triangular lattices of Er³⁺. The magnetization data suggests no long-range magnetic order exists in AErSe₂ (A=Na,K), which is consistent with the heat capacity measurements. Large anisotropy is observed between the magnetization within the *ab* plane and along the *c* axis of both compounds. When the magnetic field is applied along the *ab* plane, anomalies are observed at 1.8 μ_B in NaErSe₂ at 0.2 T and 2.1 μ_B in KErSe₂ at 0.18 T. Unlike NaErSe₂, a plateaulike field-induced metamagnetic transition is observed for H||*c* below 1 K in KErSe₂. Two broad peaks are observed in the heat capacity below 10 K indicating possible crystal electric field (CEF) effects and magnetic entropy released under different magnetic fields. All results indicate that AErSe₂ are strongly anisotropic, frustrated magnets with field-induced transition at low temperature. The lack of signatures for long-range magnetic order implies that these materials are candidates for hosting a quantum spin liquid ground state.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.114413](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.114413)

I. INTRODUCTION

Geometrically frustrated magnets with many novel properties in the low temperature region have been studied for many years [1]. One intriguing phenomenon is the magnetization plateau. An ordered ground state of 120° between each spin was proposed in a two-dimensional spin 1/2 triangular lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet (TLHAF) [2]. Due to the degeneration of the energy in the quantum system, the magnetization under the magnetic field is predicted with a plateau at 1/3 of the saturation magnetization in TLHAF [3–5]. On the experimental side, the 1/3 plateau is found in triangular lattices materials with varied spin number from 1/2 to 3/2, such as Cs₂CuBr₄, CuFeO₂, RbFe(MoO₄)₂, and Ba₃CoSb₂O₉ [6–9]. Furthermore, besides the TLHAF, strong spin-lattices coupling could induce a 1/2 magnetization plateau in the geometrical frustrated system [10,11].

Another important topic relevant to geometrically frustrated magnets is the quantum spin liquid (QSL). The QSL state is a highly entangled quantum state leading to the fractionization of spin degrees of freedom [12]. Recently, Majorana fermions were also proposed in the quantum spin liquid system [13]. Until now several compounds with *S*=1/2 are proposed as the QSL candidates, such as honeycomb iridates A₂IrO₃ (A=Na,Li, H₃Li,Cu), κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃, EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]₂, and RuCl₃ [14–28].

Recently, both theoretical and experimental results indicate the magnetic rare earth ions located in the geometrically frustrated lattices (e.g., triangular lattice) may also form QSL states [29,30]. Rare earth ions in configurations with an odd number of 4*f* electrons support Kramer doublets which can be treated as an effective spin *J*_{eff} = 1/2. Compared to transition metals, the spin-orbital coupling in the rare earth system is

much stronger and highly anisotropic exchange couplings are expected [31]. YbMgGaO₄ with the YbFe₂O₄ structure is studied as a rare earth QSL candidate. The Yb³⁺ ions construct a triangular layer and are octahedrally coordinated by O²⁻ ions. The heat capacity, thermal conductivity, neutron scattering, and muon spin relaxation reveal a possible gapless QSL ground state [32–39]. However, the existence of Ga/Mg disorders may drive the system to another state [32,36,37,40–43]. Replacing Yb by Er also reveals possible QSL behavior [44,45]. This encourages research on rare earth materials with the ideal frustrated triangular structures. Very recently a classic system ARECh₂ (A=Alkali metal, RE=Rare earth elements, Ch= chalcogens) with perfect triangular lattices of rare earth ions was proposed as a QSL candidate [46–50]. Comparing to the other frustrated lattice structures, this family with less ions and simple triangular structure suggests less possibilities of impurities or disorders. No structural or magnetic transition was found in the NaYbCh₂ (Ch=O,S,Se) down to 50 mK from heat capacity and magnetization [46]. Single crystal studies outline the strongly anisotropic, quasi-2D magnetism and *J*_{eff} = 1/2 state in NaYbS₂ [47]. Specific heat data consistent with a gapless QSL state was found in NaYbO₂ and a magnetic field promotes a quantum phase transition above 2 T [48–50]. Besides these possible QSL state studies, an anisotropic spin of the rare earth ions in a crystal electric field has been proposed by theoretical calculations [51–53]. This system is a large family with diversity originating from rare earth, alkali, or transition metal and chalcogen, which could support more novel properties of multiple exchange couplings and crystal field effects. From this aspect, this system is a good platform to study frustrated magnetism of rare earth ions on a triangular lattice.




FIG. 1. (a),(b) The crystal picture of $A\text{ErSe}_2$ ($A = \text{Na}$ and K) against a 1 mm scale. (c) XRD pattern of $A\text{ErSe}_2$ ($A = \text{Na}$ and K) powder and crystals on the (00l) direction. The red lines show the refinement result of the two compounds. (d) The schematic structure of $R\bar{3}m$ $A\text{ErSe}_2$ ($A = \text{Na}$ and K). The red edge-sharing distorted ErSe_6 octahedra construct the triangular layer between the alkali ions. (e) The Se-Er-Se angle of distorted ErSe_6 octahedra. (f) The ideal triangular Er^{3+} layer in the ab plane. The nearest neighbor Er^{3+} ions are connected by two Se ions.

Until now all the measurements in this ARECh_2 system focused on the $A=\text{Na}$ and $\text{RE}=\text{Yb}^{3+}$ [46–50]. The fruitful results prompted us to undertake an investigation of the physical properties in $A\text{ErSe}_2$ ($A=\text{Na}, \text{K}$) systems. In this paper, we report the synthesis of Na/KErSe_2 single crystals and survey the magnetization and heat capacity in this system. Besides the prior reported NaErSe_2 and NaLuSe_2 , we synthesize both powder and single crystal of new KEr(Lu)Se_2 . Good crystallinity is confirmed by the powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) and single crystallines XRD. No site vacancies or impurities are found in the crystal. No magnetic transition is found down to 0.42 K in the magnetization and heat capacity characterization for small applied magnetic fields. Large anisotropy is exposed between $H\parallel ab$ and $H\parallel c$. A tiny slope change in the isothermal magnetization is found only for $H\parallel ab$ at low temperature. The moment where this occurs is $1.8 \mu_B$ and $2.1 \mu_B$ in NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 . KErSe_2 shows a clear plateaulike metamagnetic transition with $m = 2.3 \mu_B$ at $H\parallel c$ below 1 K. The heat capacity shows two broad features without any λ anomaly above 0.4 K at 0 T. All these physical properties indicate the absence of long-range order and existence of short-range order in these materials.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transparent millimeter-scale $A\text{ErSe}_2$ and ALuSe_2 single crystals were synthesized by the two-step method. Pictures of the crystals are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). At first

Na chunks (Alfa Aesar 99.9%), K chunks (Alfa Aesar 99.9%), Er chunks (Alfa Aesar 99.99%), and Se chunks (Alfa Aesar 99.99%) were mixed by the stoichiometric ratio $A:\text{Er(Lu)}:\text{Se}=1.1:1:2$. The alkali element excess is 10% due to the slight reaction with the inner wall of the silica tube. The whole mixture was loaded into a carbon crucible and sealed in the silica tube under vacuum. The ampoules were slowly heated to 220°C and held for 24 h. Then we heated them up to 900°C and held for three days. After the first reaction, the samples cooled down to room temperature over 24 h. The reacted compounds were ground and rinsed with deionized water and acetone. This process gets rid of the multiple binary phases and excess alkali metal. The pure $A\text{ErSe}_2$ powders are confirmed by powder XRD, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The second step is the flux synthesis. We used NaCl and KCl as the flux for the NaEr(Lu)Se_2 and KEr(Lu)Se_2 , respectively. The mass ratio between the flux and the powder is 10:1. The mixture was sealed in the silica tube under vacuum. The ampoules were heated up to 850°C and held for two weeks. The compounds were taken out after the fast furnace cooling and leached out in the deionized water and acetone. The sizable, plate-shape single crystals were found and the thickness is related to the dwell time at the second step. No impurities peaks and sharp (00l) manifest good quality for both compounds, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The slow cooling rate does not exhibit obvious relation with the size of the crystals.

Magnetic properties were measured in a Quantum Design (QD) magnetic properties measurement system (MPMS3)

TABLE I. The crystal structure of the NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ phase at 300 K. Both samples belong to the same space group $R\bar{3}m$.

Component	Instrument	$a(\text{\AA})$	$c(\text{\AA})$	$z(\text{Se})$	R
NaErSe ₂	single crystal XRD	4.0784(4)	20.746(3)	0.25694(1)	0.03
NaErSe ₂	powder XRD	4.0876(1)	20.7980(6)	0.2562(1)	0.08
KErSe ₂	single crystal XRD	4.1466(1)	22.743(5)	0.2647(1)	0.02
KErSe ₂	powder XRD	4.1470(1)	22.7662(8)	0.2649(1)	0.07

with iHe3 option. Due to the shape of these crystals, we stacked several crystals along fc with mass 3 mg and used them in the regular magnetic measurement above 2 K. Single crystals of AErSe₂ (A= Na and K) around 0.5–1 mg with as-grown (001) surfaces were used in the ³He option of the MPMS3. Demagnetization factors are considered as $N = 1$ in the isothermal magnetization at $H\parallel c$. Temperature dependent heat capacity was measured in the QD physical properties measurement system (PPMS) with ³He option using the relaxation technique at different applied fields along the c axis. Single crystal x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed by Bruker Apex single-crystal x-ray diffractometer. Powder XRD was done by a PANalytical X'pert Pro diffractometer equipped with an incident beam monochromator (Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation) at room temperature.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1(d) shows the schematic structure of AErSe₂ (A=Na, K with space group $R\bar{3}m$). The perfect triangular layers of magnetic Er³⁺ ions are isolated by the nonmagnetic Na/K layers suggesting anisotropic and frustrated magnetism in this system. The crystal structure parameters of AErSe₂ (A=Na, K) obtained from single crystal XRD and powder XRD are shown in Table I. Both methods yield similar results from the refinements. In this paper we use the powder parameters for discussion, avoiding the possible effect of the stress in the single crystals. Due to the large radius of K⁺, the distances between each layer are 6.932 Å in NaErSe₂ and 7.588 Å in KErSe₂. The distance between the nearest neighbor Er³⁺ ions also extended from 4.087 Å to 4.147 Å by replacing K⁺ from Na⁺. Although the frustrated interactions exist in the triangular lattice, the interlayer interaction may induce the magnetic transition at the low-temperature region [9]. Therefore, KErSe₂ could show more two-dimensional character corresponding to the larger c/a ratio in the structure. In addition, due to the 8% larger c/a ratio in KErSe₂, the distortion of ErSe₆ octahedra is stronger than the NaErSe₂. The Se-Er-Se angles α and β in Fig. 1(e) change from 91.51° and 88.49° in NaErSe₂ to 93.13° and 86.87° in KErSe₂. The change in local crystalline environment inspires us to investigate how the properties of these two compounds compare.

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) present the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/H$ and inverse magnetic susceptibility from 2 K to 350 K for the powder and single crystals. The anisotropic behavior appears below 40 K. The magnetization of the single crystals along $H\parallel ab$ and $H\parallel c$ is well matched with the result of the powder above 40 K. No visible features are found above 2 K suggesting there are no magnetic or structural transitions. The inset shows the AC susceptibility of NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ from 0.4 K

to 1.8 K. No hint of spin freezing or long-range magnetic order appears above 0.4 K. These results all suggest that the perfect triangular lattice with Er³⁺ is stable at low temperature which is consistent with other Yb compounds in this system [46–50]. No structure transition or distortion in Er³⁺ implies that this system is a steady platform to investigate novel magnetic properties in the frustrated system. The Curie-Weiss fitting of the powder above 200 K yields a Curie-Weiss temperature $\theta_{CW} = -10.9$ K and effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.5 \mu_B$ in NaErSe₂ and $\theta_{CW} = -8$ K, $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.5 \mu_B$ in KErSe₂, respectively. The effective moments of these two compounds match the theoretical value of $9.6 \mu_B/\text{Er}^{3+}$ for




FIG. 2. (a),(b) Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility and inverse magnetic susceptibility from 2 K to 350 K for AErSe₂ powder and crystal along the ab plane and c axis. The inset show the ac susceptibility from 0.4 K to 1.8 K for AErSe₂. (b)–(f) Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility in NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ crystal with $H\parallel ab$ or $H\parallel c$. The inset shows the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility at high magnet field. T^* is the temperature of the maximum in each magnetic field. The light green dashed lines are the fitting from CEF at 0.2 T in (c) and 0.1 T in (d)–(f).

free ions. The negative value of θ_{CW} indicates the dominant antiferromagnetic interaction between the Er^{3+} ions in the triangular lattice. Curie-Weiss fitting is also applied in both samples from 10 K to 30 K: $\theta_{CW} = -4.3$ K, $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.4 \mu_B$ for NaErSe_2 and $\theta_{CW} = -3.8$ K, $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.4 \mu_B$ for KErSe_2 . The change of θ_{CW} may be caused by the thermal population of CEF levels.

Figures 2(c)–2(f) present the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility under different magnetic fields for NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 . When the temperature decreases below 10 K, $M(T)$ deviates from the Curie-Weiss behavior. The relation observed in this region is $M \propto T^{-0.4}$. It is consistent with that recently observed in $\text{Os}_{0.55}\text{Cl}_2$, which displays many physical properties similar to those observed in quantum spin liquid candidates [54]. It is worth noting that there is no significant long-range magnetic transition above 0.4 K from low magnetic field DC susceptibility and AC susceptibility in zero applied DC field.

The temperature-independent regions under different magnetic fields are found in these two compounds with $H\parallel ab$. However, when the magnetic field is along the c axis, the magnetic susceptibility reaches a maximum and then decreases with temperature. We choose the starting point of $d\chi/dT(T) = 0$ as T^* for $H\parallel c$. The subtle hump feature for $H\parallel c$ in NaErSe_2 at 7 T is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(e). The magnitude of the $\Delta\chi$ is only around 0.02% and is challenging to distinguish at the low magnetic field. The similar but remarkable situation also appears in KErSe_2 . The magnitude of $\Delta\chi$ is 7% which is much larger than NaErSe_2 . This maximum in χ is observed as high as 3 K and is present for fields between approximately 4 and 6 T. The origin of this feature may be the population of the CEF states, long-range order or short-range magnetic interaction between Er^{3+} ions. We could rule out the long-range magnetic order since no λ anomaly is found in the same temperature range in specific heat measurements (Fig. 4). The mixture of the CEF states with magnetic fields in KErSe_2 could potentially contribute to the broad peak in the temperature dependence of magnetization.

The isothermal magnetization is sensitive to the transition induced by the magnetic field. We measured the isothermal magnetization from 0.42 K to 50 K for NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 up to 7 T, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The magnetic moments are not saturated at 0.42 K and 7 T for either compound and orientation. Large anisotropy is found in both of them below 50 K. If we linear extrapolated high field data to 0 T, we could get strong anisotropy moments for NaErSe_2 : $m_{ab} = 5.6 \mu_B$ and $m_c = 2.1 \mu_B$. The anisotropic values are lower than the expected value of Er^{3+} which may be affected by the CEF. A subtle change of slope is found at the low magnetic field around 0.2 T presenting obviously by dM/dH in Fig. 3(c). This subtle feature appears only below 0.6 K with the moment $1.8 \mu_B$, which is close to $1/3$ of $m_{ab} = 5.6 \mu_B$ in NaErSe_2 . The similar subtle hump is also found in the KErSe_2 when $H\parallel ab$, as shown in Fig. 3(d) as well. The moment around $2.1 \mu_B$ is even close to $1/3$ of the extended moment $m_{ab} = 6.2 \mu_B$ in KErSe_2 . Because there is no subtle kink near the same magnetic fields at $H\parallel c$ in the inset of Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), we can exclude the influence of the impurities or other isotropic impacts. The possible phase transition or population of CEF




FIG. 3. (a) Isothermal magnetization of NaErSe_2 up to 7 T from 0.42 K to 50 K at $H\parallel ab$ and $H\parallel c$. The pink dashed lines show the fitting from the CEF. (b) Isothermal magnetization of KErSe_2 up to 7 T from 0.42 K to 50 K at $H\parallel ab$ and $H\parallel c$. The pink dashed lines show the fitting from the CEF at 0.42 K. (c), (d) Derivation of isothermal magnetization of NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 from 0 T to 1 T at $H\parallel ab$. Inset: Derivation of isothermal magnetization of NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 from 0 T to 1 T along $H\parallel c$ at 0.42 K. (e), (f) AC susceptibility of NaErSe_2 and KErSe_2 . Inset: The isothermal magnetization of KErSe_2 . The dashed line indicates the moment and field range of the plateau.

states could induce the slope change. To confirm this feature, we also measured AC susceptibility at 757 Hz below 1 K along $H\parallel ab$ and $H\parallel c$. The similar broad peaks are located only at $H\parallel ab$ as shown in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f). The magnetic fields of the maximum are almost identical with the DC magnetization in Fig. 3(c). Besides these features at $H\parallel ab$, an apparent plateau with upturn at high field is recognized only in the KErSe_2 at $H\parallel c$, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The field range of the plateau is 2 T to 4.5 T indicating by the vertical green lines in the inset of Fig. 3(f). The linear extended dash line indicates $2.3 \mu_B$. The length of plateau decreases as increasing the temperature and finally fades out to curved behavior at 2 K. It is impressive to find different features along two directions implying the large anisotropic CEF state of Er^{3+} and possible short-range interaction between Er^{3+} . In addition, it is worth noting that the compound is not long magnetic ordering in this temperature range even with the field induced plateau-like transition. This behavior is rare in the frustrated system.




FIG. 4. (a) The temperature dependence of heat capacity of NaErSe₂, KErSe₂, NaLuSe₂, KLuSe₂ and CEF fitting from 0.4 K to 150 K. The inset presents the heat capacity of NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ below 20 K. (b) Temperature dependence of C of NaErSe₂ under different magnetic fields. The inset shows the tiny peaks at 3 T, 5 T, 7 T. (c) Temperature dependence of C of KErSe₂ under different magnetic fields. The inset show the tiny peaks at 3 T, 5 T, 7 T. (d) Temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy of NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂.

To investigate the magnetic entropy at low temperature, we measure the heat capacity of NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ down to the 0.4 K, as shown in Fig. 4(a). There is no discernible λ anomaly down to 0.4 K which agrees with the magnetization measurement. We synthesize nonmagnetic compounds NaLuSe₂ and KLuSe₂ to estimate the phonon contribution, which are also presented in Fig. 4(a). The magnetic entropy release from high temperature around 50 K in both compounds. This temperature is much higher than the Yb-112 compounds indicating the strong CEF with Er³⁺. Two broad peaks are observed in both samples from 0.4 K to 10 K. The multilevel Schottky or the short-range magnetic order may cause these peaks in the heat capacity. The low-temperature peak moves to high temperature with the magnetic field. Due to the low-temperature peak overlaps with the high-temperature peak above 3 T, it is hard to distinguish these two peaks. The combined high-temperature peak does not change the magnitude, which strongly suggests the Schottky effects from the CEF above 3 T. However, the magnitude of the peak changes below 3 T and the high temperature peak shifts slightly to low temperature at 1 T. These features indicate the entropy changes across 3 T by the possible mixture of CEF states or short range effects. The larger magnetic field (>3 T) could induce a tiny anomaly below 0.8 K, as shown in the inset of Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The temperature of this tiny transition increases with enhancing the magnetic field which violates antiferromagnetic transition. This feature indicates the possible subtle interaction of CEF states with different fields. At last, we want to estimate the entropy release in

NaErSe₂ and KErSe₂ at 0 T. The entropy from 0.4 K to 200 K is close to 13 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ in both compounds. This value is larger than the $R \ln 2$ and much smaller than the expected 23 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ for Er³⁺. This indicates large entropy releases below 0.4 K or possible high energy CEF exits.

We use a CEF model to fit the magnetization vs temperature and field data to examine the role of single ion effects in these two systems. CEF Hamiltonian of D_{3d} symmetry is $H_{D_{3d}}^{\text{CEF}} = B_2^0 \hat{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \hat{O}_4^0 + B_4^3 \hat{O}_4^3 + B_6^0 \hat{O}_6^0 + B_6^3 \hat{O}_6^3 + B_6^6 \hat{O}_6^6$. This point symmetry splits the $J = 15/2$ states into the 3 Γ_{45}^+ doublets and 5 Γ_6^+ doublets. The fitted curves show good agreements with the magnitude of the M(H) data and the high temperature data. The ground state doublet is reliably Γ_6^+ with the Γ_{45}^+ as the first excited state around 10–25 K for both samples. The poor agreements at low fields or low temperature revealing that the CEF model is not sufficient alone. The fitting shows a plateau feature could be induced by the mixture of CEF states with magnetic field. Considering the two broad peaks overlap and form Schottky-like peak between 1 T and 3 T in the heat capacity, the plateau for H || c in KErSe₂ is suggested as the metamagnetic transition from CEF. The short-range interaction between Er³⁺ ions or low-lying CEF levels could cause the anomalies at low field for H || ab and the deviation below 2 K. A detailed study of CEF is expected to be done in neutron scattering measurement.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we present the experimental results of magnetization and heat capacity measurement on AErSe₂ (A=Na and K) single crystals. Large anisotropy is found below 40 K along two directions H||ab and H||c. The isothermal magnetization shows a subtle kink feature only H||ab at low temperature in both compounds. KErSe₂ also shows a wide plateaulike metamagnetic transition for H||c. The heat capacity shows two broad peaks below 10 K at zero field. All results indicate that AErSe₂ are strongly anisotropic, frustrated magnets with field-induced transitions at low temperatures. No long-range magnetic order implies that these materials are candidates for hosting a quantum spin liquid ground state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research is supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences (BES), Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. The x-ray diffraction analysis by R.C. was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division. Work at Florida by J.S.K. and G.R.S. was supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Contract No. DE-FG02-86ER45268. Q.Z. acknowledges the support of the Center for Emergent Materials, an NSF MRSEC, under Award Number DMR-1420451.

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to

publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these

results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

- [1] J. F. Sadoc and R. Mosseri, *Geometrical Frustration* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [2] D. A. Huse and V. Elser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2531 (1988).
- [3] H. Nishimori and S. Miyashita, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **55**, 4448 (1986).
- [4] A. V. Chubukov and D. I. Golosov, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **3**, 69 (1991).
- [5] J. Alicea, A. V. Chubukov, and O. A. Starykh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 137201 (2009).
- [6] T. Ono, H. Tanaka, H. Aruga Katori, F. Ishikawa, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 104431 (2003).
- [7] N. Terada, Y. Narumi, K. Katsumata, T. Yamamoto, U. Staub, K. Kindo, M. Hagiwara, Y. Tanaka, A. Kikkawa, H. Toyokawa, T. Fukui, R. Kanmuri, T. Ishikawa, and H. Kitamura, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 180404 (2006).
- [8] L. E. Svistov, A. I. Smirnov, L. A. Prozorova, O. A. Petrenko, L. N. Demianets, and A. Y. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 094434 (2003).
- [9] Y. Shirata, H. Tanaka, A. Matsuo, and K. Kindo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 057205 (2012).
- [10] A. Miyata, H. Ueda, Y. Ueda, H. Sawabe, and S. Takeyama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 207203 (2011).
- [11] Y. Okamoto, D. Nakamura, A. Miyake, S. Takeyama, M. Tokunaga, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, and Z. Hiroi, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 134438 (2017).
- [12] P. W. Anderson, *Mater. Res. Bull.* **8**, 153 (1973).
- [13] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **321**, 2 (2006).
- [14] Y. Singh and P. Gegenwart, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 064412 (2010).
- [15] Y. Singh, S. Manni, J. Reuther, T. Berlijn, R. Thomale, W. Ku, S. Trebst, and P. Gegenwart, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 127203 (2012).
- [16] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 027204 (2010).
- [17] I. I. Mazin, H. O. Jeschke, K. Foyevtsova, R. Valenti, and D. I. Khomskii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 197201 (2012).
- [18] F. Ye, S. Chi, H. Cao, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, R. Custelcean, T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, and G. Cao, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 180403(R) (2012).
- [19] T. Takayama, A. Kato, R. Dinnebier, J. Nuss, H. Kono, L. S. I. Veiga, G. Fabbri, D. Haskel, and H. Takagi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 077202 (2015).
- [20] S. Chun, J. Kim, J. Kim, H. Zheng, C. Stoumpos, C. Malliakas, J. Mitchell, K. Mehlawat, Y. Singh, Y. Choi, T. Gog, A. Al-Zein, M. Sala, J. Krisch, M. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, G. Khaliullin, and B. J. Kim, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 462 (2015).
- [21] K. Kitagawa, T. Takayama, Y. Matsumoto, A. Kato, R. Takano, Y. Kishimoto, S. Bette, R. Dinnebier, G. Jackeli, and H. Takagi, *Nature (London)* **554**, 341 (2018).
- [22] M. Abramchuk, C. Ozsoy-Keskinbora, J. W. Krizan, K. R. Metz, D. C. Bel, and F. Tafti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 15371 (2017).
- [23] T. Itou, A. Oyamada, S. Maegawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 104413 (2008).
- [24] A. Banerjee, C. Bridges, J. Yan, A. Aczel, L. Li, M. Stone, G. Granroth, M. Lumsden, Y. Yiu, J. Knolle, S. Bhattacharjee, D. Kovrizhin, R. Moessner, D. Tennant, D. Mandrus, and S. Nagler, *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 733 (2016).
- [25] A. Banerjee, J. Yan, J. Knolle, C. Bridges, M. Stone, M. Lumsden, D. Mandrus, D. Tennant, R. Moessner, and S. Nagler, *Science* **356**, 1055 (2017).
- [26] H. B. Cao, A. Banerjee, J.-Q. Yan, C. A. Bridges, M. D. Lumsden, D. G. Mandrus, D. A. Tennant, B. C. Chakoumakos, and S. E. Nagler, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 134423 (2016).
- [27] K. Ran, J. Wang, W. Wang, Z.-Y. Dong, X. Ren, S. Bao, S. Li, Z. Ma, Y. Gan, Y. Zhang, J. T. Park, G. Deng, S. Danilkin, S. L. Yu, J.-X. Li, and J. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 107203 (2017).
- [28] I. A. Leahy, C. A. Pocs, P. E. Siegfried, D. Graf, S.-H. Do, K.-Y. Choi, B. Normand, and M. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 187203 (2017).
- [29] L. Balents, *Nature (London)* **464**, 199 (2010).
- [30] J. S. Gardner, M. J. P. Gingras, and J. E. Greedan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 53 (2010).
- [31] F.-Y. Li, Y.-D. Li, Y. Yu, A. Paramakanti, and G. Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 085132 (2017).
- [32] Y. Li, H. Liao, Z. Zhang, S. Li, F. Jin, L. Ling, L. Zhang, Y. Zou, L. Pi, Z. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Wu, and Q. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 16419 (2015).
- [33] Y. Li, G. Chen, W. Tong, L. Pi, J. Liu, Z. Yang, X. Wang, and Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 167203 (2015).
- [34] Y. Li, D. Adroja, P. K. Biswas, P. J. Baker, Q. Zhang, J. Liu, A. A. Tsirlin, P. Gegenwart, and Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 097201 (2016).
- [35] Y. Shen, Y. Li, H. Wo, Y. Li, S. Shen, B. Pan, Q. Wang, H. C. Walker, P. Steffens, M. Boehm, Y. Hao, D. L. Quintero-Castro, L. W. Harriger, M. D. Frontzek, L. Hao, S. Meng, Q. Zhang, G. Chen, and J. Zhao, *Nature (London)* **540**, 559 (2016).
- [36] J. Paddison, M. Daum, Z. Dun, G. Ehlers, Y. Liu, M. B. Stone, H. Zhou, and M. Mourgil, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 117 (2017).
- [37] X. Zhang, F. Mahmood, M. Daum, Z. Dun, J. A. M. Paddison, N. J. Laurita, T. Hong, H. Zhou, N. P. Armitage, and M. Mourgil, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031001 (2018).
- [38] Y. Li, D. Adroja, R. I. Bewley, D. Voneshen, A. A. Tsirlin, P. Gegenwart, and Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 107202 (2017).
- [39] W. Steinhardt, Z. Shi, A. Samarakoon, S. Dissanayake, D. Graf, Y. Liu, W. Zhu, C. Marjerrison, C. Batista, and S. Haravifard, *arXiv:1902.07825*.
- [40] Y. Shen, Y. Li, H. C. Walker, P. Steffens, M. Boehm, X. Zhang, S. Shen, H. Wo, G. Chen, and J. Zhao, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4138 (2018).
- [41] Z. Zhu, P. A. Maksimov, S. R. White, and A. L. Chernyshev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 157201 (2017).
- [42] Z. Zhu, P. A. Maksimov, Steven R. White, and A. L. Chernyshev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 207203 (2018).
- [43] I. Kimchi, A. Nahum, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031028 (2018).

- [44] F. Cevallos, K. Stolze, and R. Cava, [Solid State Commun. **276**, 5 \(2018\)](#).
- [45] Y. Cai, C. Lygouras, G. Thomas, M. N. Wilson, J. Beare, D. R. Yahne, K. Ross, Z. Gong, Y. J. Uemura, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, [arXiv:1905.12798](#).
- [46] W. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Ji, Y. Liu, J. Li, X. Wang, H. Lei, G. Chen, and Q. Zhang, [Chin. Phys. Lett. **35**, 117501 \(2018\)](#).
- [47] M. Baenitz, Ph. Schlender, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. A. Onyukienko, Z. Zangeneh, K. M. Ranjith, R. Sarkar, L. Hozoi, H. C. Walker, J.-C. Orain, H. Yasuoka, J. van den Brink, H. H. Klauss, D. S. Inosov, and Th. Doert, [Phys. Rev. B **98**, 220409\(R\) \(2018\)](#).
- [48] L. Ding, P. Manuel, S. Bachus, F. Grubler, P. Gegenwart, J. Singleton, R. D. Johnson, H. C. Walker, D. T. Adroja, A. D. Hillier, and A. A. Tsirlin, [Phys. Rev. B **100**, 144432 \(2019\)](#)
- [49] K. M. Ranjith, D. Dmytriieva, S. Khim, J. Sichelschmidt, S. Luther, D. Ehlers, H. Yasuoka, J. Wosnitza, A. A. Tsirlin, H. Kühne, and M. Baenitz, [Phys. Rev. B **99**, 180401\(R\) \(2019\)](#).
- [50] M. Bordelon, E. Kenney, T. Hogan, L. Posthuma, M. Kavand, Y. Lyu, M. Sherwin, C. Brown, M. J. Graf, L. Balents, and S. D. Wilson, [Nat. Phys. **15**, 1058 \(2019\)](#).
- [51] Y.-D. Li, X. Wang, and G. Chen, [Phys. Rev. B **94**, 035107 \(2016\)](#).
- [52] Y.-D. Li, X. Wang, and G. Chen, [Phys. Rev. B **94**, 201114\(R\) \(2016\)](#).
- [53] C. Liu, Y.-D. Li, and G. Chen, [Phys. Rev. B **98**, 045119 \(2018\)](#).
- [54] M. A. McGuire, Q. Zheng, J. Yan, and B. C. Sales, [Phys. Rev. B **99**, 214402 \(2019\)](#).